Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* DNA in Fecal Samples from Infected Individuals
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Stool, gastric biopsy, and serum samples were collected from 22 subjects. DNA from stool was extracted, amplified, and hybridized with primers specific for the 16S rRNA gene of *Helicobacter pylori*. DNA from gastric biopsy specimens was analyzed similarly for comparison. Universal primers were used to confirm successful extraction of DNA from samples. Histologic, serologic, and DNA analyses were scored in a blinded fashion. Universal primer amplification verified successful DNA extraction from all stool and gastric tissue specimens. The gastric tissue DNA assay was positive for *H. pylori* in 11 of the 22 subjects, correlating completely with histologic and serologic results. Stool DNA was positive for *H. pylori* by our molecular assay in 8 of these 11 *H. pylori*-positive subjects. All subjects who were negative by histologic, serologic, and gastric tissue DNA analyses were also negative by stool DNA analysis. Compared to histology, serology, and gastric tissue DNA analyses, the sensitivity of our stool DNA assay was 73%, with a specificity of 100%.

*Helicobacter pylori*, first isolated by Warren and Marshall in 1983 (12), has been shown to play an important role in gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, and gastric malignancies (14, 25). Recent studies suggest that certain *H. pylori* genotypes, such as strains possessing the *cagA* gene, may be more virulent than others (2). Several diagnostic tests are available for determining the presence of *H. pylori* infection (19). Tests that require endoscopy include the biopsy urease test, histology, culture, PCR-based methods, and phase-contrast microscopy of gastric tissue. Diagnostic tests that do not require endoscopy include [13C] and [14C] urea breath tests, serology, the string test, and stool antigen enzyme immunoassay (EIA). Molecular methods such as PCR and Southern blot hybridization have the capability to sensitively and accurately determine both the presence of infection and the genotype of bacteria. These techniques have been used successfully to detect *H. pylori* DNA in gastric tissue by amplifying genes such as the adhesin gene (7), the urease gene (5), and the 16S rRNA gene (8). The 16S rRNA gene of *H. pylori* is a highly specific target for amplification and has been used previously to help reclassify the organism. Weiss et al. demonstrated the specificity of unique *H. pylori* 16S rRNA gene primers to identify the organism in paraffin-embedded gastric biopsy specimens (24).

PCR analysis of stool has been used successfully to diagnose several infections, including rotovirus (22), microsporidia (6), *Vibrio cholerae* (1), verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (16), and Salmonella (4) infections. PCR analysis of stool has even detected mutations of *K-ras* from tumor cells shed from colonic neoplasms (18).

Previous reports of PCR analysis of stool for *H. pylori* have shown low sensitivity (23). Culturing stool samples allowed detection of the urease gene by PCR (9), but the sensitivity of this assay was low and the ability to routinely culture stools for this purpose was unproven. The difficulty in direct PCR amplification of DNA from stool samples is generally thought to be related to the presence of enzyme inhibitors. We sought to develop a novel stool DNA extraction process which could consistently generate amplifiable DNA for detection purposes. Our results herein provide evidence for the routinely successful detection of *H. pylori* DNA in stool samples from the majority of patients infected with this organism.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients undergoing upper endoscopy were recruited consecutively between August 1996 and December 1996 after giving informed consent according to our institution’s internal review board approval. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy was performed on all subjects with endoscopists that had been sterilized by a Steris ( Mentor, Ohio) machine. Autoclaved biopsy forceps were used in obtaining gastric biopsy specimens from the antrum for rapid urease testing (CLO Test). Gastric tissue was also obtained from the antrum, incisura, and body of the stomach for histologic examination and for DNA analysis. Stool specimens were collected within 2 weeks of the time of endoscopy in sterile containers and kept at 80°C until analysis. Blood from all patients was collected, and the serum was stored at −20°C until the EIA was performed with a Food and Drug Administration-approved, commercially available kit (HM-CAP EIA kit; Enteric Products, Stonybrook, N.Y.) which detects immunoglobulin G antibody to *H. pylori*.

Zinc formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded biopsy specimens were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and Giemsa. A single pathologist (H.F.F.) scored all gastric biopsy specimens without knowledge of the results of the other tests. The number of *H. pylori* organisms was semiquantitatively scored as 0 (none), 1 (few; organisms were present but difficult to find and rare in 400× fields), 2 (moderate; organisms were readily identified upon microscopic examination and present in most 400× fields), and 3 (numerous; organisms were present in virtually all 400× fields).

### DNA extraction

One gram of stool from each patient was dissolved in 100% ethanol and chloroform and then centrifuged at 2,135 × g and rinsed with acetone. The sample was then mixed with 8 M urea containing 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mg of Chelex (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif.) and 50 mg of polyvinylpyrrolidone subsequent incubation at 60°C. The samples were then boiled and centrifuged at 469 × g. The supernatant was organically extracted, precipitated with alcohol, and redisolved with 0.7 M sodium chloride and 1% hexadecyltrimethylammoniumbromide (CTAB) (Sigma) for incubation at 60°C. Organic extraction and alcohol precipitation were performed for subsequent RNase A (1 mg/ml; Sigma) and protease K (0.5 mg/ml; Bio-Rad) incubation at 37°C for 2 h. Another round of organic extraction and alcohol precipitation was performed with reconstitution in a solution of 3 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 0.2 mM EDTA.

Gastric tissue DNA extraction from paraffin-embedded specimens was performed using xylene and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate–proteinase K (0.5 mg/ml; Bio-Rad) on two 5-μm thick sections as previously described (13). Cultured *H. pylori* DNA extraction was conducted with an *H. pylori* isolate from a human...
subject who was confirmed to have this infection. *H. pylori* cultured on horse blood agar plates was scraped into 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline. An aliquot of this suspension was then incubated overnight with proteinase K (0.5 mg/ml; Bio-Rad) prior to organic extraction and alcohol precipitation. The optical density was measured in the redissolved pellet for quantitation and subsequent serial dilutions of *H. pylori* DNA. Concentrations as low as 1 fg of DNA per µl were generated. A single bacterial genome was considered equivalent to 1.6 fg of DNA (21).

**PCR amplification.** (i) Universal primers. PCR amplification with nonspecific, universal primers was performed in 25-µl reaction volumes containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, a 200 µM concentration of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 10 pmol of each primer, 2.5 U of *Taq* polymerase concentrations. The thermocycle conditions were similar, with the exception of an annealing temperature of 60°C. The primers consisted of two specific 16S rRNA oligonucleotides, designated HPF (5'- GCC TGG AAC ATT AC 3') and HPR (5'- CGT TAG CTG CAT TAC TGG AGA 3'), which generated a 138-bp product. In all PCR amplifications, the final cycle was a 5-min extension step at 70°C to allow full product extension. Each experiment included a negative control template consisting of water and a positive control consisting of 100 fg of cultured *H. pylori* DNA.

**Southern blot hybridization.** Half of the PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels, transferred to nylon membranes (Bio-Rad), and hybridized with an end-labeled (10⁶ cpm/ml) probe in a standard Southern blot fashion. The probe was a 16-bp oligonucleotide (CGCTGATTGCAGAAA) designed specifically for a region within the 16S rRNA gene of *H. pylori* as previously described (24) and was labeled in a [³²P]dATP-T4 kinase (10 U/ml; New England Biolab) reaction according to the manufacturer's instructions. Autoradiographs generated clear signals after an overnight exposure at room temperature. The autoradiographs were scored by two independent observers, each of whom was blinded to the results of the other tests, with a signal in the expected location recorded as either present or absent.

## RESULTS

**Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* DNA.** We first assessed the sensitivity of our molecular assay by determining the threshold for *H. pylori* DNA detection. A clinical isolate of *H. pylori* was grown in culture, and DNA was extracted and quantitated. Dilutions of this cultured *H. pylori* DNA were PCR amplified with specific *H. pylori* 16S rRNA primers prior to subsequent hybridization. Figure 1 shows specific *H. pylori* signals with DNA amounts as low as 10 fg, which corresponds to less than seven genome equivalents of *H. pylori* (21).

**H. pylori status in human subjects.** From 22 individuals presenting for upper endoscopy examination, the following specimens were collected: gastric biopsy tissue for CLOtest, histology, and PCR amplification; serum for ELA; and stool for PCR amplification. Endoscopic findings, medication use, and

### TABLE 1. Clinical features of subjects in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no.</th>
<th>Acid suppression medication (dosage [mg]) ²</th>
<th>Endoscopic finding(s)</th>
<th>Result of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>CLOtest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ranitidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Gastritis</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Omeprazole (20 bid)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Duodenal dilatation</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Famotidine (20 qd)</td>
<td>Esophagitis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nizatidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Duodenitis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nizatidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Antritis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ranitidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Duodenitis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Duodenitis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ranitidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Omeprazole (20 qd)</td>
<td>Antritis, esophagitis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Esophageal nodule</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Nizatidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Gastritis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Nizatidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Duodenitis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Schatzki's ring, antritis</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Nizatidine (150 bid)</td>
<td>Duodenal ulcer</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Famotidine (20 qd)</td>
<td>Erosive antritis, duodenitis</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Erosive gastritis</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Prepyloric ulcer</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Gastric ulcer</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Gastritis, duodenitis</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Omeprazole (20 bid)</td>
<td>Esophagitis, antritis</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² Medication taken prior to specimen accrual. Abbreviations: qd, once daily; bid, twice daily.

§ Semiquantitation of the number of *H. pylori* organisms: 0 = none; 1 = few; 2 = moderate; 3 = numerous.
Complete agreement on histology, serology, and DNA analysis ranitidine (300 mg daily). No prior antibiotic use was noted.

Gastric biopsy DNA analysis. Amplifiable DNA extracted from the gastric biopsy specimens was obtained from each of the 22 study subjects. A 224-bp PCR product from exon 7 of Smad4 was successfully generated and seen on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels for each subject (Fig. 2). The same DNA templates were amplified and hybridized with oligonucleotides specific for H. pylori’s 16S rRNA gene, generating positive signals in 11 of the 22 patients (Fig. 2). Independent PCR amplification and hybridization experiments confirmed these results. The autoradiographic signals were easily scored with 100% agreement by each of the two observers. These DNA analysis results correlated perfectly with the histologic and serologic findings for these same subjects.

The sensitivity of this molecular assay was further illustrated by gastric tissue testing of 24 additional subjects. For two subjects, the molecular assay detected a clear but weaker signal when chronic gastritis was present, histologically consistent with infection, but no demonstrable organisms were found even on analysis of additional stained sections. Both of these subjects were H. pylori positive by serology testing but had negative CLOtest results. In fact, both of these subjects had been treated with antiacid secretory therapy prior to examination, one with omeprazole (20 mg daily) and the other with ranitidine (300 mg daily). No prior antibiotic use was noted. Complete agreement on histology, serology, and DNA analysis for the other 22 gastric biopsy subjects was found (data not shown).

Stool DNA analysis. Several methods of stool DNA extraction from subjects with and without H. pylori infection as shown by conventional testing were tested. The amount of DNA recovered varied depending on the protocol used. A novel method of extraction using lipid solubilizers, ionic and nonionic detergents, chelators, and organic solvents, was developed and routinely produced amplifiable DNA (see Materials and Methods). One gram of stool consistently generated approximately 10 μg of DNA. Identifiable signals were repeatedly generated when 200 ng of DNA was used as a PCR template in our assay. At least three independent experiments of stool DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and hybridization for 10 subjects (5 H. pylori positive and 5 H. pylori negative) consistently identified the presence or absence of H. pylori DNA, confirming the reproducibility and accuracy of our molecular assay.

We then sought to determine the sensitivity and specificity of this assay in identifying H. pylori infection. Frozen samples of stool collected from 22 study subjects were subjected to DNA extraction according to our newly developed protocol and tested for H. pylori DNA to compare the results of conventional testing and gastric tissue DNA analysis. Stool sample extracts were first amplified with universal primers for the 16S rRNA gene to demonstrate the presence of amplifiable DNA. A clearly visible PCR product of expected size (148 bp) was generated in all samples, as seen by ethidium bromide staining of agarose gels (Fig. 3). Subsequent amplification and hybridization of the same stool DNA templates with primers specific for the H. pylori 16S rRNA gene resulted in unambiguous identification of the same stool DNA templates with primers specific for the H. pylori 16S rRNA gene resulted in unambiguous identification of H. pylori DNA.
positive signals in 8 subjects and negative signals in the other 14 subjects (Fig. 3). Independent PCR amplification and hybridization of all DNA samples confirmed these results. Compared with histology, serology, and gastric DNA analysis, the molecular stool DNA assay had a sensitivity of 73% and a specificity of 100%.

**DISCUSSION**

Currently available tests for the diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection have relatively high sensitivities and specificities, but each has its limitations in clinical application. Urease-based biopsy tests require endoscopy and are not reliable in patients taking proton pump inhibitors. Histologic examination also follows endoscopy and is subject to sampling error, and its accuracy is dependent on the stain selected and on the pathologists’ skill. Serology is inexpensive but is not reliable in determining the presence of active infection.

Our successful amplification and specific detection of *H. pylori* DNA directly from stool samples in the majority of infected subjects indicates that this approach is feasible and demonstrates it has true potential in aiding the diagnosis and management of patients with *H. pylori* infection. The sensitivity of *H. pylori* DNA detection of 73% from uncultured stool specimens exceeds that in previous attempts (9, 23). This higher sensitivity most likely is the result of our extraction method, which removes from the stool samples impurities that are known to contain PCR inhibitors (18).

The sensitivity of PCR amplification is well known and is exhibited by our ability to easily detect DNA from less than seven *H. pylori* bacterial organisms. Other investigators have used PCR of gastric tissue specimens to identify *H. pylori* DNA (17). We demonstrated the extreme sensitivity and specificity of our molecular assay in analyzing gastric tissue biopsy samples in our study subjects as well as in an additional set of subjects. In two of these additional subjects in which infection was felt to be present serologically and histologically by the pattern of gastritis, *H. pylori* DNA was detectable, but organisms could not be identified microscopically. Notably, these two patients had prior antibiotic secretory therapy, but no prior antibiotic use was noted.

Our findings indicate that this molecular assay is specific for *H. pylori* DNA in human stool and gastric specimens. The *H. pylori* 16S rRNA primers used were designed from those tested by Weiss et al. (24), who demonstrated the specificity of their primers in detecting *H. pylori* DNA in paraffin-embedded gastric tissue. Furthermore, they found that these primers did not cross-react with many other common microorganisms, such as *E. coli*, various *Campylobacter* species, and other *Helicobacter* species, including *H. cinaedi*, *H. felnelliae*, and *H. muselae*. Recently, Scholte et al. (17) have tested similar primers designed to amplify this unique region of 16S rRNA on 38 different bacteria, including 10 *Helicobacter* species, and found 100% sensitivity and specificity.

Our DNA analyses of human stool and gastric biopsy samples using these specific oligonucleotides correlated well with conventional tests for *H. pylori* infection. We chose histology as the “gold standard” in this study due to the expertise of our pathologist (H.F.F.). These results also suggest that sampling error is not a major obstacle in the detection of *H. pylori* DNA in stool samples. Small portions of spontaneously passed stool yielded consistent results on repeated analyses and identified the majority of infections. The reason for the failure to identify *H. pylori* DNA in three stool samples from individuals known to have *H. pylori* organisms and DNA in their gastric tissue is uncertain. Sampling error certainly could have played a role in these three cases, as could have degradation of DNA and organisms during intestinal transit. Additional studies to further analyze these samples are planned.

The molecular detection of *H. pylori* DNA has the added benefits of being able to genotype the infecting strains and to provide useful information about the presence or absence of potential virulence factors for a particular infection. For instance, once virulent components of *H. pylori* are characterized, PCR primers can be designed to specifically identify these important variants. Potentially important virulence factors include vacA gene variants and the cagA gene. The presence of certain genotypes of vacA and cagA in infecting strains has been shown to be associated with a more dense inflammatory gastritis and peptic ulcer disease (2).

The finding of detectable *H. pylori* DNA in the stool of the majority of infected subjects has important implications for transmission of this microorganism. These data support a fecal-oral route of transmission, although viable bacteria were not sought in this study. While it is known that *H. pylori* can be found in and cultured from the stool of infected individuals (9, 11, 20), the present study provides further supporting evidence that this may be a frequent occurrence. Makristathis et al. identified *H. pylori’s* species-specific protein antigen in stool samples by a seminested PCR method in 93.7% of patients with duodenal ulcers (10). A study of Bangladeshi children found 60% had *H. pylori* in their stool upon testing with immunomagnetic separation and ureA gene PCR amplification (3).

Our noninvasive assay to detect *H. pylori* DNA in spontaneously passed stool is currently labor-intensive, mostly due to the extensive DNA extraction procedure. Further development of the assay will focus on simplifying the DNA extraction process. Significant advances in technology to neutralize or remove impurities from DNA efficiently are eagerly awaited to make this assay more clinically applicable. Due to the cumbersome technical procedures required to handle radioactivity, the incorporation of chemiluminescence detection methods will be explored. Further testing will be required to determine if the method can be modified for stool card tests analogous to guaiac testing. Moreover, additional testing of stools after treatment of *H. pylori* infection is planned to determine if the assay is helpful in determining eradication of the microorganism.
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