Diagnostic Use of PCR for Detection of *Pneumocystis carinii* in Oral Wash Samples
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There is a need to develop noninvasive methods for the diagnosis of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in patients unable to undergo bronchoscopy or induction sputum. Oral wash specimens are easily obtained, and *P. carinii* nucleic acid can be amplified and demonstrated by PCR. In routine clinical use, easy sample processing and single-round PCR are needed to ensure rapid analysis and to reduce the risk of contamination. We developed a single-round Touchdown PCR (TD-PCR) protocol with the ability to detect PCR inhibition in the specimen. The TD-PCR was evaluated in a routine diagnostic laboratory and was compared to a previously described PCR protocol (mitochondrial RNA) run in a research laboratory. Both PCR methods amplified a sequence of the mitochondrial rRNA gene of *P. carinii*. Paired bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and oral wash specimens from 76 consecutive human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected persons undergoing a diagnostic bronchoscopy were included. The TD-PCR procedure was quicker than the mitochondrial PCR procedure (<24 versus 48 h), and, compared to microscopy, had sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of 89, 94, 93, and 91%, respectively, for oral wash specimens and 100, 91, 90, and 100%, respectively, for BAL specimens. Our results suggest that oral wash specimens are a potential noninvasive method to obtain a diagnostic specimen during *P. carinii* pneumonia infection and that it can be applied in a routine diagnostic laboratory.

For years, diagnosis of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia (PCP) has relied on microscopic visualization of *P. carinii* in specimens obtained from the lung either by bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or by induction of sputum. Currently, the “gold standard” for diagnosis of PCP involves colorimetric and immunofluorescent stains of BAL fluid, with sensitivity and specificity values of >95% (11, 16). In contrast, the sensitivity of conventionally stained induced-sputum specimens is variable (45 to 78%) (8, 16, 25). PCR-based assays have been shown to increase diagnostic sensitivity in induced-sputum specimens with small numbers of *P. carinii* organisms (6, 8, 21, 24–26, 34). However, less-invasive diagnostic procedures are needed for patients with severe respiratory distress or tendency to bleed, because both BAL and induced-sputum methods may prove difficult, contraindicated, or unpleasant for the patient.

PCR amplification of noninvasive serum or blood samples has shown conflicting results, with sensitivities ranging from 0 to 100% (3, 9, 12, 19, 28, 29, 32). Currently, the use of such specimens remains to be established (12, 19).

PCR detection of *P. carinii* in oral wash specimens represents an alternative noninvasive method. Oral wash specimens are obtained by having the patient rinse and gargle the mouth in sterile saline. By this method, Wakefield et al. detected *P. carinii* DNA in 78% of 18 human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients with confirmed PCP and in none of 13 patients without PCP (35). Atzori et al. have reported a sensitivity of 90% using a nested PCR on oral wash specimens from 10 HIV-positive patients (2). We have recently used a similar method on specimens from 26 patients with hematological malignancies (14). We detected *P. carinii* in oral wash specimens of all 8 patients with verified PCP using a simple extraction method and a Touchdown PCR (TD-PCR). Oral wash specimens are easy to obtain even among severely ill patients unable to undergo more invasive procedures.

In order to use PCR in clinical diagnostic laboratories, easy sample extraction and, when possible, single-round PCR methods are needed to avoid the possibility of contamination by nested PCR. Furthermore, a large number of samples are needed to determine the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of a new PCR with oral wash specimens for the diagnosis of PCP. We prospectively evaluated the use of two different PCR protocols on oral wash specimens for the diagnosis of PCP and compared them to PCR and microscopic examination of BAL. The principal aim of this study was to develop a single-round PCR applicable in routine laboratories which could generate a clinical usable answer within 1 working day.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient specimens.** Paired samples of BAL and oral wash specimens from 76 HIV-infected patients undergoing diagnostic bronchoscopy due to respiratory symptoms were collected between January 1995 and March 1997 at the Department of Infectious Diseases, Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark. Oral wash specimens were collected prior to bronchoscopy by patients rinsing and gargling their oral cavities with 10 ml of sterile saline for 1 min. All BAL specimens and 10 oral wash specimens obtained from patients with microscopic verified *P. carinii* organisms in BAL were stained with Giemsa and immunofluorescence stains (Genetic Systems, Seattle, Wash) as previously described (16, 30). A case of PCP was defined by detection of *P. carinii* organisms in the BAL specimens by microscopy. From seven patients, more than one oral wash specimen (two to eight per patient) were collected during the treatment of PCP.

**Control specimens.** A total of 60 paired BAL and oral wash specimens were collected from HIV-infected heart and lung transplant patients during routine control bronchoscopy after transplantation.

**DNA extraction.** DNA was extracted from BAL by phenol-chloroform extraction or by Chelex extraction.
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TABLE 1. Specimens obtained from 76 HIV-positive patients and 41 control patients evaluated by two different PCR methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR method(s)</th>
<th>No. of HIV-positive patients</th>
<th>No. of patients with PCR (%)</th>
<th>No. of control patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TD-PCR and mtPCR</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14 (44)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD-PCR only</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14 (48)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mtPCR only</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10 (66)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>38 (50)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P. carinii-specific primers added to the 5' end of the corresponding lambda primer.

† None of the patients had microscopically verified or clinical PCP in 60 BAL specimens.

RESULTS

Paired oral wash and BAL specimens from 76 HIV-positive patients who underwent diagnostic bronchoscopy due to respiratory symptoms were evaluated by two PCR methods (Table 1). Detection of P. carinii organisms in Giemsa- and immunofluorescence-stained BAL specimens was considered a gold standard for the diagnosis of PCP (Table 1). Only 10 of the PCR-positive oral wash specimens from patients with confirmed PCP were evaluated by microscopy using immunofluorescent stains, since all 10 were negative.

TD-PCR. Sixty-one paired oral wash and BAL specimens were evaluated by TD-PCR in a laboratory handling routine PCRs for various pathogens. A lambda DNA internal process control, which was included to control for inhibition of the PCR, was added to the same reaction tube as the clinical specimen. Amplification products were visualized on agarose gels, as shown in Fig. 1, in which lanes 1 and 6 are true negative samples amplifying the internal process control DNA at 656 bp. Lanes 2, 4, and 5 are P. carinii-positive samples amplifying both internal process control and P. carinii DNA at 346 bp, whereas lane 3 is a false negative, since no internal process control is present due to inhibition of the PCR. In this way, inhibition was observed in 5 of 85 oral wash specimens and in 1 of 61 BAL specimens; however, in all cases inhibition could be circumvented by diluting the sample 1:2.

A total of 25 oral wash specimens as well as 28 BAL specimens from 28 patients with confirmed PCP were PCR positive, as shown in Table 2. All results generated by the TD-PCR were confirmed by the confirmatory ssuPCR. When microscopy was considered a gold standard for the diagnosis of PCP, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of TD-PCR were 89, 94, 93, and 91, respectively, for oral wash specimens and 100, 91, 90, and 100%, respectively, for BAL specimens.

Discrepant results between PCR and microscopy were found...
in three BAL and two oral wash specimens from a total of three patients without microscopy-verified PCP (Table 3). One patient was on a regimen of inhaled-pentamidine prophylaxis, had clinical PCP, and received therapy but died after 10 days; no autopsy was performed. The second patient did not receive prophylaxis prior to bronchoscopy, and had a CD4 cell count of 182 cells/μl and Mycobacterium tuberculosis was isolated from the BAL fluid. After bronchoscopy, the patient started an antituberculosis medication regimen and PCP prophylaxis but died 1.5 months later. Autopsy verified the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis but not of PCP. The third patient had a CD4 cell count of 39 cells/μl and was started on antiretrovirus therapy and PCP prophylaxis but died 1.5 months later. Autopsy verified the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis but not of PCP. The third patient had a CD4 cell count of 39 cells/μl and was started on antiretrovirus therapy and PCP prophylaxis but died 1.5 months later. Autopsy verified the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis but not of PCP. The third patient had a CD4 cell count of 39 cells/μl and was started on antiretrovirus therapy and PCP prophylaxis but died 1.5 months later. Autopsy verified the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis but not of PCP. The third patient had a CD4 cell count of 39 cells/μl and was started on antiretrovirus therapy and PCP prophylaxis but died 1.5 months later. Autopsy verified the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis but not of PCP.

From seven HIV-positive patients, serial oral wash specimens were obtained from the day on which each patient was started on anti-PCP therapy (Table 4). Thirty-one specimens from these seven patients were evaluated by TD-PCR. Seven specimens obtained from three patients were negative, and five of these were obtained from the same patient 2 or more days after therapy was initiated (Table 4). For two of the patients, positive results of the PCR test were obtained after one negative test. Positive results could be detected up to day 12 after the introduction of therapy.

As a control, 60 paired BAL and oral wash specimens from 41 immunosuppressed heart and lung transplant patients were evaluated by this method. In one patient, oral wash and BAL specimens were positive by TD-PCR as well as by the confirmatory ssuPCR, but routine stains were negative for P. carinii. Specimens were obtained from this patient 2 weeks after a double lung transplant; when the patient was receiving high-dose steroids due to rejection of the transplant as well as bacitram prophylaxis, the patient did not develop clinical PCP.

mtPCR. Prior to the development of TD-PCR, 47 HIV-positive patients were evaluated by the more-laborious phenol-chloroform extraction method and a previously described PCR performed in a research laboratory (36). P. carinii DNA was detected in oral wash specimens obtained from 71% (17 of 24) of the patients and in 96% (23 of 24) of the BAL specimens from patients with confirmed PCP. No P. carinii DNA was detected in any of the oral wash or BAL specimens from patients without PCP. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of mtPCR were 71, 100, 100, and 77%, respectively, for oral wash specimens and 96, 100, 100, and 100%, respectively, for BAL specimens. A human beta globulin PCR was negative in four of seven oral wash specimens from patients with confirmed PCP. In total, inhibition was present in nine oral wash specimens and in one BAL specimen, generating false-negative results.

Comparison of the two PCR methods could be made for 32 paired original specimens (Table 1) which were available after the specimens had been processed for mtPCR. For both TD-PCR and mtPCR, the detection limit was the same dilution of a plasmid containing the mtPCR amplicon of P. carinii (1:4,000). Agreement between the two PCR methods was found among 28 paired specimens. In four patients, discrepancies between mtPCR and TD-PCR were observed. In three patients with PCP, oral wash specimens were TD-PCR positive but mtPCR negative. For one patient without microscopic or clinical PCP, TD-PCR was positive only for the BAL specimen, whereas the mtPCR result was negative. Among 14 patients with confirmed PCP (Table 1), TD-PCR and mtRNA detected P. carinii DNA in oral wash specimens from 79% (11 of 14) and from 57% (8 of 14), respectively (P = 0.22).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, PCR detection of P. carinii DNA in oral wash and BAL fluid specimens was compared to microscopic detection of P. carinii in BAL fluid. An improved single-round PCR method with the ability to detect PCP inhibition in the specimen was developed.

Wakefield et al. first reported the use of PCR for oral wash specimens in detecting P. carinii (35). Among 18 patients with PCP, 14 could be diagnosed by oral wash specimens by using both PCR and oligoblotting. PCR was negative for all 13 patients without verified PCP. With the ITS primers and a nested PCR, preliminary data have indicated that P. carinii DNA could be detected in oral wash specimens from 9 of 10 patients with PCP (2). We have recently evaluated oral wash specimens for the diagnosis of PCP in 26 patients with hematological malignancies, detecting P. carinii DNA in 8 patients with verified PCP and in none of 18 patients without PCP (14). These studies were done in research laboratories and with a relatively small number of PCP patients; no control groups were included, and the definitive diagnosis was not systematically assessed (2, 14, 35).

Most reports evaluating PCR detection of P. carinii DNA have been done with BAL and induced-sputum specimens. Different gene targets and both single-round and nested PCRs have been evaluated. Lu and colleagues evaluated six different PCR methods and found that nested PCR was more sensitive than single-round PCR when 30 BAL specimens from PCR

### TABLE 2. Detection of P. carinii by TD-PCR in paired oral wash and BAL specimens obtained from HIV-infected patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen source</th>
<th>PCR+/PCP+ (%)</th>
<th>PCR-/PCP- (%)</th>
<th>PCR-/PCP+ (%)</th>
<th>PCR+/PCP- (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral wash</td>
<td>25 (89)</td>
<td>31 (94)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL</td>
<td>28 (100)</td>
<td>30 (91)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The total number of patients was 61, of whom 28 were diagnosed with PCP according to detection of P. carinii in BAL specimens by Giemsa and immunofluorescence staining.

### TABLE 3. TD-PCR-positive specimens without microscopically verified P. carinii in BAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>PCR result (BAL/oral wash)</th>
<th>CD4 cell count/μl</th>
<th>Lung diagnosis</th>
<th>Prophylaxis against PCP</th>
<th>Development of PCP (time of follow-up)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dead (day 10 after BAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>PCTuberculosis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dead (day 40 after BAL, no PCP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (4 days)</td>
<td>No PCP (after 6 mo)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Received pentamidine intravenously because of suspicion of PCP; no P. carinii organisms detected in BAL specimens.

b,c, no specific pathogen was identified.
patients were evaluated (20). BAL has a high sensitivity in diagnosing PCP with routine stains (30), and recent reports have found that PCR detects *P. carinii* DNA in BAL specimens obtained from patients without clinical disease, suggesting colonization or subclinical infection (23, 26, 27). Therefore, a PCR-positive result obtained for a BAL specimen may not necessarily correlate with clinical disease caused by *P. carinii* (5, 18, 25–27, 31, 38). In order to introduce PCR into the routine diagnosis of PCP, the method needs to be at least as sensitive as conventional stains and a positive PCR result must correlate with clinical disease. If PCR-based methods are to be used in the routine diagnosis of PCP, simple sample extraction procedures and short procedure times, as well as the inclusion of internal inhibitor controls, are needed to detect false-negative results (1, 23, 33). Although nested PCR has been reported to increase the sensitivity of PCP detection (20, 38), in our view, single-round PCR is preferable to nested PCR in a routine laboratory to reduce the risk of contamination.

To properly evaluate the diagnostic use of oral wash specimens in detecting *P. carinii*, we collected paired oral wash and BAL specimens from 76 HIV-positive patients who underwent bronchoscopy due to respiratory symptoms. By using the mtPCR on oral wash specimens from 47 patients, it was possible to obtain a sensitivity of 71%, which was comparable to the 78% originally reported (35). Subsequently, we optimized the PCR methodology and had the specimens analyzed in a routine laboratory. We modified the previously described mtPCR to a more user-friendly single-round TD-PCR and evaluated it for 61 HIV-positive patients (Table 1). Specimens were extracted by Chelex 100, which is easy to do in a routine diagnostic laboratory because it requires few handling procedures and takes less than 20 min. We used the same primers as those in the mtRNA PCR but used a TD-PCR procedure to increase sensitivity in clinical specimens. There was no difference in the detection limits of a purified plasmid DNA between the two PCR methods. However, the advantage of the TD-PCR is that the procedure can detect specific DNA in clinical specimens containing a variety of different DNAs with a higher sensitivity (13).

PCR inhibition caused by the presence of PCR inhibitors in respiratory-tract specimens can be a problem; in this way, PCR inhibition has been described for 36% of 102 induced-sputum specimens, for 5% of 83 BAL specimens, and for 50% of 6 sputum samples (23). Therefore, the use of an internal process control is particularly important in respiratory-tract specimens. We found PCR inhibition in 19% of the oral wash specimens and in 2% of the BAL specimens using proteinase K extraction and mtPCR. With 2 µl of the Chelex-extracted specimen and by TD-PCR, 6% of 85 oral wash specimens inhibited the PCR. However, after dilution of the specimen, the inhibition problem was eliminated as previously described (23).

By TD-PCR, a final result could be generated within 6 h. A negative result could be inferred for all lanes containing only lambda DNA, and a presumptive positive result could be inferred for lanes with a band at 346 bp, suggesting that *P. carinii* cells were present in the sample (Fig. 1). A confirmatory result generated by a second PCR could be done within 24 h. By TD-PCR, the sensitivity and specificity in oral wash specimens were found to be 89 and 94%, respectively, for diagnosis of 28 patients with PCP (Table 2). With three patients, a discrepancy between direct microscopy of BAL specimens and the PCR result was observed (Table 3). For two patients, *P. carinii* DNA was detected in both oral wash and BAL specimens but no *P. carinii* organisms could be detected by direct microscopy performed by two independent laboratories blinded to the findings of the other. All three patients may have been colonized as previously described (27), and only few *P. carinii* organisms were present in the BAL specimens; hence, they were not detected by the microscopists. Contamination in these specimens was unlikely, since the results were confirmed by the confirmatory PCR amplifying a different gene target and also after reextraction of the original specimen.

For seven patients, serial oral wash specimens were available. *P. carinii* DNA could be detected in the majority of these patients up to day 12 after initiation of anti-PCP therapy. Systematically collected oral wash specimens are needed to evaluate the use of oral wash specimens in monitoring therapy. In oral wash specimens, the load of *P. carinii* organisms is low, since no organisms were identified by immunofluorescence in 10 PCR-positive oral wash specimens obtained from patients with verified PCP. However, when a patient has PCP, small amounts of *P. carinii* DNA appear to be present in the oropharynx and probably all specimens obtained from the oropharynx and nasopharynx are potentially useful in detection of PCP by PCR. This will especially be an advantage in diagnosing PCP among hematological patients often unable to sustain invasive diagnostic procedures because of bleeding tendencies (14). PCR diagnosis of PCP may be of benefit to children (17); however, the exact value of oral wash specimens in diagnosing PCP in this patient category needs to be established.

To further verify the specificity of the test, 60 oral wash and BAL specimens obtained from control bronchoscopy of 41 severely immunosuppressed heart and lung transplant patients were evaluated by TD-PCR. Only one oral wash specimen and one BAL specimen obtained from the same patient were positive. This patient was receiving a high dose of a steroid known to dispose for development of PCP and bactrim prophylaxis at the same time, which probably prevented development of clinical PCP. Paired oral wash and BAL specimens were obtained 60 days later from this patient, and *P. carinii* was not detected either by microscopic evaluation of BAL or by PCR. These results do not support the high prevalence of PCR-positive BAL specimens found in patients without clinical PCP (23, 27). This is not caused by a low prevalence of PCP in general, as illustrated by the findings among AIDS patients in Denmark (22), but may reflect differences in populations submitted to bronchoscopy or differences in diagnostic techniques.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that it is possible to apply the PCR technique in diagnosing PCP with oral wash specimens in a routine diagnostic laboratory. Oral wash specimens are easy to obtain, and they do not require special equipment or specially trained staff. The sample extraction method and PCR conditions used are the same as those for other respiratory pathogens, which may allow testing for more than one microorganism simultaneously. Although investigation of a larger number of patients with PCP would have been preferable, our results indicate that oral wash specimens are a potentially

---

**TABLE 4. Detection of *P. carinii* by TD-PCR in serially collected oral wash specimens from seven patients with confirmed PCP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Detection of <em>P. carinii</em> DNA in oral wash specimens at the following days after initiation of anti-PCP treatment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
useful noninvasive method for diagnosing PCP by PCR. This method may be especially useful for patients unable to sustain more-invasive diagnostic procedures. In addition, the possibility of detecting \textit{P. carinii} DNA in oral wash specimens may facilitate study of the genotyping, epidemiology, and response to therapy of infection with \textit{P. carinii}.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Birthe Dohn, Aase Mayer, and Lena Hansen for excellent technical assistance.

This study was supported in part by the AIDS Foundation and ECA Bio-Med 1 no. PL 941118 and by the Danish Medical Research Council (grant 9601812). Jannik Helweg-Larsen was supported by the Danish Medical Research Council (grant 9702462).

REFERENCES