Human Papillomavirus (HPV) DNA Copy Number Is Dependent on Grade of Cervical Disease and HPV Type
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The association between human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA copy number and cervical disease was investigated. Viral DNA copy number for the most common high-risk HPV types in cervical cancer (types 16, 18, 31, and 45) was determined in cervical cytobrush specimens from 149 women with high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasias (CIN II-CIN III), 176 with low-grade CIN (CIN I), and 270 with normal cytology. Quantitative, PCR-based fluorescent assays for each of the HPV genotypes and for the β-globin gene were used. The amount of cellular DNA increased significantly with increasing disease; thus, HPV was expressed as copies per microgram of cellular DNA. The assay had a dynamic range of >107 copies/μg, allowing documentation for the first time of the wide range of HPV copy numbers seen in clinical specimens. Median HPV DNA copy number varied by more than 103 among the viral types. HPV16 was present in the highest copy number; over 55% of HPV16-positive samples contained more than 108 copies/μg. Median copy number for HPV16 showed dramatic increases with increasing epithelial abnormality, an effect not seen with the other HPV types. HPV16 increased from a median of 2.2 × 102 in patients with normal cytology, to 4.1 × 103 in CIN I patients, to 1.3 × 106 copies/μg in CIN II-III patients. Even when stratified by cervical disease and viral type, the range of viral DNA copies per microgram of cellular DNA was quite large, precluding setting a clinically significant cutoff value for “high” copy numbers predictive of disease. This study suggests that the clinical usefulness of HPV quantitation requires reassessment and is assay dependent.

Human papillomaviruses (HPVs) induce a variety of proliferative lesions, but only the “high-risk” genotypes are associated with anogenital cancers (15, 16). The most common high-risk types of HPV in cervical cancer in the United States are HPV16, -18, -31, and -45 (2). Cervical cancer is thought to develop from cervical intraepithelial neoplasias (CIN), which are graded from I to III depending on the degree of epithelial abnormality (11). The prevalence of high-risk HPV types increases with the grade of CIN (4, 10).

Average HPV DNA copy number has been shown to increase significantly with the grade of CIN for HPV16 but not for the other high-risk types, suggesting a genotype-specific association between HPV DNA load and neoplastic progression. However, viral DNA load (5, 12) determinations have thus far been limited by the sensitivity and specificity of the tests used. Some determinations have been made with the Hybrid Capture system (Digene Diagnostics, Silver Spring, Md.), which is quantitative between approximately 5 × 102 and 5 × 107 viral DNA copies, but this does not cover the full range observed in clinical specimens (about 102 to 109 copies) (3). Hybrid Capture uses probe mixes, and viral load results are thus an average of all the HPVs present. Viral load determinations for HPV types 31 and 45 in CIN have not been reported.

The present study reports HPV DNA load for four high-risk HPV types (types 16, 18, 31, and 45) in women with various degrees of cervical abnormality, from cytologically normal through CIN II-III. A single type-specific, quantitative assay, with an effective range of 102 to 109 copies of HPV DNA, was used for each genotype. Viral DNA load was found to vary by orders of magnitude with HPV genotype and cervical disease grade.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population. Both patients and healthy subjects were nonpregnant white, African-American, or Hispanic women, aged 18 years and older, and residents of Harris County, Tex., at the time of the study. Other eligibility criteria for both groups included no previous history of cervical neoplasia, of treatment for cervical neoplasia or cancer, or of hysterectomy. Patients with a confirmed histological diagnosis of CIN were identified among women referred to the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center Colposcopy Clinic (UTMDACC) between September 1991 and August 1994 for further evaluation of an abnormal Pap smear. Of 640 women, 399 were confirmed with CIN; 325 met the other eligibility requirements and agreed to participate. Healthy women were selected from women attending family planning and screening services at two Harris County Health Department clinics serving large, multiethnic populations. Women were eligible for the healthy group when the cytological smear at the time of recruitment was normal and when they had no history of abnormal Pap smear or cervical biopsy. Of 414 women who met all eligibility criteria, 270 agreed to participate.

Patient data collection. Patients had a complete physical examination, a repeat Pap smear, a colposcopic examination, colposcopically directed biopsies of abnormal areas, and two cervical samples collected for HPV testing. Cytologically normal women had a complete physical examination, a Pap smear, and two cervical samples collected for HPV testing. Exams and specimen collection for cytologically normal women were performed by nurse practitioners trained at the UTMDACC. The first samples for HPV testing were collected with a cotton swab and preserved in the transport medium provided by the manufacturer (Digene). The samples for PCR analysis were then collected with cervical brushes which were placed in vials and frozen immediately.

Cytological and histological diagnoses. Cytological and histological specimens for all patients were interpreted at the UTMDACC Department of Pathology.
Two independent readers at the UTMDACC reviewed each Pap smear and biopsy. A committee of staff members including the Director of the Cytology Service (M.F.M.) reviewed discrepant cases monthly and reached a final diagnosis. Cytological specimens for the healthy women were read and interpreted at the Cytology Clinic (M.F.M.) reviewed discrepant cases monthly and reached a final diagnosis. Pap smear diagnoses between that hospital and the UTMDACC was observed.

DNA extraction-identification of HPV DNA-positive specimens. The cytobrush specimens were thawed and vortexed in 1 ml of 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline–5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4. A contamination control, consisting of 1 ml of water, was inserted after every 10th patient sample and subjected to the entire extraction and DNA detection protocol. Specimens were centrifuged at 1,000 × g for 5 min at room temperature. DNA was isolated from each cell pellet by standard phenol-chloroform extraction. Each supernatant from the DNA extraction was centrifuged in a Centricon 100 microconcentrator (Amicon, Inc., Beverly, Mass.) at 1,000 × g for 30 min. Retentates were collected and diluted to 200 μl with water. Ten microliters of this DNA was used in each fluorogenic PCR.

Each DNA specimen was tested for overall HPV positivity by PCR with L1 consensus primers (13) followed by electrophoresis in ethidium bromide-containing gels. HPV-positive samples were then tested by the quantitative fluorescent probe assay for HPV types 16, 18, 31, and 45.

Probes and primers. The fluorogenic probe assay is based on the increase in fluorescent signal which occurs when probes are degraded by the 5′-3′ exonuclease activity of Taq polymerase (9). After degradation, the reporter dyes, FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein) and HEX (hexachlorofluorescein), present at the 5′ end of each probe, thereby increasing the fluorescent signal from the reporter dyes. The probe sequences for each of the high-risk HPVs (Table 1) were selected and synthesized as described previously (18). The primer sequences (Table 1) were selected by using the Oligo 5.0 primer analysis program (National Biosciences, Inc., Plymouth, Minn.). The primer pairs for each of the HPV types were selected based on having a Tm of approximately 65°C, predicted lack of cross-hybridization to other common HPV types, no predicted loop formation, and no predicted dimer formation with the other primer.

Assay controls. Control templates for HPV types 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 45, 51, 52, and 56 were prepared by PCR amplification of cloned DNA with L1 type-specific primers (sequences available on request). The DNA concentrations were determined by fluorometry (Dynal Quant 200; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, N.J.). Assay controls, consisting of a dilution series of the homologous template (1 × 10^3 to 3 × 10^10 copies) and a set of heterologous templates (2 × 10^10 copies each of HPV types 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 45, 51, 52, and 56 in separate tubes), were included in each run. Each control sample also contained 50 ng of human placental DNA. Significant cross-reactivity was not normally observed with any of the heterologous templates. Data was utilized only from assays in which the controls registered <50 copies of each heterologous template.

Fluorogenic PCR. The 50-μl PCR mixtures contained 10 mM Tris (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 4.5 mM MgCl_2, 200 μM deoxynucleoside triphosphates, 0.3 μM (each) primer, 50 nM (each) fluorogenic probe (FAM-HPV probe and HEX-globin probe), 0.025 U of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (The Perkin-Elmer Corp., Norwalk, Conn.) per μl, and 10 μl of template DNA. Following Taq polymerase activation and template denaturation for 12 min at 95°C, amplification conditions were as follows: 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 10 s at 60°C, and 2 min at 65°C. Amplification was carried out in a Perkin-Elmer 9600 thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer), after which the samples were transferred to a MicroFLUOR W, 96-well, white microtiter plate (Dynatech Industries, Inc., McLean, Va.), and the fluorescence was measured in a Perkin-Elmer LS-50B luminescence spectrometer. Data acquisition and analysis were performed with the TaqMan Fluorescence Data Manager (Perkin-Elmer) and Excel 5.0 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Wash.). None of the contamination controls tested positive.

Copy number determination. The spillover fluorescence from the FAM (HPV) channel into the HEX (globin) channel and vice versa was calculated from two sets of control samples, one containing both probes but only HPV template and the second containing both probes but only globin template. Included with each set of patient specimens were the assay controls and a dilution series of non-HPV-containing human cellular DNA. Plots of the homologous template dilution series fluorescence versus log (template copies) were linear above the threshold of 50 to 10^5 copies, thus allowing HPV copy number to be determined from the fluorescence in patient samples. Globin copy numbers in each specimen were determined similarly. All patient samples were assayed at least twice; samples with copy numbers >10^5 were diluted and retested. Patient copy numbers were the average of at least two determinations.

Deletion of DNA from the HPV genome is known to occur on integration; however, in most cases integration occurs in the E1-E2 region and the L1 open reading frame is retained (17, 20). In addition, integration occurs only rarely in CIN lesions (6, 8). Thus, the L1 copy numbers reported here should reflect the complete genome copy numbers.

Data analysis. The positive threshold for each assay was the average signal in all contamination controls plus two standard deviations. The thresholds were different for each assay: HPV16, 37 copies; HPV18, 60 copies; HPV31, 34 copies; HPV45, 96 copies. The sensitivity of the consensus primer PCR test used to select HPV-positive specimens (see above) was also about 100 copies. Comparison of median copy numbers between types requires that the threshold be set at or above the highest value for any type. The threshold in the present study was set at 100 copies. From the globin and HPV copy numbers, HPV copies per microgram of human cellular DNA were calculated. Determinations of the percentage of infected cells in each sample were not made, and hence copies per infected cell could not be calculated.

RESULTS

We detected HPV in 50% (298 of 595) of the samples. The four high-risk types included in the fluorogenic assay accounted for 55% (164 of 298) of the infections. Of these, HPV16 was the most common HPV DNA detected (78 single
infections and 105 in multiple infections), HPV showed the expected association with cervical disease status (Table 2), although only HPV16 showed a statistically significant increase in prevalence with increasing severity of cervical disease.

The amount of human cellular DNA per sample varied widely, ranging from $4 \times 10^{-4}$ to $2 \times 10^3 \mu g$ per sample. As shown in Fig. 1, cellular yield varied with cervical disease status; there was a modest but statistically significant increase in DNA content as cervical disease status changed from normal through CIN II-III (Kruskal-Wallis test, $P = 0.0004$). To minimize the effect of cellularity on HPV levels, HPV copy number was normalized to cellular DNA and expressed as copies per microgram of cellular DNA.

The quantity of HPV DNA in each sample covered a range of 12 logs (10^2 to 10^{14} copies). Replicate assays were generally within 10%. The HPV copy number per microgram varied greatly with HPV type. Most (55%) of the HPV16-positive samples contained more than $10^6$ copies/µg whereas most (55%) of the HPV45 specimens contained $10^3$ copies/µg or less (Fig. 2). To facilitate comparisons and statistical analysis, log[HPV copy number per microgram] was used. Because of the range of copies encountered, comparisons between groups of samples were best achieved by looking at medians of the log[HPV copy number per microgram of cellular DNA]. The median values for types 16, 18, 31, and 45 were $5.0 \times 10^3$, $1.5 \times 10^3$, $2.7 \times 10^3$, and $6.9 \times 10^3$ copies/µg, respectively (includes both single and multiple infections).

Stratification of the medians of the log[HPV copy number per microgram] by cervical disease status and HPV type is shown in Fig. 3; Fig. 3A includes samples with multiple infections, whereas Fig. 3B includes only single infections. Within each stratification, the amount of HPV DNA varied significantly, ranging over 7 logs. HPV16 is distinguished from the other types by having the highest copy numbers and by showing a statistically significant increase in copy number per microgram with increasing severity of cervical disease (Fig. 3A, $P = 0.028$; Fig. 3B, $P = 0.030$). Because of the high prevalence of HPV16 in the patient samples, this effect was also seen when the data for all four HPV types was combined (Fig. 3). For cervical samples without detected abnormality (normals), there was no significant HPV type-specific difference in the viral load (median of log HPV DNA per microgram), whereas for CIN I and CIN II-III, HPV type-specific differences in viral load were seen ($P = 0.0002$ and $P = 0.0001$, respectively).

DISCUSSION

This report describes the quantitative measurement of viral DNA amounts for the four most common high-risk HPV types in cervical specimens. Two primary results were obtained. First, the amount of HPV DNA differed by orders of magnitude among high-risk HPV types; patients with CIN II-III who were HPV16 positive had a median HPV DNA amount that was 4,000 to 6,000 times that seen in HPV18-, HPV31-, or HPV45-positive patients with the same disease. Second, the amount of HPV DNA for type 16, but not for type 18, 31, or 45, increased by orders of magnitude with increasing disease grade. Women with CIN II-III had a median amount of HPV16 DNA, which was more than 30 times higher than that of HPV16-infected women with CIN I and more than 60 times higher than that of HPV16-positive, cytologically normal women. This effect was seen both in women infected with a single HPV type (Fig. 3B) and in those infected with multiple types (Fig. 3A). Approximately 45% of the L1 consensus, HPV-positive samples consisted of types not included in the fluorogenic assay; thus some of the samples reported as single infections may in fact be mixed infections including types not assayed. The percentage of samples containing multiples of HPV type 16, 18, 31, or 45 increased with grade of disease.

The large dynamic range of the fluorogenic PCR assay used in this study allowed the 12-log range of HPV copy number in cervical samples to be clearly documented. Only one previous report of quantitative PCR for HPV16 DNA in cervical samples demonstrated a range of viral detection similar to the mean values found in this study (19), and quantitation of other types was not examined. While our findings, in agreement with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>No. of normals&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>No. of CIN I&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>No. of CIN II-III&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPV16</td>
<td>7 (2.6)</td>
<td>15 (8.5)</td>
<td>56 (37.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV18</td>
<td>4 (1.5)</td>
<td>10 (5.7)</td>
<td>6 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV31</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>5 (2.8)</td>
<td>12 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV45</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
<td>10 (5.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple types</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>15 (8.5)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other types&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37 (13.7)</td>
<td>61 (34.7)</td>
<td>36 (24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>215 (79.6)</td>
<td>60 (34.1)</td>
<td>22 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Determined by Pap cytology.  
<sup>b</sup> Determined by histologic analysis of biopsy specimen.  
<sup>c</sup> Positive in L1 consensus PCR, negative in all type-specific assays.  
<sup>d</sup> Types not examined.
the four HPV types returned the following significance of the copy number differences among normal, CIN I, and CIN II-III DNA]

in normal, CIN I, and CIN II-III samples, respectively. (A) All HPV-positive samples (including multiple infections). A Kruskal-Wallis test of the significance of the copy number differences among normal, CIN I, and CIN II-III samples for the four HPV types returned the following P values: HPV16, P = 0.03; HPV18, P = 0.45; HPV31, P = 0.97; and HPV45, P = 0.30. (B) Singly infected samples. P values were as follows: HPV16, P = 0.03; HPV18, P = 0.12; HPV31, P = 0.73; and HPV45, P = 0.94.

FIG. 3. High-risk HPV copy number per microgram stratified by HPV type and sample histology. The median HPV copy numbers per microgram were calculated for each of the three disease categories. The stippled, reverse-stippled, and striped bars indicate median (log [copy numbers per microgram of cellular DNA]) in normal, CIN I, and CIN II-III samples, respectively. (A) All HPV-positive samples (including multiple infections). A Kruskal-Wallis test of the significance of the copy number differences among normal, CIN I, and CIN II-III samples for the four HPV types returned the following P values: HPV16, P = 0.03; HPV18, P = 0.45; HPV31, P = 0.97; and HPV45, P = 0.30. (B) Singly infected samples. P values were as follows: HPV16, P = 0.03; HPV18, P = 0.12; HPV31, P = 0.73; and HPV45, P = 0.94.
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