Comparison of PCR, Culture, and Direct Fluorescent-Antibody Testing for Detection of *Bordetella pertussis*
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We prospectively compared the performance of culture, direct fluorescent-antibody testing (DFA), and an in-house-developed PCR test targeting the repeated insertion sequence IS481 for the detection of *Bordetella pertussis* in nasopharyngeal swab specimens. We tested 319 consecutive paired specimens on which all three tests were performed. A total of 59 specimens were positive by one or more tests. Of these, 5 were positive by all three tests, 2 were positive by culture and PCR, 16 were positive by PCR and DFA, 28 were positive by PCR only, and 8 were positive by DFA only. Any specimen positive by culture was considered to be a true positive, as were specimens positive by both PCR and DFA. Specimens positive only by PCR or DFA were considered discrepant, and their status was reviewed by resolution of patient histories. Patients with symptoms meeting the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention clinical case definition for pertussis and who had a specimen positive by PCR or DFA were considered to have true *B. pertussis* infections. Of the 28 patients positive by PCR only, 20 met the clinical case definition for pertussis, while 3 of the 8 patients positive by DFA only met the clinical case definition. After resolution of the status of discrepant specimens, the positive predictive value, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were 93.5, 97.1, 84.3, and 98.9%, respectively, for culture; 93.5, 97.1, 84.3, and 98.9%, respectively, for PCR; and 52.2, 98.2, 82.8, and 92.4%, respectively, for DFA. The actual positive predictive value of PCR was probably greater, as several PCR-positive patients who did not meet the clinical case definition had symptoms consistent with typical or atypical pertussis. PCR is a sensitive and specific method for the detection of *B. pertussis*.

Pertussis remains an important respiratory disease, afflicting both unvaccinated children and previously vaccinated children and adults in whom immunity has waned. Recent studies have shown that *Bordetella pertussis* is a common cause of prolonged cough illness in adolescents and adults (2, 6, 25, 30). Many infections in these older individuals lack the typical symptoms of pertussis and are not diagnosed or properly treated.

Accurate laboratory identification of *B. pertussis* infections remains problematic. Culture, long considered the “gold-standard” diagnostic method, is highly specific, but sensitivity can be affected by a number of factors, including patient age, immunization status, antibiotic treatment and duration of symptoms prior to specimen collection, and specimen transport conditions (28, 29). The turnaround time for culture is typically 7 to 10 days for a negative specimen. Direct fluorescent-antibody testing (DFA) provides a much more rapid result but also has the disadvantage of poor sensitivity, and its specificity varies widely due to the subjective interpretation of test results. Serologic tests are not widely available and provide mainly a retrospective diagnosis. Because of the increased awareness of *B. pertussis* and the limitations of traditional diagnostic methods, a growing number of laboratories are using PCR to detect *B. pertussis* DNA in patient specimens (4, 7–11, 13–15, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29). Since no FDA-approved PCR test for *B. pertussis* is commercially available, laboratories must develop and validate their own PCR tests. As a result, the analytical sensitivity, accuracy, and quality control of PCR-based *B. pertussis* tests may vary widely among laboratories. Notwithstanding this lack of standardization, PCR has consistently been shown to provide a more sensitive, specific, and rapid means of detecting *B. pertussis* infections than other methods (4, 7–11, 13–15, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29). While many studies have compared the positivity rates of culture and PCR tests for *B. pertussis*, relatively few have attempted to resolve the status of specimens that were positive by PCR alone and to determine the true clinical performance of the PCR test, particularly the specificity and positive predictive value (13, 26, 29).

In the present study we compared prospectively the performance of culture, DFA, and PCR for the detection of *B. pertussis* in nasopharyngeal (NP) swab specimens. Patient histories were reviewed to resolve discrepant test results, after which clinical sensitivity and specificity and predictive values were determined.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and samples.** From July through early November 1997, specimens were obtained from 319 children and adults throughout the state of Iowa who had clinically suspected pertussis or who were exposed to known or suspected cases of pertussis. The majority of specimens were obtained locally, during a communitywide outbreak of pertussis in Johnson County, Iowa. Two NP specimens (one from each of the nares) were obtained with calcium alginate swabs (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, Pa.). After each swab was rolled into 1-cm circles on two glass slides, one swab was placed into a vial containing Regan-Lowe transport medium supplemented with 40 µg of cephalixin (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) per ml, and the second swab was placed into an empty, sterile 1.5-ml screw-cap microcentrifuge tube. Slides were air dried and heat fixed, then shipped with vials containing swabs at ambient temperature to the State Hygienic Laboratory. The shipping time was usually 1 to 4 days.

**Bacterial strains.** Laboratory strains of *B. pertussis* and *Bordetella parapertussis* were used to verify the performance of culture, PCR, and DFA. The following strains, obtained from the State Hygienic Laboratory culture collection, were used to evaluate the specificity of the PCR test: *Alcaligenes faecalis*, *Bordetella bronchoseptica*, *B. parapertussis*, *Moraxella catarrhalis*, *Neisseria subflava*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Bacterial strains were grown on routinely used media, under standard conditions.

To evaluate the sensitivity of the PCR test, *B. pertussis* was suspended in sterile physiological saline at a concentration equivalent to approximately 10³ cells per ml, based on McFarland turbidometric standards. Serial dilutions were made from the suspension, and aliquots were tested as described herein. Additionally, dilutions of *B. pertussis* kindly provided by George Buck (Alliant Health System, Iowa City, IA) were used to evaluate the sensitivity of the PCR test.
Louisville, Ky.) were tested blindly. To evaluate the specificity of the PCR test, strains grown on solid media were suspended in water (10^6 cells per ml, based on turbidity) and centrifuged, and the pellets were extracted and tested as described herein. PCR mixtures contained 100 ng of total DNA, 0.25 mM each of the deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates, 0.25 mM each of the forward and reverse primers, and 1× reaction buffer (Perkin Elmer). Reactions were performed in thin-walled PCR tubes containing 24 µl of PCR mix and was overlaid with mineral oil. Final PCR mixtures consisted of 10 mM Tris (pH 8.3); 50 mM KCl; 3 mM MgCl2; 125 µM (each) dATP, dCTP, and dGTP; 220 µM dUTP; 30 µM dTTP; 1 U of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, Conn.); and 0.25 mM each of primers BP1 (5'-GTATGCAATGTTGTA TGATGTT) (10) and BP2 (5'-TTACGCGCACACAACTTGAGGCGG) (14). Uracil N-glycosylase was not routinely added to PCR mixtures until shortly after this study was completed. PCR tubes were placed in a model 480 thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer), denatured at 94°C for 8 min, then subjected to 40 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min. Included in each amplification run were positive and negative extraction controls, a positive amplification control (1 µl of a previous positive extraction control), and at least one negative amplification control (1 µl of the positive amplification control to which no DNA was added). Extracted DNA from specimens and controls was added to PCR mixtures immediately or stored at 4°C to 10°C. Six specimens showed partial inhibition. Of the 287 specimens tested by the PCR test, 5 were also positive by DFA and 5 were positive by culture (1.4%) showed complete inhibition (OD below cutoff). Of these, two were noninhibitory upon repeat testing, suggesting either a pipetting error during initial testing or freeze-thaw inactivation of inhibitors. It is possible that the inhibition rate was below 1%. Six specimens showed partial inhibition.

**RESULTS**

Analysis of PCR analytical sensitivity, specificity, and inhibition rate. Two independently prepared *B. pertussis* dilution panels were tested by the PCR test to show comparable analytical sensitivities. To test for serial dilutions prepared from a suspension of organisms demonstrated a sensitivity of approximately 0.5 cell per PCR panel, while testing of dilutions provided by G. Buck showed a sensitivity of 0.3 CFU per PCR (data not shown).

Testing of a limited specificity panel including *B. bronchiseptica* and *B. parapertussis* showed no cross-reactivity with the *B. pertussis* PCR test (data not shown). The primers used in this study were tested against a larger panel of organisms and generated no detectable PCR product (14).

A total of 278 NP swab specimens, including a number of grossly bloody specimens, were tested for PCR inhibition by amplification of a spiked duplicate aliquot. Four specimens (1.4%) showed complete inhibition (OD below cutoff). Of these, two were noninhibitory upon repeat testing, suggesting either a pipetting error during initial testing or freeze-thaw inactivation of inhibitors. It is possible that the inhibition rate was below 1%. Six specimens showed partial inhibition.

**Detection of *B. pertussis* in NP swab specimens.** Between 2 July and 7 November 1997, culture, DFA, and PCR were performed on 319 NP swab specimens submitted for *B. pertussis* testing. During the local pertussis outbreak, two PCR runs were performed every day, Monday through Friday. At the height of the outbreak, 25 to 30 patient specimens (not including spiked controls) were usually tested in each run (50 to 60 patient results per day). All testing was routine, within the normal laboratory work flow. No specimens were frozen and tested retrospectively. An additional 263 specimens submitted during this period were excluded from analysis because they were not tested by all three methods. In many cases only DFA or PCR testing was requested. Effective 8 November 1997, the Hygienic Laboratory discontinued routine performance of DFA for *B. pertussis*. Of the 319 NP swab specimens tested by all three methods, 59 (18.5%) were positive by at least one test. *B. pertussis* was detected by culture in 7 (11.9%) of the 59 specimens. *B. parapertussis* was not isolated from any specimens. Twenty-nine (49.2%) of the 59 specimens were DFA positive, and 51 (86.4%) were PCR positive. The proportion of specimens positive by PCR was significantly greater than those positive by culture (P < 0.001) and by DFA (P < 0.001). Of the 29 DFA-positive specimens, 5 were also positive by PCR and no other apparent cause). Patients with outbreak-related cases may present with any cough illness lasting at least 2 weeks (3).

Statistical analyses. The significance of discordance among the results of culture, DFA, and PCR was measured by McNemar’s test. The sensitivities, specificities, and predictive values of the pertussis tests were determined in two-way frequency tables after the resolution of discrepant results.
culture, and 16 were positive by PCR, leaving 8 discrepant specimens positive by DFA alone. Of the 51 PCR-positive specimens, 5 were also positive by DFA and culture, 2 were positive by culture, and 16 were positive by DFA, leaving 28 discrepant specimens positive by PCR alone. All culture-positive specimens were also positive by PCR. Agreement among the three tests is shown in Fig. 1. Compared to that of culture, the sensitivities of DFA and PCR were 71.4 and 100%, respectively, and the positive predictive values were 17.2 and 13.7%, respectively (Table 1).

**Review of patient histories and resolution of discrepant test results.** Patient histories (pertussis surveillance worksheets) were reviewed to resolve discrepant test results. Histories were available for six of the eight patients who tested positive by DFA only. Three patients met the CDC clinical case definition for pertussis and thus were considered to represent true pertussis cases. Two of these three patients were epidemiologically linked to a confirmed case. Of the three DFA-positive patients who did not meet the clinical case definition, one had a nonspecific cough lasting 25 days at the time of the final interview. Linkage of this person to a confirmed case was unknown. A second patient with an unresolved positive PCR result, a 2-month-old with a paroxysmal cough that lasted 29 days, also tested positive for respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and therefore was not considered to represent a case.

To determine the sensitivity of the pertussis clinical case definition as a means of defining true infections, the medical histories of patients with nondiscrepant (true) positive test results were reviewed. Histories of 16 patients were available, including 4 who tested positive by all three tests, 10 who tested positive by PCR and DFA, and 2 who tested positive by culture and PCR. All 16 patients met the clinical case definition for pertussis.

The performance characteristics of culture, DFA, and PCR were recalculated after the gold standard definition for pertussis infection was expanded to include patients positive by both PCR and DFA, as well as patients positive by a single test other than culture who met the CDC case definition for pertussis. Relative to the expanded gold standard, the sensitivities of culture, DFA, and PCR were 15.2, 52.2, and 93.5%, respectively. Positive predictive values were 100, 82.8, and 84.5%, respectively (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the accuracy of PCR in detecting *B. pertussis* was compared to those of culture and DFA. PCR has repeatedly been shown to be more sensitive than culture and DFA for the detection of *B. pertussis* (4, 7–11, 13–15, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29). However, resolution of discrepant test results and assessment of the clinical performance of PCR have been carried out infrequently (13, 26, 29). The PCR test described in this study was shown to have excellent analytical sensitivity (<1 cell per PCR). Two factors that we believe are largely responsible for this level of sensitivity are the nucleic acid extraction method and the use of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase. The use of guanidine thiocyanate to lyse cells, followed by washing and precipitation of nucleic acids with alcohol, results in DNA relatively free of cellular and other debris that reduce amplification efficiency or cause complete inhibition of amplification. Commonly used processing methods for respiratory swab specimens and aspirates consist of boiling or proteinase K digestion followed by heating. These methods do not remove cellular debris from nucleic acids, and the proportion of specimens that are inhibitory to PCR has been reported to range between 6 and 18% (18, 22, 29) for detection of *B. pertussis* and

---

**TABLE 1. Performance characteristics of culture, DFA, and PCR for the detection of *B. pertussis* in NP swab specimens**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gold standard</th>
<th>No. (%) of patients positive</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV $^b$ (%)</th>
<th>NPV $^c$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>7 (2.2)</td>
<td>DFA</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded gold standard$^a$</td>
<td>46 (14.4)</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DFA</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Either (i) culture positive, (ii) PCR and DFA positive, or (iii) PCR or DFA positive, with clinical features indicating pertussis.

$^b$ PPV, positive predictive value.

$^c$ NPV, negative predictive value.
other respiratory-tract pathogens. The proportion of specimens that were inhibitory with the procedure described in this study was approximately 1%. Grossly bloody specimens were not inhibitory. Because of this low rate of inhibition and the costs associated with testing specimens in duplicate, we elected to discontinue testing for inhibition in specimens near the end of the study period. AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase was incorporated into the PCR mixtures because regular AmpliTaq was shown to generate considerable amounts of low-molecular-weight product (primer dimer). Mechanical hot start and use of a wax barrier reduced the amount of primer dimer while increasing the amount of specific product (data not shown). However, these methods were cumbersome and prone to contamination. AmpliTaq Gold also increased the amount of specific product generated, was more sensitive than the wax barrier method, and except for increasing the length of the initial denaturation step by several minutes, was invisible to the user.

Notwithstanding the growing acceptance of PCR as a diagnostic test method during the past decade, concerns remain over false-positive results due to contamination or cross-reaction. During this study very few positive PCR results (approximately 14%) were confirmed by culture, causing some to doubt the accuracy of a positive PCR result. However, after comparison of the performance of culture, DFA, and PCR against an expanded gold standard defining infection (multiple positive tests or a single positive test other than culture combined with symptoms meeting the CDC clinical case definition), it became apparent that the difference in the PCR and culture positivity rates was due largely to false-negative culture results rather than to false-positive PCR results. Indeed, in this study, culture and PCR were determined to have sensitivities of 15.2 and 93.5%, respectively. The sensitivity of DFA was 52.2%.

The culture sensitivity reported in this study (15.2%) was much lower than that reported by Wadowsky et al. (29) in their comparison of PCR and culture (73.4%). One possible explanation for our lower culture sensitivity was the specimen transport conditions. At our institution, a public health laboratory that serves the entire state, transport of NP swab specimens to the laboratory ranges from several hours for hand-delivered specimens up to 4 days for specimens shipped by mail. Specimens are shipped at room temperature. In comparison, NP swabs in the Wadowsky et al. study were inoculated onto agar plates immediately after collection. B. pertussis is an extremely labile organism, and bedside inoculation of culture media is recommended for optimal recovery of organisms (20). Another possible explanation for the low culture sensitivity was the patient population. In this study, both children and adults were tested, and the majority of patients were older children and adults, while the Wadowsky et al. study was carried out strictly in a pediatric setting. It has been shown that increased age is associated with decreased pertussis culture sensitivity (28). Grimprel et al. (11) reported a culture positivity rate of 54.1% in pediatric index cases versus a positivity rate of only 15.4% in adult contacts of the index cases. The sensitivity of PCR has also been shown to be inversely related to patient age, but to a lesser extent than that of culture (11, 28).

Use of a different gold standard could alter the calculated sensitivities of the tests evaluated in this study. A gold standard based on clinical and epidemiologic criteria rather than laboratory results may enable the identification of additional infections missed by all test methods. Additionally, serologic testing has been used as a reference method against which other laboratory tests have been compared and has been reported to detect recent infections missed by PCR and culture (11, 17, 28). However, the serologic testing for pertussis may lack specificity (12), and criteria that define a recent infection have not been standardized. For these reasons CDC guidelines for laboratory confirmation of pertussis cases do not include serologic testing (3).

The positive predictive values of culture, DFA, and PCR in this study were 100, 82.8, and 84.3%, respectively. The actual positive predictive value of the PCR test may be greater, as the clinical criteria we used to define an infection did not include atypical pertussis. Atypical pertussis is observed in patients of all ages, particularly in previously vaccinated older children and adults, and often presents as a prolonged cough or acute bronchitis syndrome. In this study there were four patients positive by PCR only who presented with cough syndromes that did not meet the pertussis case definition. These included a 39-year-old female with a cough described as severe that lasted 14 weeks, a 1-month-old unvaccinated infant with a cough that lasted 20 days (considered to represent a probable case by local public health officials), and a 7-year-old fully vaccinated male with a paroxysmal cough that lasted 10 days. The cough duration must be at least 14 days to meet the CDC clinical case definition. A fourth patient, a 2-month-old infant, was considered to have a false-positive PCR result because she also tested positive for RSV. In order to meet the CDC clinical case definition for pertussis, no other likely causes for the symptoms may be present. A limitation of this definition is that it does not take into account dual respiratory-tract infections, which are known to occur. The presence of RSV, an RNA virus, in PCR mixtures could not cause a positive result. Alternatively, the positive PCR result, which was just above the cutoff, may have been due to splashing of postamplification reagents during the microtiter plate detection. We now repeat testing of all borderline-positive specimens. Of the 36 patients positive by PCR only or by DFA only, it is possible that others in addition to the patient described may have had RSV or other viral infections at the time of specimen collection. Unfortunately, specimens for viral testing were rarely collected from this diverse patient population. Medical histories were unavailable for three patients who were positive by PCR only, and as a result these were reported as false positives.

Of the five patients with false-positive DFA results, two possibly had atypical pertussis infections: an adult female (age not reported) with a cough lasting 25 days and a 15-year-old female with an unknown cough history who was epidemiologically linked to a laboratory-confirmed case. Medical histories were unavailable for two DFA-positive patients.

The DFA specificity and positive predictive value in this study (98.2 and 82.8%, respectively) were higher than expected. Ewanowich et al. (9) reported that 85% of DFA-positive, culture-negative patients were also PCR negative. Halperin et al. (12) reported a positive predictive value of 56% for DFA. In an earlier study conducted by us, the positive predictive value of DFA was 62% relative to a expanded gold standard consisting of culture, PCR, and DFA (26). Possible explanations for the different positive predictive values observed in our laboratory are a change in the personnel performing the test or in the reagents, since the DFA procedure and interpretative criteria did not change. The subjectivity of DFA is well documented (9, 20). Nonetheless, our data suggest that DFA can, under tightly controlled conditions, provide a specific diagnosis of pertussis. The sensitivity of DFA, while higher than that of culture in our setting, was still well below that of PCR.

In summary, we have demonstrated that as a routine test, DFA offers the specific detection of B. pertussis, even when used at a high volume during an outbreak. This study also showed, in our public health laboratory setting, that pertussis
culture is extremely insensitive, while PCR is highly sensitive. PCR has become the primary diagnostic test for pertussis in our institution. Culture is performed routinely on PCR-positive specimens only, in order to obtain isolates for future antibiotic susceptibility testing and molecular strain typing.
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