Evaluation of a Rapid Immunochromatographic Test for Diagnosis of Dengue Virus Infection
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A rapid (<7-min) immunochromatographic test for immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG antibodies to dengue viruses was evaluated by using hospital admission and discharge sera from 124 patients. The reference laboratory diagnosis was based on the results of virus isolation, hemagglutination-inhibition assay (HAI), and enzyme immunoassay (EIA). By the standard assays, patients experienced primary dengue virus infection (n = 30), secondary dengue virus infection (n = 48), Japanese encephalitis (JE) virus infection (n = 20), or no flavivirus infection (n = 26). The rapid test demonstrated 100% sensitivity in the diagnosis of dengue virus infection and was able to distinguish between primary and secondary dengue virus infections through the separate determinations of IgM and IgG. For all patients with primary dengue virus infection a positive test for IgM to dengue virus and a negative test for IgG to dengue virus were obtained, whereas for 46 of 48 patients (96%) with secondary dengue virus infection, a positive test for IgG to dengue virus with or without a positive test for IgM to dengue virus was obtained. The remaining two patients with secondary dengue virus infection had positive IgM test results and negative IgG test results. Furthermore, the rapid test was positive for patients confirmed to be infected with different dengue virus serotypes (12 infected with dengue virus serotype 1, 4 infected with dengue virus serotype 2, 3 infected with dengue virus serotype 3, and 2 infected with dengue virus serotype 4). The specificity of the test for nonflavivirus infections was 88% (3 of 26 positive), while for JE virus infections the specificity of the test was only 50% (10 of 20). However, most patients with secondary dengue virus infection were positive for both IgM and IgG antibodies to dengue virus, while no patients with JE virus infection had this profile, so cross-reactivity was only a concern for a small proportion of patients with secondary dengue infections. The rapid test demonstrated a good correlation with the reference EIA and HAI and should be useful for the rapid diagnosis of dengue virus infections.

Dengue viruses (family Flaviviridae, genus Flavivirus) are found in many areas of the tropics and subtropics. The four dengue virus serotypes (dengue virus types 1 to 4) are closely related yet antigenically distinct (28). The viruses cause disease in humans and are transmitted by mosquito, principally Aedes aegypti. In terms of morbidity, mortality, and economic costs, dengue virus infection is the most important mosquito-borne virus disease in the world, with an estimated 100 million cases per year. Furthermore, the incidence and spread of the disease are increasing (21).

Infection with a dengue virus may be clinically inapparent or may be present as a nonspecific febrile illness, classic dengue fever, or dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) (2). Classic dengue is characterized by fever, malaise, headache, arthralgia, myalgia, and rash. In the early febrile phase, DHF is indistinguishable from dengue fever, as fever remits, DHF is distinguished from dengue fever by the onset of plasma leakage, marked thrombocytopenia, and a bleeding diathesis. Severe plasma leakage can lead to shock (dengue shock syndrome), with the mortality rate for untreated patients being in excess of 10%. Proper fluid management can be lifesaving (11, 22).

Traditionally, the hemagglutination-inhibition assay (HAI) has been used to classify dengue virus infections as a first or primary infection (gradual increase to a moderate titer) versus a sequential or secondary infection (rapid increase to a higher titer) (26). A primary antibody response suggests a first flavivirus infection for the individual. Secondary infections have been associated with more severe disease in areas where dengue virus infection is endemic (8). This serologic definition depends upon an assay with paired serum specimens, with the second specimen collected at least 7 days into the illness, although any acute-phase specimen with a hemagglutination-inhibition titer of $\geq 1:2,560$ is defined as coming from a patient experiencing a secondary dengue virus infection (29). However, the variable potency of hemagglutinins made in different laboratories has compromised the general applicability of this assay in the classification of dengue virus infections. More recently, the enzyme immunoassay (EIA) for immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG antibodies to dengue virus has been shown to distinguish primary from secondary dengue virus infections, but the test may require an overnight incubation (1, 5, 10, 14); a more rapid test would be advantageous. Separate dot blot assays for IgM and IgG antibodies to dengue virus have been developed, but their ability to distinguish antibody patterns (primary versus secondary) is poorly characterized (3, 4, 18).

The PanBio Dengue Fever Rapid Test (Dengue Rapid Test) is an immunochromatographic test for the determination of IgM and IgG antibodies to dengue viruses. This test offers advantages over HAI and traditional EIAs for the serologic diagnosis of dengue virus infections since it provides standardized reagents which should reduce interlaboratory variation.
and test performance requires less than 7 min. In this study, the Dengue Rapid Test was compared to EIA and HAI by using paired serum specimens from patients with or without dengue virus infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case definitions. In children experiencing a febrile illness consistent with dengue fever or DHF, dengue virus infections were defined as the isolation of a dengue virus, the detection of IgM to dengue virus (as opposed to IgG to Japanese encephalitis [JE] virus), or an ascertained elevation (≥1:2,560) or a fourfold rise in dengue virus hemagglutination-inhibition titer. JE virus infection was defined as a febrile illness associated with a decrease in consciousness and the presence of IgM to JE virus in the cerebrospinal fluid. Dengue virus infection was categorized as primary or secondary according to the World Health Organization criteria (29) and the standard operating procedure for the reference EIA (10).

Serum samples. Serum samples were collected from patients at the time of hospital admission and the time of discharge at either the Queen Sirikit National Institute of Child Health (Bangkok Children’s Hospital); the Kamphaeng Phet Provincial Hospital, Thailand; or the Centre for Tropical Diseases, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. The serum was frozen at −70°C prior to assay. In this study we used paired serum specimens from 124 patients, representing patients with primary dengue virus infection (n = 30), secondary dengue virus infection (n = 48), JE virus infection (n = 20), or no evidence of flavivirus infection (n = 26).

HAI. Acetone-extracted sera were tested for antibodies by HAI as described previously (6), except that the assay was modified to a microtiter plate format. Dengue virus types 1 to 4 and JE virus (8 U each) were used. Antibodies were produced by sucrose acetone extraction of the brains of suckling mice infected with the following prototype mouse-adapted virus strains: DEN-1 Hawaii, DEN-2 New Guinea C, DEN-3 H-87, DEN-4 H-241, and JE virus Nakayama. A fourfold increase was considered positive for acute flavivirus infection. The infection was diagnosed as a primary infection if the titers a week or more after the onset of illness were less than or equal to 1:1,280 or as a secondary infection if antibody titers were greater than 1:1,280.

Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Science (AFRIMS) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The in-house ELISA was performed as described previously (10). For single specimens, 40 U of IgM antibody to dengue virus (with the dengue virus IgM antibody titer being greater than the JE virus IgM antibody titer) was considered evidence of a dengue virus infection (30 U if for the paired sera the acute-phase specimen had less than 15 U of antibody). A dengue virus IgM/IgG ratio equal to or greater than 1.8:1 defined a primary dengue virus infection. A ratio of less than 1.8:1 defined a secondary dengue virus infection. By using serial specimens, a twofold increase in the IgG antibody titer to dengue virus with an absolute value of 100 U or greater indicated a secondary flavivirus infection in the absence of IgM antibody to dengue virus of 40 U or more.

Virus isolation. Serologic diagnoses by HAI and ELISA were further confirmed by virus isolation for 21 of the 78 patients (27%) with dengue virus infection. Virus isolation was attempted by injecting approximately 0.34 μl of undiluted patient sera into 15 live *Toxorhynchites splendens* mosquitoes (23, 24). After 14 days, approximately 10 surviving mosquitoes were tested for flavivirus antigen by indirect fluorescent-antibody assay of the head (12). Virus-positive mosquitoes were used to infect C6/36 cell cultures for identification of the virus type by using a panel of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) against dengue virus and JE virus in an ELISA (13). Acute-phase sera from 9 of the 30 patients with primary dengue virus infection yields virus (6 yielded DEN-1, 1 yielded DEN-2, and 2 yielded DEN-3). Virus was recovered from the sera of 12 of the 48 patients with antibody responses indicating secondary dengue virus infection (6 yielded DEN-1, 3 yielded DEN-2, 1 yielded DEN-3, and 2 yielded DEN-4). Virus was not recovered from the serum of patients with JE virus infection or those lacking antibody evidence of a recent flavivirus infection.

PanBio Dengue Rapid Test. In the Dengue Rapid Test (PanBio, Brisbane, Australia), antibodies to dengue viruses were determined by a rapid colloidal gold-based immunochromatographic test for the separate determination of IgM and IgG antibodies in a capture assay format (Fig. 1). Specimens were run blind, and the results were read without knowledge of the results of the other tests or the diagnosis. A drop of serum added to the blue pad for serum migrated along the nitrocellulose membrane, whereupon IgG and IgM were captured by lines of either anti-human IgG antibody and anti-human IgM antibody, respectively, striped onto the membrane. At the same time gold-labelled anti-dengue MAb was rehydrated by the addition of two drops of buffer to the pink pad. After the serum reached the limit line (<2 min), the card was closed. This allowed the rehydrated gold-labelled anti-dengue MAb to complex with dengue antigens stabilized in a pad at the top of the nitrocellulose membrane. In addition, closure of the pad caused visible gold-complexed antigen to flow down the nitrocellulose membrane into the large absorbent pad, whereupon it could bind to and reveal captured IgM or IgG antibody that was reactive with dengue virus. After 5 min, the assay result was visible through the window on the front panel of the card (Fig. 2). Captured gold-labelled antigen-antibody complexes appeared as maroon lines. The intensities of the lines observed in the rapid test were scored 0 (no reactivity), 0.5 (faint), 1 (distinct), or 2 (strong), depending on the intensity of the positive reaction. In addition to the anti-IgG and anti-IgM lines, a control line was also included to ensure that the test result is valid. The results were interpreted as shown on the front of the device (Fig. 2). Since the IgG cutoff was set to detect secondary and not primary dengue virus infection, primary dengue virus infection was defined by a visible IgM line without a visible IgG line. A negative result was defined by the absence of both IgM and IgG lines (only the control line was visible).

Data analysis. Fisher’s exact test was performed to compare sensitivities and specificities of the rapid test. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test were used to compare the mean EIA ratios or hemagglutination-inhibition titers with the different rapid test scores. Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS for Windows, version 7.5 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Ill.) and Instat software (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, Calif.).

RESULTS

Sensitivity and specificity of the rapid test. The performance of the rapid test with sera collected at the time of hospital discharge from patients with dengue virus infection, JE virus infection, or no flavivirus infection is presented in Table 1. The use of separate IgG and IgM results allowed the infections to
be classified as primary or secondary dengue virus infection. The infection in all patients with primary dengue virus infection (n = 30) was correctly classified (IgM positivity only), while the infection in 46 of 48 patients (96%) with secondary dengue virus infection was also correctly classified (IgG positivity with or without IgM positivity). Furthermore, the two patients with secondary dengue virus infection but whose infections were missed were diagnosed as having primary infections (positive IgM result and negative IgG result), so the sensitivity for all dengue virus infections was 100%, with positive test results obtained for patients infected with all four dengue virus serotypes. Sera from only 3 of 26 patients who had no evidence of an acute flavivirus infection by EIA and HAI had a positive result by the rapid test (88% specificity). On the other hand, sera from half of the patients with JE virus infection (n = 20) showed a positive result by the rapid test.

Comparison between Dengue Rapid Test and in-house EIA. The relationship between the rapid test and an in-house EIA is presented in Fig. 3. There was a significant increase in mean EIA units with each increase in the rapid test score for both dengue virus-specific IgM and IgG (ANOVA, P < 0.0001). In addition, the percentage of patients whose sera showed elevated IgM or IgG antibody titers by EIA was significantly related to the rapid test score (Table 2) (χ² = 128.8 for IgM [P < 0.0001]; χ² = 168.7 for IgG [P < 0.0001]).

Comparison between Dengue Rapid Test and HAI. Since the IgG test is used to define secondary dengue virus infection, the intensity of the rapid test IgG score was compared to the hemagglutination-inhibition titer (Table 3). There was a significant relationship between the percentage of samples showing a hemagglutination-inhibition titer of ≥1:2,560 (the HAI cutoff value for secondary dengue virus infection) and the rapid test IgG score (χ² = 169.9; P < 0.0001).

Use of rapid test for the early detection of dengue virus infection. The performance of the test with the first serum specimen of the pair was also investigated to determine the utility of this test for the early diagnosis of dengue virus infection (Table 4). A high proportion of dengue virus infections (71%) could be diagnosed through the use of the first serum specimen alone: 87% of primary infections and 60% of secondary infections. Furthermore, all 26 primary infections were correctly classified (positive IgM result, negative IgG result), while 24 of 29 (83%) secondary infections were correctly classified (positive IgG result), with the remaining infections being IgM positive and IgG negative. The first serum specimen from only 3 of 26 patients with no flavivirus infection were positive by the rapid test (specificity 88%), while half the patients with JE virus infection were positive by the rapid test.

The rate of detection of IgM and IgG to dengue virus by the rapid test for up to 8 days after the onset of clinical symptoms was also investigated (Fig. 4). The combined use of IgG and IgM led to the earlier detection of dengue virus infection relative to the time to detection with the use of IgG or IgM alone. The infections in nearly 80% of patients with dengue virus infection were detected 4 days after the onset of symptoms, and this rose to over 90% by day 5.
A rapid and accurate method for the diagnosis of dengue fever is important for both the clinician and the patient. The commercially available Dengue Rapid Test described in this report is suitable for the detection of anti-dengue virus IgM and IgG antibodies, with results available in just 7 min. The utility of IgM capture and IgG capture in the diagnosis of dengue virus infection has been reported previously (1, 10, 14, 16, 17, 25), and the rapid test evaluated in this study showed an excellent correlation with a standard in-house EIA (10). In addition, a commercially available test will ensure reproducibility between different laboratories.

The combined use of IgM and IgG has been shown to increase sensitivity in the detection of dengue virus infection (10, 25). In this study, all patients with dengue virus infection were positive by the rapid test when paired serum specimens were used. Furthermore, the Dengue Rapid Test was able to detect 71% of cases of dengue virus infection through the use of the first serum specimen alone. Previous studies suggested that diagnosis based on the IgM antibody titer may take 5 to 7 days after the onset of illness (10, 25, 27). In this study, similar results were observed, with the majority of patients showing elevated IgM antibody titer by day 5 of illness. Secondary dengue virus infection is characterized by a high IgG response with or without an IgM response (15), and so the combined use of IgM and IgG in the rapid test led to the earlier detection of dengue virus infection, with most patients being positive by day 4 of illness. Furthermore, the test detected infection in patients infected with any of the four different dengue virus serotypes.

The Dengue Rapid Test showed good specificity (88%) for patients without flavivirus infections. Sera from half of the patients with JE showed cross-reactivity in this test. High levels of antibody cross-reactivity for patients with dengue virus and JE virus infections have been reported previously (10, 20). It was of interest that sera from none of the patients with JE had elevated dengue virus-specific IgM and IgG antibodies, while sera from the majority of patients with secondary dengue virus infection (71%) had this antibody profile. Caution should be used in interpreting tests that are positive for dengue virus IgM or IgG only in areas where dengue virus circulates with other flaviviruses. Most cases of JE can be differentiated from dengue virus infection on clinical grounds, although there may be unusual cases of dengue virus encephalopathy (9, 19).

Because secondary dengue virus infection is associated with the more serious form of the disease, the use of the Dengue Rapid Test to distinguish it from primary dengue virus infection was also investigated. Traditionally, HAI has been used to distinguish between primary and secondary dengue virus infections, with a titer of greater than 1:1,280 considered indicative of secondary dengue virus infection (29). The IgG result by the Dengue Rapid Test showed excellent agreement with the HAI result. The majority of specimens IgG positive by the rapid test showed hemagglutination-inhibition titer titers of $\geq$1:2,560, while the majority of specimens IgG negative by the rapid test showed hemagglutination-inhibition titers of <1:2,560. Consequently, this test could be used to distinguish between the primary and secondary forms of the disease. Sera from all patients with primary dengue virus infection had elevated IgM titers but not elevated IgG titers, while sera from 46 of 48 (96%) of patients with secondary dengue virus infection had elevated IgG titers, with or without elevated IgM titers. Furthermore, because the high hemagglutination-inhibition titers found in sera from patients with secondary dengue virus infec-

**DISCUSSION**

![FIG. 4. Relationship between the sensitivity of the Dengue Rapid Test and the days after the onset of illness. The sensitivities with the use of IgM only (circles), the use of IgG only (squares), and the combined use of IgM and IgG (triangles) are shown.](http://jcm.asm.org/)

**TABLE 2. Relationship between Dengue Rapid Test score and EIA result**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid test IgM score</th>
<th>No. of specimens with EIA IgM of $\geq$40 U/total no. (%)</th>
<th>Mean IgM EIA U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3/118 (3)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6/14 (43)</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>33/68 (49)</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>44/48 (92)</td>
<td>139.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid test IgG score</th>
<th>No. of specimens with IgG EIA $\geq$100 U/total no. (%)</th>
<th>Mean IgG EIA U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6/168 (4)</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4/6 (67)</td>
<td>155.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59/66 (89)</td>
<td>220.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8/8 (100)</td>
<td>273.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By the chi-square test for independence, $\chi^2$ was 128.8 for IgM ($P < 0.0001$), and $\chi^2$ was 168.7 for IgG ($P < 0.0001$). Cutoff for IgM ELISA, $\geq$40 U; cutoff for IgG ELISA, $\geq$100 U.

*0 = negative; 0.5 = faintly positive; 1 = distinctly positive; 2 = strongly positive.

**TABLE 3. Relationship between rapid test IgG score and hemagglutination-inhibition titer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid test IgG score</th>
<th>No. of specimens with a hemagglutination-inhibition titer of $\geq$1:2,560/total no. tested (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5/167 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3/6 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>54/65 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8/8 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By the chi-square test for independence, $\chi^2$ was 169.9 ($P < 0.0001$).
tion generally last for 30 to 40 days before declining to levels below 1:640 (7), the IgG response by the rapid test was also negative for the majority of patients with past dengue virus infections, as evidenced by the lack of reactivity for patients without dengue virus infection, many of whom would have had previous exposure to the virus due to the endemic nature of the disease in Thailand (27).

The commercially available EIA described in this study should be a valuable screening test for dengue fever and DHF in routine diagnostic laboratories. It is rapid, can easily be performed, has an extended shelf life (12 months at 4°C or 2 weeks at 37°C), and overcomes many of the limitations associated with HAI. Unlike HAI, no pretreatment of sera (e.g., acetone extraction) is required, and there is often no need for sera to be obtained after hospital discharge. Furthermore, differentiation between primary and secondary infection can be made through the use of a single dilution of serum rather than the series of dilutions needed in the HAI. The test also has the advantage of being able to be run at the point of care, where sophisticated laboratory equipment or experienced personnel may be unavailable.
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