Rapid Slide Latex Agglutination Test for Detection of Methicillin Resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*
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Over the last three decades methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has caused major problems in hospitals throughout the world (29). In The Netherlands the prevalence of MRSA is low (≤1.5%) (2, 28). MRSA isolates are usually found in patients who have been treated in foreign hospitals and who are transferred to hospitals in The Netherlands. Because of the multitude of sources, these isolates show a wide variety of phage types (4, 26). All isolates of MRSA are sent to the National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection (RIVM; Bilthoven, The Netherlands) for phage typing and confirmation of susceptibility test results. The low prevalence of MRSA in The Netherlands can be attributed to a stringent national policy. The mainstays of this policy are strict isolation of patients who carry MRSA, active search for carriers by screening, and treatment of those who are carriers (26). Accurate and rapid detection of methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* is essential for the success of this policy. Moreover, it is of great importance for the institution of appropriate antimicrobial therapy for patients with infections caused by these organisms.

The mechanism of methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* is based on the production of an additional low-affinity penicillin-binding protein (PBP; PBP 2a), which is encoded by the *mecA* gene (1, 9, 21). Many strains are heterogeneous in their phenotypic expression of methicillin resistance, despite their genetic homogeneity. Typically, only a few cells within the total population of cells express resistance, which makes detection of MRSA by conventional susceptibility testing methods difficult. Several factors are known to influence phenotypic expression of methicillin resistance (1, 9, 21). Commonly used methods for the detection of methicillin resistance, such as the oxacillin agar screen test, disk diffusion, or broth microdilution, rely on modified culture conditions to enhance the expression of resistance. Modifications include the use of oxacillin, incubation at 30 or 35°C instead of 37°C, and the addition of NaCl to the growth medium. Furthermore, for accurate detection by these methods, a prolonged incubation period of 24 h instead of 16 to 18 h is required. Rapid methods with acceptable (>96%) sensitivity for detection of methicillin resistance include automated microdilution systems such as the Vitek GPS-SA card (bioMérieux, Inc., Hazelwood, Mo.), the Rapid ATB Staph system (bioMérieux, La Balme-Les Grottes, France), and the Rapid Microscan Panel system (Baxter Microscan, West Sacramento, Calif.), which provide results after 3.5 to 15, 5, and 5 to 11 h, respectively (12, 24, 30). The Crystal MRSA ID system (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, Md.) is a rapid method based on detection of growth of *S. aureus* in the presence of 4 mg of oxacillin per liter and 2% NaCl with an oxygen-sensitive fluorescence sensor. Reported sensitivities range from 91 to 100% after 4 h of incubation (13, 20, 32). The limitation of all the methods mentioned above is that they are phenotypic methods, and their accuracies can be influenced by the prevalence of strains that express heterogeneous resistance. Therefore, the “gold standard” for the detection of methicillin resistance is PCR or DNA hybridization of the *mecA* gene (1). At present, these methods are becoming more feasible for some laboratories, but most clinical laboratories do not have the resources to efficiently perform these techniques on a routine basis. Furthermore, they take several hours to perform. Methods for the detection of the *mecA* gene product, PBP 2a, could be used to determine resistance and might be more clinically reliable than standard test methods (7). Until now the techniques described for the detection of PBP 2a were not feasible outside a research laboratory (7, 23). In a recent publication Nakatomi and Sugiyama (16) describe the successful development of a slide latex agglutination assay...
for the direct detection of PBP 2α from isolates of *S. aureus* after a rapid extraction procedure.

The MRSA screen test (Denka Seiken Co., Ltd.) is a commercially available, rapid (20-min) slide latex agglutination test for the detection of PBP 2α. This study compared the MRSA screen test with the oxacillin agar screen test and PCR detection of the *mecA* gene for the detection of methicillin resistance in *S. aureus*.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial isolates.** The methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA) isolates used in the study were from cultures of blood collected between January 1995 and December 1998 from consecutive patients at St. Elisabeth Hospital and Twesteden Hospital, Tilburg, The Netherlands; Pasteur Hospital, Oosterhout, The Netherlands; Twesteden Hospital; Waalwijk, The Netherlands; and St. Ignatius Hospital and Hospital de Barcone, Breda, The Netherlands. Only one isolate per patient was included. Isolates were identified by a latex agglutination test (Staphaurex Plus; Murex Diagnostics Ltd., Dartford, England), by the detection of free coagulase by the tube coagulase test with rabbit plasma (10), and by the detection of DNase (DNase agar; Oxoid Unipath Ltd., Basingstoke, England). If the results of the tests were discordant, an AccuProbe culture identification test (Gen-Probe; San Diego, Calif.) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (14). The AccuProbe test was considered the gold standard. Isolates were considered coagulase negative (MIC < 2 μg/mL) by both microdiffusion susceptibility testing. Furthermore, no growth was observed by the oxacillin agar screen test (as described below).

MRSA isolates were selected from the strain collection of RIVM. This collection contains all MRSA strains isolated in The Netherlands since 1989. Isolates were sent to RIVM for confirmation of susceptibility testing and phage typing results. Bacteriophage typing was performed as described before by using (i) the international set of phages at 1°C and 100× routine test dilution concentrations, (ii) an additional set of Dutch phages, and (iii) a set of experimental MRSA phages. Phage typing patterns were given a type designation (6, 19, 22, 27). Strains were selected on the basis of their different phage types. The 267 MRSA isolates included in the evaluation comprised 248 different phage types. More than one isolate of the same strain was included; seven isolates of phage type Z-115, five isolates of phage type Z-151, three isolates of phage type Z-153, two isolates of phage type III-29, two isolates of phage type III-70, two isolates of phage type III-169, two isolates of phage type III-172, and two isolates of phage type XI-5. These isolates were not typeable.

**Multiplex PCR for the *mecA* and coagulase genes.** A 298-bp fragment of the *mecA* gene was amplified with the primers 5′-GGT GTT GTC GTC GGT TTT GG-3′ (upstream) and 5′-CTT CCA CAT ACC TTC TTT AAC-3′ (downstream) specific for the *mecA* gene (GenBank accession no. X52593). A set of primers 5′-GTT TAT TGA CTG TAT GTC TTT GGA-3′ (downstream) specific for the *mecA* gene (GenBank accession no. X17679) were 5′-TTG TAT TGA CTG TAT GTC TTT GGA-3′ (downstream). The latter primer set was included in each reaction mixture to amplify a polymorphic region of the coagulase gene that varied between approximately 350 and 600 bp. The coagulase primers specific for the coagulase gene (GenBank accession no. X17679) were 5′-TAT GCA GTC GTC TTT GCA AT A 3′ (downstream), 5′-TAT TGA CTG CTG TAT GTC TTT GGA-3′ (downstream). The latter primers provided an internal control to check for the presence of *S. aureus* DNA and for the absence of PCR inhibitors. MSSA isolates yield only one PCR product, whereas MRSA isolates yield two PCR products, one coa amplicon and the 298-bp *mecA* amplicon. A streak obtained with a 1-μl loop from a blood agar plate culture of each *S. aureus* isolate to be tested was resuspended in 50 μL of TE buffer (0.01 M Tris-HCl, 0.001 M EDTA [pH 8.0]) containing 100 μg of lysozyme per mL, the mixture was incubated for 30 min at 37°C, and the cells were lysed by heating for 10 min at 99°C. This crude lysate was either used directly in the PCR or stored at −20°C for later use.

Before use, 450 μL of TE buffer was added to the lysate and 2 μL of the diluted lysate was used as the source of template DNA for a touchdown PCR (3). Amplification was performed in a final volume of 25 μL in SuperTaq buffer (HT Biotechnology Ltd., Cambridge, United Kingdom). The reaction mixture contained each deoxynucleoside triphosphate at a concentration of 200 μM, 5 pmol of each primer, 2 μL of DNA, and 0.5 U of SuperTaq polymerase (HT Biotechnology Ltd.). The cycling conditions used were (i) an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by (ii) 10 cycles of touchdown PCR of 95°C for 60 s, 65°C decreased by 1°C in each cycle to 55°C, and 72°C for 60 s; (iii) 20 cycles of 95°C for 40 s, 55°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 60 s; and (iv) a final primer extension at 72°C for 6 min. After amplification, the PCR products were separated by electrophoresis through 0.8% agarose gels in 0.5× TBE buffer (1× TBE buffer is 89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, and 2 mM EDTA) at 150 V for 45 min. The gels were then stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/mL) and viewed under UV light.

**Oxacillin agar screen test.** All MRSA isolates were spot inoculated onto a Mueller-Hinton agar plate (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) supplemented with 6 μg of oxacillin per mL and 4% NaCl by using a cotton swab dipped into a 0.5 McFarland standard suspension of each test isolate. The plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 h. If any growth was detected, the isolate was considered oxacillin resistant (17).

### RESULTS

A total of 296 MSSA and 267 MRSA isolates were included in the evaluation. All 296 MSSA isolates tested negative by the *mecA* gene PCR, MRSA screen, and oxacillin agar screen tests. The 267 MRSA strains were all *mecA* gene PCR positive; 4 tested negative by the MRSA screen test and 17 did not grow by the oxacillin agar screen test (Table 1). This resulted in a sensitivity of 98.5% and a specificity of 100% for the MRSA screen test. The sensitivity and specificity of the oxacillin agar screen test were 93.6 and 100%, respectively. Upon retesting, the results for all samples with discordant results were confirmed. The MICs determined by the E-test for the 19 discordant strains are presented in Table 2. According to the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards breakpoint (12 μg/mL) (17), the E test identified 11 *mecA* gene-positive isolates as oxacillin susceptible.

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that detection of PBP 2α by the MRSA screen test is a highly sensitive and specific means for the detection of methicillin resistance in *S. aureus*. In this evaluation MRSA isolates comprising 248 different phage types were included. In fact, at least one isolate of each phage type identified among the MRSA strains isolated in The Netherlands between 1989 and 1998 was included in the study. Since MRSA strains in The Netherlands are usually recovered from patients who have been hospitalized in other countries, this collection can be considered a reflection of MRSA strains through-out the world. Most isolates are of European origin (4, 26). No phage typing was performed with the methicillin-susceptible
For borderline MRSA strains, MICs are at or just above the susceptibility breakpoint (e.g., oxacillin MICs, 4 to 8 μg/ml). Strains with borderline resistance do not contain the mecA gene and resistance is not based on the production of PBP 2a but has been hypothesized to result from modification of normal PBP genes, overexpression of normal PBPs, or overproduction of staphylococcal β-lactamases (1). Differentiation of borderline-resistant mecA-negative strains from heterogeneous mecA-positive, PBP 2a-producing strains is important in choosing the correct antimicrobial treatment. In vitro susceptibility data, experimental data from studies with animals, and some clinical data indicate that treatment with β-lactam antibiotics is effective for infections caused by these mecA gene-negative, non-PBP 2a-producing borderline resistant strains (1, 7). Furthermore, non-PBP 2a-producing strains of S. aureus may not require expensive and inconvenient patient isolation procedures (8). The MRSA screen test could probably be useful for the identification of these strains. In this study, however, no borderline-resistant strains were included.

Methicillin resistance in coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) is also based on the mecA gene product PBP 2a; therefore, thorough identification of the tested strain is necessary. Detection of methicillin resistance in CoNS by conventional susceptibility tests is even more difficult than detection of methicillin resistance in S. aureus. The oxacillin agar screen test is reported to be very reliable but requires 48 h of incubation for CoNS (31). It is possible that the MRSA screen test could also successfully detect methicillin resistance in CoNS. The manufacturer does not recommend use of the MRSA screen test for the detection of methicillin resistance in CoNS, and this study did not include CoNS. Further testing for this purpose is warranted.

Five mecA-positive strains showed only weak agglutination after 3 min of rotation of the test card, as recommended by the manufacturer’s instructions. When rotated for another 3 min the agglutination pattern became strongly positive. It is important to check carefully for any sign of agglutination. If a weak agglutination pattern is seen, one can rotate the test card for another 3 min, which can clarify how one should interpret the test result. To evaluate the chance of false-positive results as a result of an increase in the duration of rotation, 100 MSSA isolates were rotated for 6 min. No agglutination was observed.

The oxacillin screen agar test is recommended by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (17) as one of the most reliable phenotypic tests for the detection of oxacillin resistance. In this evaluation the sensitivity was only 93.6%, which was statistically significantly (P < 0.01) lower than the sensitivity of the MRSA screen test. The risk of misclassification of an MRSA isolate as methicillin susceptible was 4.3 times higher by the oxacillin agar screen test (95% confidence interval, 1.5 to 12.5).

The E test is also considered a very reliable method for the detection of methicillin resistance and is recommended by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards as well (5). In this evaluation only the 19 strains with discordant results were tested by the E test. Of this subset, 11 strains were found to be susceptible. This results in a sensitivity which is maximally 95.9%. The true value can be estimated only when all strains are tested, but it is definitely lower than the sensitivity of the MRSA screen test.

In conclusion, the MRSA screen test is a rapid, easy-to-perform, and highly reliable test for the detection of methicillin resistance in S. aureus. Results are available in approximately 20 min, whereas PCR detection of the mecA gene takes several
hours. Therefore, the MRSA screen test offers a new, valuable tool in the ongoing battle against MRSA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Gerlinde Pluister, Jules Rost, Karel Vellheuer, and Wendy van Rijckevorsel for technical assistance. We thank Bipharma b.v., Weesp, The Netherlands, for supplying the MRSA screen tests.

REFERENCES

22. Rost, J. A. A. Phage--type scheme for methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Unpublished data.