Prevalence of Polyclonal mefA-Containing Isolates among Erythromycin-Resistant Group A Streptococci in Southern Taiwan
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A total of 204 nonrepetitive isolates of group A streptococci (GAS), including 107 randomly collected between 1992 and 1995 and 66 and 31 consecutively collected in 1997 and 1998, respectively, from a university hospital in southern Taiwan were examined to determine the prevalence and mechanisms of erythromycin resistance among these isolates. Resistance to erythromycin was detected in 129 isolates (62.3%) by the agar dilution test. Of these, 42 isolates (32.6%) were assigned to the constitutive macrolide, lincosamide, and streptogramin B resistance (cMLS) phenotype, and all carried the ermB gene; 4 (3.1%) were assigned to the inducible MLS resistance (iMLS) phenotype, and all harbored the ermTR gene; and 83 (64.3%) were erythromycin resistant but susceptible to clindamycin (M phenotype), and all possessed the mefA gene. Distributed by years, the rates of erythromycin resistance and different phenotypes were 61.7% (53.0% cMLS, 6.1% iMLS, and 40.9% M phenotype) between 1992 and 1995, 62.1% (12.2% cMLS and 87.8% M phenotype) in 1997, and 71.0% (9.1% cMLS and 90.9% M phenotype) in 1998. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis showed that all but 2 cMLS isolates were clonal in origin, and 17 clones were detected among the M-phenotype isolates. These results indicate that the high incidence and increasing rate of erythromycin-resistant GAS in southern Taiwan are due to the prevalence of multiple M-phenotype clones and that clindamycin may be the drug of choice for the treatment of infections with GAS in penicillin-hypersensitive patients in this area.

Erythromycin has been the drug of choice for the treatment of infections with group A streptococci (GAS) in penicillin-hypersensitive patients (5, 9). The prevalence of erythromycin-resistant strains among GAS remains quite low in most parts of the world (1, 5, 7, 14, 18); however, widespread erythromycin resistance has been reported in Finland (15, 27), Italy (6, 31), Spain (21, 22), and Taiwan (2, 12).

Two major mechanisms account for erythromycin resistance in GAS: target site modification and active efflux of macrolides (16, 17, 28). Target site modification is the most common and extensively investigated mechanism of erythromycin resistance among gram-positive bacteria (16, 17, 28). It is mediated by Erm methylases, which methylate 23S rRNA and induce ribosomal modification, leading to loss of binding to macrolide, lincosamide, and streptogramin B (MLS) antibiotics (16, 32). Expression of MLS resistance in gram-positive cocci can be either constitutive or inducible (16, 17, 33, 34). Two classes of methylase genes have been described for GAS: the ermB gene (16) and the recently described ermTR gene (25). The ermB gene class has been that most commonly found in streptococci (16), while the ermTR gene has been found to be predominant among MLS-resistant GAS isolates in Finland (15) and Canada (7). Macrolide efflux in GAS is effected by a membrane protein encoded by the mefA gene (4). Strains with mefA have been shown to be resistant to 14- and 15-membered macrolides but to still be susceptible to 16-membered MLS antibiotics (M phenotype) (4). A predominance of the mefA gene among erythromycin-resistant GAS isolates in Spain (21, 22) and Sweden (13) has been reported.

High rates of erythromycin resistance among streptococci, including GAS, in Taiwan have been recognized since the mid-1990s (2, 12, 35). The mechanisms underlying erythromycin resistance are still not clear. The purposes of this study were to (i) investigate the mechanisms responsible for erythromycin resistance in clinical isolates of GAS in southern Taiwan and (ii) determine whether the prevalence of erythromycin resistance was due to clonal spreading of resistant strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. A total of 204 nonrepetitive isolates of GAS from the Department of Pathology, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, a 900-bed teaching hospital in southern Taiwan, were examined. Among these isolates, 107 were randomly collected between 1992 and 1995, and 66 and 31 were consecutively collected in 1997 and 1998, respectively. No evidence of GAS outbreaks was noted during the study period. All isolates were identified by colony morphology, bacitracin susceptibility, and the pyrrolidonyl arylamidase test (24), and their identities were confirmed by the latex agglutination technique (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom). All isolates were stored at −70°C in Todd-Hewitt medium (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) with 15% glycerol until testing.

Susceptibility testing. The MICs of erythromycin and clindamycin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) were determined by the agar dilution method according to the recommendations of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (20). Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood was used. The antibiotics were incorporated into the agar in serial twofold concentrations as follows: erythromycin, 0.013 to 128 μg/ml, and clindamycin, 0.013 to 128 μg/ml. The bacterial inocula, containing approximately 1 × 10⁶ to 3 × 10⁷ CFU, were applied to the plates with a Steers replicator. The plates were incubated at 35°C in ambient air for 20 to 24 h. Staphylococcus pneumoniae ATCC 49619 was used as a control.

Determination of erythromycin resistance phenotypes. The resistance phenotypes of erythromycin-resistant GAS isolates were determined by the double-disk test with erythromycin and clindamycin (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, Md.) disks as described previously (26). Blunting of the growth inhibition zone around clindamycin in the area between the two disks indicated an inducible type of
MLS resistance (iMLS), and resistance to both disks indicated a constitutive type of MLS resistance (cMLS). The M phenotype was characterized by resistance to erythromycin and susceptibility to clindamycin, with no blunting of the growth inhibition zone around clindamycin.

**Detection of erythromycin resistance genes.** Detection of erythromycin resistance genes in the erythromycin-resistant GAS isolates by PCR was performed with oligonucleotide primer pairs specific for *ermB* (29), *ermTR* (15), and *mefA* (29). The PCR mixture, PCR conditions, and electrophoresis of PCR products were as described previously (15, 29). The expected sizes of the PCR products were 640 bp for *ermB*, 348 bp for *mefA*, and 530 bp for *ermTR*.

**PFGE.** Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was carried out to determine the clonal characteristics of the erythromycin-resistant isolates with a contour-clamped homogeneous electric field system (Pulsaphor plus; Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology, Uppsala, Sweden) as described previously (3). The genomic DNAs were prepared as described by Piggot et al. (23) and were digested with *Sma*I (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Mass.). DNA was electrophoresed through a 1% agarose gel in Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer at 190 V for 30 h, with pulse times ranging from 5 to 35 s. The DNA bands were visualized by staining of the gel with ethidium bromide and were photographed. Bacteriophage lambda DNA concatemers (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, Md.) were used as size standards.

**RESULTS**

**Prevalence of erythromycin-resistant isolates.** Of the 204 GAS isolates, 129 (63.2%) were resistant to erythromycin (MIC, ≥1 μg/ml). Distributed by year, the prevalence rates of the erythromycin-resistant isolates were 61.7% (66 of 107 isolates) between 1992 and 1995, 62.1% (41 of 66 isolates) in 1997, and 71.0% (22 of 31 isolates) in 1998.

**Resistance phenotypes.** Resistance phenotypes of the erythromycin-resistant isolates were determined according to the results of double-disk tests. Among the 129 erythromycin-resistant isolates, 83 (64.3%) had an M phenotype. They were all resistant to erythromycin (MIC, 2 to 32 μg/ml) but susceptible to clindamycin (MIC, 0.03 to 0.13 μg/ml). The frequencies of the M-phenotype isolates were 64.0% between 1992 and 1995, 87.8% in 1997, and 90.9% in 1998 (Table 1). Overall, 42 isolates (32.6%) had a cMLS phenotype, and all of them showed high-level resistance to erythromycin (MIC, ≥128 μg/ml) and clindamycin (MIC, ≥128 μg/ml). The frequencies of the cMLS isolates were 53.0% between 1992 and 1995, 12.2% in 1997, and 9.1% in 1998 (Table 1). Only four of 66 erythromycin-resistant isolates (6.1%) collected between 1992 and 1995 had an iMLS phenotype. All of them showed intermediate resistance to erythromycin (MIC, 4 μg/ml) and were susceptible to clindamycin (MIC, 0.06 to 0.25 μg/ml).

**Erythromycin resistance genes.** Erythromycin resistance genes in the 129 erythromycin-resistant isolates were detected by PCR. All 42 cMLS isolates were positive with the primers specific for *ermB*, whereas all 84 M-phenotype isolates were positive for the *mefA* gene (Table 1). All four iMLS isolates were positive with the primers specific for *ermTR*.

**PFGE.** The clonal characteristic study of the erythromycin-resistant isolates was performed with PFGE. The results are partially shown in Fig. 1 and summarized in Table 2. Two PFGE patterns were found in the 42 cMLS isolates. Pattern A was predominant and found in 40 isolates (95.2%), while pattern J was found in only 2 isolates (4.8%), both of which were collected in 1997. Seventeen different PFGE patterns were found in the 84 M-phenotype isolates. Among the 17 PFGE patterns, pattern M was the most common one, being found in 19 (22.9%) of the 84 M-phenotype isolates. This pattern was found to be prevalent among erythromycin-resistant GAS in 1997, when 13 of 36 M-phenotype isolates (36.1%) showed this pattern. Few patterns were prevalent among the M-phenotype isolates collected between 1992 and 1995 (patterns C, L, and Q) and in 1998 (patterns B, D, and M), and none of them was predominant. Two PFGE patterns were found in the four iMLS isolates (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

A high incidence of erythromycin-resistant GAS in Taiwan has been recognized since the mid-1990s, when 37 to 60% of GAS strains were found to be resistant to erythromycin (2, 12). The present study indicates that the resistance rate is still increasing in Taiwan. Rates of resistance have been reported to range from 10 to 47% in European countries (6, 13, 15, 21, 22, 27, 31). Although up to 70% of GAS isolates in Japan were resistant to erythromycin in the late 1970s and early 1980s (19), the country has experienced a rapid decline of erythromycin resistance in GAS with decreased consumption of erythromycin (8). The resistant strains have almost disappeared at present. As many as 71% of the GAS isolates in 1998 were resistant to erythromycin in this study, indicating that Taiwan has become a country with the highest prevalence of erythromycin-resistant GAS in the world.

In the present study, the rates of prevalence of M-phenotype isolates among erythromycin-resistant GAS were 40.9% between 1992 and 1995, 87.8% in 1997, and 90.9% in 1998, whereas those of cMLS isolates declined from 53.0% between 1992 and 1995 to 9.1% in 1998. The rates of prevalence of M-phenotype isolates in 1997 and 1998 in this study are similar to that reported in Spain and Sweden (90%) (13, 21, 22) and are higher than those recently reported in Finland (60%) (15), Canada (70%) (7), and Italy (50%) (10, 30). The data indicate that macroolide efflux mediated by the *mefA* gene has replaced...
target site modifications mediated by the \textit{erm} genes as the most common mechanism responsible for erythromycin resistance among GAS isolates in Taiwan in a short time. Furthermore, because of an extremely high incidence of M-phenotype isolates among GAS and because these isolates are susceptible to clindamycin, our study suggests clindamycin as the drug of choice for the treatment of infections with GAS in penicillin-hypersensitive patients in Taiwan.
A novel erm gene, \textit{ermTR}, was recently identified in an erythromycin-resistant clinical isolate of GAS in Finland (25). The nucleotide sequence of \textit{ermTR} is 82.5\% identical to that of \textit{ermA} (25). MLS resistance in GAS with \textit{ermTR} is usually expressed inducibly but occasionally is expressed constitutively (7, 10, 15). The \textit{ermTR} gene has been found to be predominant among iMLS isolates in Finland (100\%) (15) and Canada (100\%) (7) since its discovery and has also been found, although less commonly (60\% of iMLS isolates), in Italy (9). Only four iMLS isolates collected between 1992 and 1995 were found in this study, and all of them harbored the \textit{ermTR} gene. The incidence of our iMLS isolates among erythromycin-resistant GAS is far lower than that reported in Finland (38\%) (25), Canada (28\%) (7), and Italy (31\%) (6). This finding indicates that iMLS GAS are rare in Taiwan and that most of them harbor the \textit{ermTR} gene.

As shown by PFGE, almost all cMLS isolates in this study were clonal in origin. This result appears to be in accordance with studies indicating that \textit{erm} determinants are usually located on chromosomes in streptococci, although they are often associated with conjugative transposons (11). In contrast, PFGE showed the polyclonal nature of the M-phenotype isolates. The spread of the \textit{mefA} gene in multiple clones has also been found in Italy (30) and Spain (22). Conducting conjugation experiments, Kataja et al. (15) demonstrated that the \textit{mefA} gene could be transferred from M-phenotype isolates of GAS to erythromycin-susceptible GAS and \textit{Enterococcus faecalis} isolates; however, no extrachromosomal DNA was detectable in these isolates by plasmid analysis. The authors thus speculated that the \textit{mefA} gene might reside on a chromosomal conjugative transposon with a high transfer frequency. Whether the \textit{mefA} gene in our M-phenotype isolates is also located on the chromosome is not known. However, since the emergence and prevalence of multiple clones of M-phenotype isolates occurred within a very short period in Taiwan, it is likely that in addition to the dissemination of resistance strains and transfer by chromosomal conjugative transposons, the spread of resistance plasmids might also have occurred among our GAS isolates. Further studies are needed to confirm the mechanisms of \textit{mefA} transfer among these isolates.

In conclusion, our study showed that macrolide efflux mediated by the \textit{mefA} gene has replaced target site modification mediated by the \textit{ermB} gene as the most common mechanism responsible for erythromycin resistance in GAS in southern Taiwan. Almost all cMLS isolates carrying the \textit{ermB} gene were from a single clone, whereas the spread of the \textit{mefA} gene among M-phenotypes isolates occurred in multiple clones. Since M-phenotype isolates are prevalent in southern Taiwan and all are susceptible to clindamycin, our study also suggests the use of clindamycin as the drug of choice for the treatment of infections with GAS in penicillin-hypersensitive patients in this area.
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