Utility of Major Leukocyte Subpopulations for Monitoring Secondary Cytomegalovirus Infections in Renal-Allograft Recipients by PCR
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The feasibility of the major peripheral blood leukocyte (PBL) subsets for use in qualitative and quantitative PCR to monitor secondary cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection and ganciclovir therapy was assessed with 188 blood samples derived from 40 CMV immunoglobulin G-positive renal-allograft recipients. In pp65 antigen-negative patients all leukocyte fractions, but only 79.5% of plasma preparations, were PCR positive. In pp65 antigen-negative samples from patients after antiviral treatment only 7.3% of polymorphonuclear cell (PMNL) samples, but 81.8% of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), and 10.9% of plasma samples remained PCR positive. Similarly, in patients with latent infections only 5.0% of PMNL, but 51.7% of PBMC preparations, and 8.0% of plasma samples were PCR positive. Regarding patients with active CMV infection, CMV DNA copy numbers in PMNL correlated significantly with pp65 antigen-negative cell counts before and after onset of ganciclovir therapy. Significant differences in CMV DNA copy numbers in PMNL and plasma were observed (i) between patients with symptomatic infection and those with asymptomatic infection and (ii) between patients with active infection and those with latent infection. In contrast, PBMC harbored equally low CMV DNA levels both in patients with active infection and those with latent infections, and no decline of CMV DNA load in PBMC was observed during antiviral treatment. We conclude that detection of CMV DNA in PMNL, not in PBMC, is associated with active infections and is more sensitive than detection of CMV DNA in plasma. Negative PCR results for PMNL after antiviral therapy indicate recovery, and fewer unwanted positive results occur compared to PBMC and plasma. Therefore, purified PMNL should be preferred for analysis by qualitative PCR CMV PCR to avoid unwanted positive results. The CMV DNA load in PBMC compared with that in PMNL is negligible during active infection, so mixed PBL are sufficient for use in quantitative PCR.

The level of viremia plays an important role in the course of cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection. The pp65 antigenemia assay and PCR methods for detection of CMV DNA in peripheral blood leukocytes (PBL) and plasma have been increasingly utilized in recent years for the rapid diagnosis of active CMV infection in immunocompromised hosts and for monitoring antiviral treatment (for reviews, see references 29 and 36). However, the identification of patients at risk for clinically relevant disease is problematic for various reasons. Firstly, low-level antigenemia is not necessarily indicative of symptomatic infections (36). On the other hand, positive PCR results from leukocytes are often obtained in pp65 antigen-negative samples of patients after successful antiviral therapy and even in CMV immunoglobulin G (IgG)-positive immunosuppressed individuals with latent infection (1, 2, 6, 20, 40, 42), although CMV DNA is only occasionally detectable in healthy seropositive blood donors (33–35). Plasma has been shown to yield fewer unwanted positive PCR results than PBL (10), but the lower sensitivity might be problematic with respect to early detection of CMV relapse or therapy monitoring (9, 10).

To overcome these problems, protocols for quantitative assessment of antigenemia and DNAemia have been devised, which are now successfully used for predicting symptomatic infection and for monitoring antiviral therapy (29). However, the antigenemia assay is error-prone due to rapid decline of pp65 antigens in blood samples processed with delay, whereas CMV DNA copy numbers in PBL remain at constant levels for at least 72 h (30). On the other hand, quantitative PCR requires elaborate techniques which limit its routine use. Thus, from the diagnostic standpoint it remains desirable to improve the clinical utility of qualitative CMV PCR. As PCR with PBL is the most sensitive, the selective detection of CMV DNA associated with active infection in PBL would be highly interesting.

Reports on the mechanisms of interaction between CMV and circulating leukocytes are still controversial (5, 12, 14, 17, 19, 22, 25, 26, 37, 39). Polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNL) are the predominant carriers of pp65 antigen and also harbor CMV DNA during active infections (5, 12, 15–17, 27). A positive correlation has been demonstrated between pp65 antigen-positive cell counts and the overall amount of CMV DNA present in mixed PBL during active infection (23, 38). However, only little information exists regarding the quantitative distribution of CMV DNA among leukocyte subpopulations (3, 27). The exact tropism and state of CMV genomes found in pp65-negative patients after successful antiviral therapy and in latently infected immunosuppressed patients are still poorly defined. CMV DNA occasionally detected in seropositive healthy blood donors is probably due to persistent viral genomes in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) (33–35).

If the detection of CMV genomes in different leukocyte subsets reflects different states of the virus, the fraction chosen for PCR analysis should strongly influence the value of PCR in discriminating between active and latent CMV infection or in monitoring antiviral therapy. Therefore, we have compared
qualitative and quantitative CMV PCRs for the major leukocyte subpopulations with plasma PCR for 40 CMV IgG-positive
renal-allograft recipients (i) with active CMV infections before, during, and after ganciclovir treatment and (ii) with latent CMV infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients. One hundred eighty-eight blood samples from 40 CMV IgG-positive renal-allograft recipients were analyzed. Only patients without current antiviral treatment at the time of the first investigation were enrolled in the study. Patients without evidence of active CMV infection who were prophylactically treated with ganciclovir during steroid-resistant graft rejection (anti-lymphocyte globulin [ATG] and/or OKT3) were excluded as well. Samples were collected weekly during the primary hospitalization phase (between 21 and 50 days after transplantation). Patients treated with ganciclovir during primary hospitalization were monitored every other day for 2 weeks and at weekly intervals for up to 5 further weeks. From five patients samples were drawn every 2 days for 2 weeks upon readmission to the ward due to active CMV infections between days 90 and 160 posttransplantation. All samples were analyzed prospectively.

Active CMV infection was confirmed by detection of pp65 antigens in PBL. Latent infection was assumed when leukocyte samples remained pp65 antigen negative and no organ involvement (see below) was detectable throughout the observation period. Positive CMV DNA PCR alone was not rated as active infection.

Patients suffering from symptomatic CMV infections or experiencing active CMV infection combined with steroid-resistant graft rejection were treated with ganciclovir for a minimum of 14 days at 10 mg/kg of body weight daily as adjustment for renal function. Symptomatic infection was defined by two CMV-associated symptoms (unexplained fever >38°C for >3 days, arthralgia, hyperhidrosis, graft dysfunction without histological evidence of rejection, leukopenia, thrombopenia-hypoplasia, or liver enzyme elevation) and/or confirmed organ involvement: pneumonia or gastrointestinal ulceration with virus isolation from biopsies (21).

Isolation of leukocytes. Ten milliliters of whole blood was collected in EDTA tubes and processed within 4 h postdrawing. Mixed PBL were isolated by centrifugation of 2 ml of whole blood at 700 × g for 3 min and subsequent lysing of erythrocytes with 0.8% ammonium chloride. Pelleted PBL were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4, and quantitated with a hemocytometer. Leukocytes containing 105 cells were subjected to antigenemia assay and PCR, respectively. PBMC (lymphocytes and monocytes) and PMNL were semipurified by Ficoll-metrizoate density centrifugation using Lymphoprep and Polymorphprep (Nycomed, Oslo, Norway) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and quantitated by hybridization to a strand-specifically labeled ST sequence, separated by temperature gradient gel electrophoresis, and densitometric analysis of autoradiographs (28). For products with ≤500 CMV DNA copies in 10 μl results from the high-ST reaction were used, and for products with ≤500 CMV DNA copies those from the low-ST reaction were used. Results were expressed as the average value of two measurements, which differed by ≤15% (data not shown). Exact quantification was possible within the ranges of 5 to 105 CMV wild-type genome and 2 × 102 to 2 × 103 β-globin copies per PCR.

In mixed PBL, CMV/cellular DNA ratios were expressed as CMV copies/105 copies of β-globulin (the theoretical maximum DNA yield from 105 cells). To allow a precise comparison of CMV DNA levels in PMNL and PBMC, CMV DNA copies were related to the expected β-globin DNA copy numbers present in the mixed PBL population. For example, if it × 108 PMNL were counted in 105 mixed PBL, the theoretical maximum cellular DNA yield from PMNL was 1.2 × 107 copies of β-globulin. Thus, in this case the CMV/β-globin ratio in the PMNL fraction was expressed as CMV copies/1.2 × 108 copies of β-globulin.

Plasma CMV copy numbers were expressed as CMV genome equivalents present in 10 μl of plasma (approximately equivalent to the volume of whole blood containing 105 PBL).

Statistical analysis. Frequencies of PCR-positive results among different leukocyte subsets were compared by McNemar’s test. Frequencies of PCR-positive results for plasma were compared by the χ2 test. Correlation of pp65 antigen-positive cell counts and CMV DNA levels in leukocyte subfractions was tested by Spearman regression analysis. Differences in pp65 antigen-positive cell counts and in CMV copy numbers between different groups of patients were tested for significance by the Mann-Whitney U test. In all test procedures, P values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Active CMV infections. Twenty-three of the 40 CMV IgG-positive patients developed CMV infections as confirmed by pp65 antigenemia. Eighteen patients, of whom 10 were considered to be symptomatic, were treated with ganciclovir. Four patients (of whom three showed other CMV-associated symptoms) experienced steroid-resistant graft rejection concomitant with pp65 antigenemia and were therefore additionally treated with ATG and OKT3.

A total of 43 pp65 antigen-positive specimens were obtained prior to antiviral treatment. Thirty additional samples were antigen positive after onset of ganciclovir therapy.

Sixty samples were obtained from the remaining 17 patients with no evidence of active CMV infection (SV, data not shown; Table 1). Thirty-eight samples were antigen positive before or during therapy tested positive by qualitative PCR for all leukocyte fractions (Table 1). All patients became pp65 antigen negative within the first week of ganciclovir therapy, which paralleled clinical recovery within 10 days. Of 55 pp65-negative samples analyzed up to 7 weeks after onset of treatment, 47 mixed-PBL samples (85.5%) (Table 1) and 45 PBMC samples (81.8%) were still CMV PCR positive. In contrast, consistent with clinical recovery, only four PMNL specimens (7.3%) remained positive (P < 0.001).

Of the 60 samples drawn from latently CMV-infected patients, 35 mixed-PBL samples (58.3%) and 31 PBMC samples (51.7%) were PCR positive, whereas only 3 (5.0%) of the PMNL fractions contained detectable CMV DNA (P < 0.001). All samples PMNL positive by PCR were also PBMC positive (data not shown).

For plasma, 39 (90.7%) of the 43 pp65-positive samples obtained before onset of therapy were positive by CMV PCR (Table 1). In contrast, only 19 (63.3%) (P < 0.05) of the 30 samples pp65 positive after onset of therapy, 6 (10.9%) (P < 0.001) of the 55 samples pp65 negative after therapy, and 5
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(8.3%) \( P < 0.001 \) of the 60 samples from latently infected patients were positive by plasma PCR.

No significant differences were observed between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients in the frequencies of positive PCR results for leukocytes or plasma before, during, or after therapy (data not shown).

**CMV DNA levels in patients with active CMV infection.** For the 73 pp65 antigen-positive samples CMV DNA copy numbers were determined in the leukocyte subsets and plasma. Antigen-positive counts varied between 4 and 563 (median, 152) cells/10^5 PBL in the 43 samples drawn from patients before onset of ganciclovir treatment and were significantly lower in the 30 samples obtained during therapy (range, 5 to 122; median, 26) \( P < 0.001 \).

CMV DNA copies in mixed PBL ranged from 10 to 2,580 (median, 950) copies/10^5 PBL before onset of therapy and from 10 to 870 (median, 190) copies/10^5 PBL during treatment \( P < 0.001 \). Similarly, in PMNL the differences in CMV DNA levels between groups were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test.

CMV DNA copy numbers significantly declined during ganciclovir therapy \( (10 \text{ to } 105 \text{ copies/10}^5 \text{ [median, 45]} \) in pp65-positive samples prior to treatment versus 5 to 45 copies/10^5 \( [\text{median, 25]} \) after onset of therapy) \( P < 0.001 \).

In the 55 samples which were pp65 antigen negative in patients following treatment with ganciclovir, CMV DNA load was significantly lower in mixed PBL and PMNL compared to samples of pp65 antigen-positive patients \( P < 0.001 \). Again, no difference in the numbers of CMV DNA copies in pp65-positive and pp65-negative samples was observed in PMBC. Analogously to mixed PBL and PMNL, CMV DNA load in plasma obtained from pp65-negative samples was significantly lower \( (P < 0.001) \) than that in pp65-positive samples.

**TABLE 1. Frequencies of positive CMV PCR results in leukocyte subsets and plasma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>Total no. of samples</th>
<th>No. of samples positive(^a) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed PBL</td>
<td>PBMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before therapy, pp65</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy pp65 positive</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pp65 negative(^c)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>47 (85.5)</td>
<td>45 (81.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent infection(^d)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35 (58.3)</td>
<td>31 (51.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) For leukocytes, \( \geq 5 \text{ copies/10}^5 \text{ total PBL, for plasma, } \geq 5 \text{ CMV DNA copies/10} \mu l. \)

\(^b\) Mixed PBL versus PMNL, no significant difference (McNemar’s test); mixed PBL versus PMNL, \( P < 0.001 \).

\(^c\) Mixed PBL versus PBMC, no significant difference (McNemar’s test); mixed PBL versus PMNL, \( P < 0.001 \).

\(^d\) Mixed PBL versus PBMC, no significant difference (McNemar’s test); mixed PBL versus PMNL, \( P < 0.001 \).

**TABLE 2. CMV DNA load in leukocyte subsets and plasma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>Median no. of pp65-positive cells(^a) (range)</th>
<th>Median no. of CMV DNA copies(^b) (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mixed PBL(^b))</td>
<td>(PBMC(^b))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before therapy, pp65</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>152 (4–563)</td>
<td>950 (10–2,580)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy pp65 positive</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26 (5–122)</td>
<td>190 (10–870)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pp65 negative(^c)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA(^e)</td>
<td>35 (5–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent infection(^d)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>NA(^e)</td>
<td>30 (10–65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Per 10^5 total PBL (leukocytes) or per 10 \mu l (plasma). Differences in CMV DNA levels between groups were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test.

\(^b\) pp65-positive samples before versus after onset of therapy, \( P < 0.001 \); pp65-positive samples versus pp65-negative samples after onset of therapy, \( P < 0.001 \); pp65-negative samples after onset of therapy versus latent infection, no significant difference.

\(^c\) No significant differences.

\(^d\) Before versus during therapy, \( P < 0.001 \). Only four samples after antiviral treatment and three samples in patients without active infection were PCR positive (Table 1).

\(^e\) Before versus during therapy, \( P < 0.001 \). Only six samples after antiviral treatment and five samples in patients without active infection were PCR positive (Table 1).

\(^f\) pp 65 antigen-positive cell counts before versus after therapy, \( P < 0.001 \). All samples were pp65 antigen negative when ganciclovir therapy was discontinued.

\(^g\) NA, not applicable.
genemia and DNAemia during antiviral treatment. In PBMC, no differences in CMV DNA levels were notable at all between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients (data not shown).

Spearman regression analysis revealed a high correlation of pp65 antigen-positive cells before onset of therapy with CMV DNA levels in mixed PBL ($r_S = 0.979; P = 0.001$) and PMNL ($r_S = 0.973; P < 0.001$) but not for PBMC ($r_S = -0.150; P = 0.20$). The same relationship was observed during antiviral treatment. Again, CMV DNA load in mixed PBL ($r_S = 0.964; P < 0.001$) and PMNL ($r_S = 0.985; P < 0.001$) but not in PBMC ($r_S = 0.068; P = 0.25$), correlated significantly with pp65 antigen-positive cell counts.

CMV DNA levels in patients with latent CMV infection. In PBMC, no differences in CMV DNA levels were detectable between patients with active CMV infection and those with latent CMV infection (Table 2). In contrast, the CMV DNA copy numbers were significantly lower in mixed PBL ($P < 0.001$) and PMNL ($P < 0.001$) of latently infected patients than in those of patients with active CMV infection and positive pp65 antigenemia. When pp65 antigenemia was negative after ganciclovir therapy of patients with active CMV infection, no significant differences in CMV DNA copy numbers were found in mixed PBL, PMNL, and PBMC compared to patients with latent infections.

Similarly to CMV DNA levels in mixed PBL and PMNL, in plasma samples from patients with latent infection CMV DNA levels were significantly lower ($P < 0.001$) than in pp65 antigen-positive samples (Table 2). No significant differences were noted in comparison to pp65 antigen-negative samples after ganciclovir treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

In our setting PBMC (monocytes and/or lymphocytes) must be regarded as the main source of unwanted positive results by
qualitative PCR in pp65 antigen-negative samples. In pp65 antigen-negative patients who had clinically recovered after antiviral therapy as well as in latentily infected patients the rate of detection CMV DNA in PMNL was significantly lower than the rate of detection in PBMC, which is compatible with reports that in latently infected patients PBMC harbor CMV DNA in the nuclei more frequently than PMNL (5, 22, 37). Even if PMNL were not infected at all, small-scale contamination of the Ficoll-purified PMNL fractions with monocytes and/or lymphocytes could cause positive PCR results.

CMV DNA copy numbers in PBMC determined by quantitative PCR were equally low in pp65 antigen-negative patients and in actively infected individuals, and no reduction kinetics were seen during antiviral therapy. This suggests that the detected CMV genomes reflected abortive infection. However, deviant observations have been reported (3, 27): some immunocompromised patients with active CMV infection showed high CMV DNA copy numbers in PBMC. Although not statistically significant, ganciclovir induction treatment has been shown to reduce the number of CMV genome copies in PBMC (3). The reasons for these discrepant findings are unclear. One possibility is that patients with primary CMV infections were enrolled in the above studies, whereas in our setting only patients with secondary CMV infections were monitored. During primary virus dissemination PBMC might play a more important role than in secondary infection. On the other hand, differences in cell tropism among viral strains should also be considered.

A high correlation has been described between pp65 antigen-positive cell counts and overall CMV DNA copies in mixed PBL detected by a hybrid capture system (23, 38). However, the quantitative distribution of CMV DNA among leukocyte subpopulations was not considered in these previous investigations. Utilizing quantitative PCR, we observed that solely CMV DNA copy numbers in the PMNL were responsible for the positive correlation with pp65 antigenemia. Firstly, pp65-positive samples showed significantly higher CMV DNA load in mixed PBL and in PMNL than pp65-negative samples. The mean differences in CMV DNA load in mixed PBL and PMNL were negligible due to the constantly low CMV DNA copy numbers in PBMC. Furthermore, the sharp decline of CMV DNA genomes in the mixed PBL fraction during antiviral therapy, which has also been noted by others (3, 9, 10), accompanied by rapid clinical recovery of patients with symptomatic infections, could be attributed to PMNL alone as well. Thus, CMV DNA copies in PMNL of pp65 antigen-negative patients following therapy showed no difference from the levels in PMNL of latently infected patients. Since the same high correlation between CMV DNA levels in mixed PBL or PMNL and pp65 antigen-positive cells could be observed before and during therapy, this would suggest that elimination kinetics are similar for both parameters. Considering the much higher stability of CMV DNA compared to pp65 antigens in blood samples processed with delay (30), CMV DNA quantitation in mixed PBL must be regarded as a promising alternative to the pp65 antigenemia assay.

Our findings add to the evidence that viral DNA detected in PMNL is associated with active CMV infection. This is supported by the fact that in patients who became symptomatic significantly higher peak CMV DNA levels were observed in the PMNL. However, de novo virus production seems to occur mainly at surrounding sites of replication (12, 14, 17, 22) and not, or probably to a much lesser extent (13, 39), in the PMNL themselves. Although only 1 to 4% of pp65 antigen-negative PMNL have been reported to harbor CMV DNA (17), we found CMV DNA by PCR in all PMNL preparations from actively infected patients. The fact that CMV genomes were detected in all PMNL fractions of low-level antigenemic samples may have been due in part to the presence of abortively infected PMNL or to small-scale contamination with infected PBMC. On the other hand, endothelium-derived cells carrying CMV DNA, which have been found in Ficoll-purified PMNL and PBMC fractions (15) during active CMV infection, also might have contributed to the positive PCR results. However, this was not likely to be a major reason for the high correlation of pp65 antigen with CMV DNA we observed in PMNL, since we found no increased percentage of atypical cells in Giemsa stains of PMNL fractions from high-level pp65-antigenemic patients.

In recent years CMV PCR for plasma has been proposed by various investigators (7, 24, 32). Advantages over analysis of leukocytes are seen in the fact that plasma is comparatively easy to process and that higher specificity of positive results with respect to active CMV infection has been reported. However, the exact character of DNA detectable in plasma is still controversial. Although infectious virus has occasionally been recovered from plasma (10, 32), shedding of CMV DNA from lysed leukocytes into the plasma is also a possible explanation (41). Although the CMV DNA remains detectable after ultrafiltration, cellular DNA is also routinely detected in the same samples (10). It is probable that the outcome of plasma PCR strongly varies with the DNA preparation method used. Therefore, more investigations are needed to precisely characterize the CMV DNA detectable in plasma samples.

In our setting positive CMV PCR from plasma was highly associated with active CMV infection. Although less sensitive than mixed-PBL PCR for identifying active infection, quantitative analysis revealed significantly higher peak levels in symptomatic than in asymptomatic patients, similar to leukocyte DNAemia. This has been also described by others for AIDS patients (10, 31), although for unknown reasons Gerna et al. (10) observed only low-level plasma DNAemia in solid-organ transplant recipients with CMV disease.

During antiviral therapy significant decline of plasma DNAemia was detectable. Furthermore, unwanted positive results in pp65-negative samples occurred in plasma with lower frequency than in mixed PBL. However, in comparing PCR for plasma with PCR for the purified leukocyte fractions the situation was different. PCR for PMNL was more sensitive for active infection than PCR for plasma and produced positive results in all samples still positive for pp65 antigens during antiviral treatment, whereas negative plasma PCR results were obtained in 38% of these samples.

Interestingly, in pp65-negative samples (after therapy or in latently CMV-infected patients) the frequency of positive PCR results was lower in PMNL than in plasma, although the difference was not statistically significant. The reasons for this are not clear, but the most likely explanation is that cell debris of latently CMV-infected PBMC contaminated plasma fractions, since the CMV copy numbers detected in plasma of pp65-negative samples were low and we did not subject plasma samples to ultrafiltration. Low copy numbers of cellular DNA in the plasma were also regularly detectable.

In summary, we demonstrated that analysis of leukocytes by qualitative CMV PCR to monitor secondary CMV infection and antiviral therapy in renal-allograft recipients is feasible only if purified PMNL are used. In our setting we observed higher sensitivity of PMNL PCR in pp65-positive samples but fewer unwanted positive PCR results in pp65 antigen-negative samples compared to corresponding plasma preparations.

On the other hand, mixed PBL are sufficient for use in quantitative PCR, since during active infections the differences
between mixed PBL and PMNL in CMV DNA load become negligible due to the low copy numbers of nonpredictive CMV DNA in PBMC. Furthermore, due to the higher sensitivity, negligible due to the low copy numbers of nonpredictive CMV between mixed PBL and PMNL in CMV DNA load become
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