Use of Calibrated Viral Load Standards for Group M Subtypes of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 To Assess the Performance of Viral RNA Quantitation Tests
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Optimal management of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) disease requires accurate quantitation of viral RNA concentrations in plasma. Evidence for increasing geographic mixing of HIV-1 subtypes makes equivalent quantitation of all subtypes essential. The performances of six quantitative viral RNA tests are described, for the first time, with calibrated viral isolates of diverse subtypes.

The concentration of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) RNA in plasma is a critical marker for predicting disease progression (19, 20) and for monitoring the efficacy of antiretroviral drug therapy (7, 23). The geographic dispersal of genetically diverse viral subtypes of HIV-1 requires the use of molecular-based assays capable of accurately measuring viral RNA concentrations independent of viral sequence or subtype. HIV-1 has been divided into three divergent phylogenetic groups. Group M is the prevalent group and is subdivided into at least nine subtypes (subtypes A to H and J) (15). HIV-1 groups O and N are both highly divergent and rare (13, 15, 28). First-generation viral RNA quantitation tests were designed for optimal performance with subtype B, which initially predominated in North America and Europe. However, the entry of non-subtype B virus into these areas (1, 5, 6, 16) and the discovery of intersubtype recombinant viruses (18, 26) complicated nucleic acid-based testing (2, 11, 22, 24). Moreover, there is a greater appreciation for the need to evaluate plasma HIV-1 RNA in other regions of the world. The inability of some molecular-based tests to efficiently quantitate viral RNA from non-B subtypes represents a significant problem for clinicians relying on viral RNA concentrations for monitoring antiretroviral drug therapy and for the design of preventive vaccine trials where viral RNA will be used as a clinical endpoint (14, 25).

A previously described panel of 30 HIV-1 isolates of group M subtypes A through G (21) was used to assess the performance of six HIV-1 RNA quantitation tests: Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor test (versions 1.0 and 1.5), Bayer Quantiplex HIV RNA (version 3.0), Digene Hybrid Capture II HIV RNA test (version 1.0), Organon Teknika NucliSens HIV QT test (version 2.0), and Gen-Probe HIV-1 Viral Load Assay (in development). The use of well-characterized viral stocks that are standardized by particle count allows for comparative analysis of the performance of HIV-1 RNA quantitation assays. Improved performance of the Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor test, version 1.5, in the quantitation of A, E, F, and G subtypes was recently demonstrated with this panel (21, 27).

Each member of the HIV-1 subtype panel was diluted into HIV-1-seronegative human plasma to deliver concentrations targeted at 50,000 to 100,000 RNA copies/ml based upon electron microscopic particle counts. Two different dilutions of the HIV-1 panel were used in this analysis because insufficient volume remained of the first dilution to allow for assessment of the Gen-Probe assay. In order to determine that the viral RNA target values were similar between the two dilutions, each viral dilution was retested by both versions of the Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor tests (versions 1.0 and 1.5). Viral RNA values generated demonstrated that both dilutions of the panel gave similar viral RNA concentrations (Table 1). Aliquots of the diluted viral stocks were prepared and stored at −80°C. Vials of each viral isolate were thawed at room temperature for 30 min and vortexed vigorously for 10 s prior to removal for testing. All tests were performed following procedures recommended by the manufacturer of each test.

Viral RNA quantitation results reported in this study represent values generated through singleton testing of each member of the HIV-1 subtype panel. Since viral RNA testing is not performed in replicate in a clinical testing laboratory, all tests were performed in singleton to generate data that reflected the type of data generated by clinical labs. Viral RNA testing was performed by laboratory staff having 2 or more years of experience in the performance of HIV-1 RNA quantitation assays. The laboratory’s performance is routinely monitored by participation in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention MEPS or CAP HIV-1 RNA proficiency test panels for Roche Amplicor and Bayer Quantiplex assays.

Comparative quantitation results for both dilutions of the HIV-1 subtype panel members used in this study are summarized in Table 1. Viral RNA values generated for both sets of dilutions did not differ significantly in the Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor test versions 1.0 and 1.5. The mean log10 values for all panel members in version 1.5 of the Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor test were 5.39 ± 0.19 for the first dilution and 5.38 ± 0.25 for the second dilution. A mean value of 4.60 ± 0.84 was calculated for the second preparation of viral dilutions in testing by version 1.0 of the Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor test. These viral RNA values are indistinguishable from those generated when the first viral dilutions of the HIV-1 subtype panel were prepared (21). The high standard deviation observed for the Roche version 1.0 assay is indicative of the greater between-isolate variation for the Roche 1.0 assay
1.18 (E) and 1.82 (F) were observed in the NucliSens test compared to Roche Amplicor version 1.5. Mean

assays. Standard deviations of 0.08 to 0.20 log₁₀ unit have been reported for three commercial HIV RNA quantita-

and F subtypes. No significant differences were observed between version 1.5 of the Roche Amplicor

Assay did not quantify subtypes E and F as efficiently. This
type panel.

than for the Roche 1.5, Gen-Probe, Bayer Quantiplex, and

Mean log₁₀ differences of RNA values for each HIV-1 subtype by HIV-1

A (3) 3.15 5.40 5.67 5.72 4.99 5.08 5.11
B (7) 5.34 5.50 5.65 5.56 5.40 5.38 5.40
C (5) 5.29 5.39 5.43 5.36 5.15 5.20 5.33
D (3) 5.15 5.34 5.28 5.39 5.22 5.19 5.52
E (8) 4.03 5.29 5.21 5.35 5.13 4.99 4.79
F (3) 3.90 5.53 5.56 5.74 5.12 5.18 4.60
G (1) 5.14 5.38 5.61 5.97 5.09 5.20 <2.6

Mean (30) 4.60 5.39 5.38 5.50 5.19 5.17 5.04
SD 0.84 0.19 0.25 0.24 0.21 0.22 0.59

A value of 2.6 log₁₀, which represents the lower detection limit of the assay, was used for subtype G in the calculation of the mean value for the NucliSens test. 

TABLE 1. Mean log₁₀-transformed RNA values for each HIV-1 subtype as generated by six HIV-1 RNA quantitation tests

HIV subtype

Mean log₁₀-transformed RNA value by the following test:

Roche 1.0

Gen-Probe

Bayer 3.0

Digene 1.0

NucliSens 2.0

A (3) 3.15 5.40 5.67 5.72 4.99 5.08 5.11
B (7) 5.34 5.50 5.65 5.56 5.40 5.38 5.40
C (5) 5.29 5.39 5.43 5.36 5.15 5.20 5.33
D (3) 5.15 5.34 5.28 5.39 5.22 5.19 5.52
E (8) 4.03 5.29 5.21 5.35 5.13 4.99 4.79
F (3) 3.90 5.53 5.56 5.74 5.12 5.18 4.60
G (1) 5.14 5.38 5.61 5.97 5.09 5.20 <2.6

Mean (30) 4.60 5.39 5.38 5.50 5.19 5.17 5.04
SD 0.84 0.19 0.25 0.24 0.21 0.22 0.59

a Number of HIV isolates in each subtype category.
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TABLE 2. Mean log₁₀ differences of RNA values for each HIV-1 subtype with respect to the Roche Amplicor Monitor 1.5 test

HIV subtype

Mean log₁₀ difference

Roche 1.0

Gen-Probe

Bayer 3.0

Digene 1.0

NucliSens 2.0

A (3) 2.58 0.02 0.41 0.32 0.29
B (7) 0.34 0.12 0.09 0.12 0.09
C (5) 0.15 0.07 0.23 0.19 0.06
D (3) 0.13 −0.11 0.11 0.14 −0.19
E (8) 1.18 −0.15 0.16 0.30 0.49
F (3) 1.82 −0.02 0.40 0.35 0.92
G (1) 0.47 −0.36 0.29 0.18 NA

Mean difference (30) 0.89 −0.02 0.20 0.23 0.27
SD 0.87 0.28 0.18 0.18 0.35

a Number of HIV isolates in each subtype category.

b Mean log₁₀ differences of RNA values for each HIV-1 subtype by HIV-1 RNA quantitation tests compared to the values found by the Roche Amplicor Monitor version 1.5 test. The HIV-1 RNA quantitation tests used were the Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor test (version 1.0), Bayer Quantiplex HIV RNA (version 3.0), Digene Hybrid Capture II HIV RNA test (version 1.0), Organon Teknika NucliSens HIV QT test (version 2.0), and Gen-Probe HIV-1 Viral Load Assay (in development).

c NA, not applicable.
complicator version 1.5 test. Panel member NP1465 (E subtype) was more efficiently quantified by the Gen-Probe assay (greater than or equal to 0.5 log unit). The overall higher RNA values generated for this HIV-1 subtype panel by the Gen-Probe test may reflect increased test sensitivity.

The Bayer Quantiplex version 3.0 assay performed well within expected between-isolate variation, with a mean $\log_{10}$ difference value (of the number of RNA copies per milliliter compared to the value by Roche version 1.5 test) of 0.20 ± 0.18 compared to ~0.02 ± 0.08 for Gen-Probe. The smaller standard deviation observed for Bayer Quantiplex is favorable for overall performance. Three of the HIV-1 viral RNA tests assessed were designed for high-throughput quantitative performance: Bayer Quantiplex, Digene Hybrid Capture II, and Gen-Probe. Of these assays, Bayer Quantiplex version 3.0 and the Gen-Probe tests were designed for maximal sensitivity and specificity, capable of detecting all HIV-1 subtypes including group O variants (3, 12). The primers used in the Roche version 1.5 test (21) diverge extensively from group O RNA and would not be expected to efficiently amplify these sequences.

We show through the use of a panel of calibrated viral isolates that HIV-1 RNA quantitation tests developed or modified within the past 3 years are capable of quantifying a broader range of HIV-1 subtypes than tests which were developed earlier, when less information was available on the diversity of HIV-1 nucleic acid sequences. This improved performance reflects successful attempts by manufacturers to design HIV-1 viral RNA quantitation tests compatible with increasingly diverse HIV-1 subtypes. However, the process of test refinement must be continued, as the spread of the HIV-1 enlargingly diverse HIV-1 subtypes. However, the process of test refinement must be continued, as the spread of the HIV-1 broadening occurrence of the HIV-1 infection type 1 RNA in plasma: effect of an external standard on kit comparisons. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:2716–2720.
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