Cholera from Raw Seaweed Transported from the Philippines to California
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In March 1994, a California woman without any recent travel developed acute, profuse, watery diarrhea. Her astute physician diagnosed cholera after ordering the appropriate stool culture, and the patient improved on an oral antibiotic. Epidemiologic investigation implicated seaweed from the Philippines that was transported by a friend to California and subsequently eaten raw as the vehicle of infection.

While water and various foods have been implicated by epidemiologic studies as vehicles of transmission of Vibrio cholerae O1 (6), rarely has the implicated food crossed international borders. In the United States, most cases of cholera are associated with foreign travel where contaminated foods and drinks were consumed (5). However, four outbreaks of locally acquired cholera have been associated with food transported from other countries: two resulted from eating crab transported in luggage from Ecuador (1, 3), one occurred after consumption of foods transported from El Salvador, although a specific food item was not implicated (2). We report a case of cholera acquired in California caused by a rather unusual food vehicle that was transported into this country: uncooked seaweed.

In March 1994, a 31-year-old Californian without any recent travel developed acute, profuse, watery diarrhea. Her physician ordered a fecal culture for cholera in addition to routine enteric pathogens. He prescribed ciprofloxacin, and the patient improved without hospitalization two days after starting antibiotic therapy. Her stool yielded toxigenic V. cholerae O1 Ogawa, as confirmed by the Microbial Diseases Laboratory (MDL) of the California Department of Health Services and by the Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Laboratory (FDDL) of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Two days before the onset of her illness, the patient ate a dinner at her friend’s house consisting of raw seaweed, fried fish, pickled pig feet, and freshly steamed rice. The seaweed was brought from the Philippines a month earlier, hand carried on an airline flight and then carried home. For further travel, the seaweed was frozen until well done. The fish was also hand carried from the Philippines by another person several weeks earlier and kept frozen until being fried. According to the patient, the fish was fried until well done. The pig feet were purchased raw from a local store and pickled at home. Six other persons shared this dinner, but no one else became ill. One day before her illness, the patient also ate sashimi, and, except for the patient, all remained well.

To our knowledge, this is the first time that seaweed has been implicated as a food vehicle for cholera. Given the food exposures and the results of serology and food testing, the raw seaweed was the likely vehicle for these symptomatic and asymptomatic V. cholerae infections. Seaweed grows in an environment where vibrios thrive (4, 7). Isolation of V. cholerae non-O1 from leftover seaweed supports the notion that this vehicle could have been contaminated with V. cholerae O1 as well, but the latter was not recovered. There was not enough seaweed leftover for identification of species, but inquiry of others in the Filipino community suggests that it may have been a type of kelp. Consumption of raw seaweed or kelp should now be considered a potential risk factor for cholera, particularly if gathered from potentially contaminated waters.

The other interesting aspect of this investigation is that this case of cholera was acquired in California by a person who had no recent foreign travel. If it were not for her astute physician asking that stool studies be set up for Vibrio species that cause cholera, this case would have been missed. In patients with acute, profuse, watery diarrhea in whom cholera is suspected, clinicians should also request specific stool studies for vibrios (e.g., using thiosulfate-citrate-bile salts-sucrose agar) since routine stool cultures do not usually detect V. cholerae. While antibiotics can shorten the duration of illness, primary treatment for cholera remains prompt replacement of fluid and electrolytes.

For patients with suspected cholera, questions about consumption of foods from abroad may be as revealing as questions about recent travel. The practice of transporting perish-
able seafood in travelers’ luggage has caused two previous outbreaks of cholera in the United States (1, 3). While not illegal, this practice should be discouraged.
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TABLE 1. Food history and vibriocidal (V. cholerae Inaba or Ogawa) and antitoxin antibody titers* of six persons attending the dinner where seaweed was served

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Ate: Pig feet</th>
<th>Fish</th>
<th>Seaweed</th>
<th>Vibriocidal Titer</th>
<th>Antitoxin Titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>12,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Vibriocidal antibodies were considered positive at ≥1,280 while antitoxin titers were considered positive at ≥400. ND, not done.