Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a widespread human γ1-herpesvirus and the etiological agent of infectious mononucleosis, is associated with a broad spectrum of epithelio- and lymphoproliferative disorders. In immunocompetent hosts these include Burkitt’s lymphoma, Hodgkin’s disease, T- or NK-cell lymphoma, B-cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and gastric carcinoma. In immunocompromised individuals, EBV is strongly associated with oral hairy leukoplakia, AIDS-related lymphoma, and both early- and late-onset post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD) (34).

In order to define individuals at high risk for the development of EBV-linked disease, a variety of nucleic acid diagnostic methods for detection and quantification of the virus in peripheral blood have been developed. These methods include semiquantitative PCR (12, 21, 26, 28), end-point dilution PCR (14), quantitative competitive PCR (Q-PCR) (2, 3, 27, 30), and real-time PCR (15, 19, 23). These studies revealed the clinical relevance of EBV load monitoring for the diagnosis of EBV-associated disorders and assessment of the efficacy of therapeutic intervention. However, standardization between different methods and institutes and definition of EBV load cutoff levels in different patient populations are required (24).

Although elevated peripheral blood EBV loads are observed in both immunocompetent and immunocompromised patients with epithelio- or lymphoproliferative disease, virtually nothing is known about the physical state of EBV detected in the peripheral blood of these patients or in healthy carriers. Three kinds of viral infection are thought to exist (25) and include a “silent” latent state with little or even no viral gene expression activity, a latent, growth-transforming infection of B cells with transcription of a limited number of latency genes, and a lytic infection in which infectious virus is produced.

Several clinical specimens have been used to determine EBV loads in the blood compartment including unfractionated whole (2, 30), serum (4, 9, 10, 17, 19), plasma (20, 35), and isolated peripheral blood leukocytes and mononuclear cells (14, 21, 26, 27, 28). However, no study thus far has analyzed the amount of EBV DNA in whole blood and simultaneously obtained serum or plasma by a standardized Q-PCR. Such a comparative study could provide insight into the type of EBV replication that occurs in different patient populations, either immunocompetent or immunocompromised patient populations. In addition, it might provide important clues about the type of clinical specimen that should be used for viral load monitoring in these patients.

The aim of the study described here was to compare EBV DNA loads in whole blood and serum or plasma simultaneously obtained from patients with different EBV-associated disorders. For this, a highly reproducible single-copy EBV gene-based Q-PCR and a sensitive multicopy target PCR for the BamHI-W repeat region of the EBV genome were used. We found that the EBV DNA loads in most patients with EBV-associated disorders are restricted to the cellular compartment, with no elevated EBV DNA loads in serum or plasma. When serum was found to be EBV DNA positive, this was associated with parallel detectable cellular DNA signals, suggesting cell death or damage as the source of viral DNA in
the serum. We conclude that serum and plasma are unsuitable clinical specimens for monitoring in of EBV loads Burkitt’s lymphoma patients, transplant recipients, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected individuals, and infectious mononucleosis patients. Whole blood is the preferred clinical sample type, as it is simple, is more standardized and directly reflects the overall dynamic changes in EBV DNA load in the circulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Healthy donors. Whole-blood samples (n = 18) and simultaneously obtained plasma samples (n = 18) were obtained from healthy laboratory volunteers (n = 11). All donors were EBV seropositive with no serological signs of viral reactivation, as determined by standard EBV serology and immunoblot analysis (33).

Patients with EBV-associated proliferative disorders. Twelve whole-blood samples and corresponding serum samples were obtained from juvenile patients from Malawi with Burkitt’s lymphoma. All samples were taken at the time of diagnosis, before the start of therapeutic intervention. As a control population, 9 plasma samples and 2 corresponding whole-blood samples were obtained from juvenile patients from Malawi (35).

Prospectively collected follow-up whole-blood samples (n = 35) and parallel serum samples (n = 35) were obtained from lung transplant recipients (n = 4) diagnosed with PTLD. Samples were obtained before, during, and after PTLD diagnosis and at periods of either increased, decreased, or maintenance immune suppression levels (samples were kindly provided by Erik Verschuuren and Hauw The, Department of Clinical Immunology, University Hospital Groningen, The Netherlands).

Nine plasma samples and two corresponding whole-blood samples were obtained from two HIV-infected individuals with increased EBV DNA loads (samples were kindly provided by Paul Smits, Slotervaart Hospital, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). These patients were receiving highly active anti-retroviral therapy but were not receiving any antitherpesvirus therapy at the time of sample collection.

Whole-blood and corresponding serum samples were obtained from five patients with infectious mononucleosis (all kindly provided by Nadja Prang, Medizinische Immunologische Laboratorien, Munich, Germany). Samples were taken at the time of diagnosis, which was done by routine serology.

Isolation of DNA from whole blood, serum, and plasma. One milliliter of freshly obtained whole blood was diluted in 9 ml of NASBA lysis buffer (5 M guanidine thiocyanate, 0.1 M Tris · HCl [pH 6.4], 1.2% Triton X-100, 20 mM EDTA, Organon Teknika, Boxtel, The Netherlands) and stored at −80°C until use. DNA was isolated from 1 ml of blood lysate (equivalent to 0.1 ml of whole blood) by silica-based extraction essentially as described by Boom et al. (6). Whole blood from African Burkitt’s lymphoma patients and African controls was directly frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at −80°C, and lysed by thawing in NASBA lysis buffer at the time of use. DNA was subsequently isolated as described above.

Serum or plasma samples were stored at −20°C until use. For DNA isolation they were diluted 1:9 in NASBA lysis buffer, and DNA was isolated as described above for whole blood.

As a positive control, healthy donor serum was spiked with defined amounts of DNA isolated from EBV-positive JE cells. As a negative control, serum from an EBV-negative donor and water were included in all isolations.

Qualitative PCR and Q-PCR. An outline of the experimental approach is depicted in Fig. 1. First, the EBV DNA status of whole blood, serum, or plasma samples was determined by qualitative EBNA-1 PCR, which has a sensitivity of approximately 10 genomic EBV DNA copies or approximately 1 EBV-infected cell (30). The cutoff value of this PCR was shown to be clinically relevant since the values for all healthy EBV-positive donors were below this value (31).

The EBV DNA loads in samples positive by qualitative EBNA-1 PCR were subsequently determined by Q-PCR with EBNA-1 combined with enzyme immunoassay detection, precisely as described previously (30). An equivalent of 5 μl of a whole-blood, serum, or plasma sample was used as input in the PCR, with each sample spiked with three different amounts of internal standard plasmid DNA in three different reaction mixtures (10, 100 or 1,000 copies per reaction mixture). A fixed amount of plasmid containing the wild-type amplicon served as a control for quantification in all Q-PCR runs. To ensure the validity of the results, several precautions were taken to avoid product carryover and PCR contamination (16). In all experiments appropriate negative controls were included and all clinical samples were screened blindly.

The clinically relevant cutoff value of the Q-PCR is 2,000 EBV DNA copies/ml, which is based on the low EBV DNA loads normally detected in the blood of healthy EBV-seropositive donors (1, 30, 31).

BamHI-W-repeat PCR. Samples that were negative by the EBNA-1 PCR were additionally tested by a PCR for the large internal BamHI-W repeat, which was performed as described previously (13). This was done in order to assess qualitatively the EBV DNA status of these samples by using the most sensitive EBV PCR available and detect putative small (trace) amounts of EBV in the range of 200 to 2,000 copies/ml. Although this PCR in theory is more sensitive than the EBNA-1 PCR, the BamHI-W-repeat PCR is not suitable for quantitation since the number of BamHI-W repeats differs between clinical EBV isolates. PCR products were detected by enzyme immunoassay by the same protocol used for the EBNA-1 PCR (30) but with 1× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium nitrate)–0.5% Tween 20 instead of 4× SSC–0.5% Tween 20 as the wash buffer and with pEBV (5′-AATCTGAACTTTAGCTCTGGAGGACTT-3′) as the digoxigenin-labeled oligonucleotide. The BamHI-W-repeat PCR has a sensitivity of at least 10 plasmid targets, containing a single BamHI-W insert, which in theory equals approximately 1 EBV genome (13).

β-Globin PCR. To check for the presence of cellular DNA in whole blood, serum, or plasma, β-globin PCR was performed as described previously (7). The positivity of the samples was assessed by standard agarose gel analysis.

RESULTS

The results of the qualitative and quantitative PCRs with whole blood and serum or plasma from various patient and control groups are summarized in Table 1.

Healthy donors. Of 18 whole-blood samples from healthy donors tested, 1 sample was positive by the qualitative EBNA-1 PCR, but the amount of EBV DNA in the sample was below the cutoff value of 2,000 copies/ml in the Q-PCR. The same results were found for the corresponding serum sample from this healthy donor. All other whole-blood and serum samples were also negative by the qualitative BamHI-W-repeat PCR, confirming the very low EBV DNA levels in the circulation of healthy donors (1, 2, 27, 30). Thirteen of 18 serum samples and all 18 whole-blood samples were positive by the β-globin PCR.

Burkitt’s lymphoma patients. Twelve of 12 whole-blood samples from Burkitt’s lymphoma patients had EBV DNA loads above the limit of detection of the Q-PCR. The EBV DNA loads in these samples ranged from 14,600 to 4,591,900 copies/ml of blood. Eight of the 12 corresponding serum samples had EBV DNA loads above the cutoff level of the Q-PCR, with EBV DNA loads in the range of 4,400 to 108,600 copies/ml of serum. However, there was a poor correlation between whole-blood EBV DNA loads and serum EBV DNA loads (Fig. 2). Furthermore, serum samples contained β-globin DNA, indicating cell damage either in vivo or ex vivo during serum preparation.

African controls. All 12 whole-blood samples from African control donors were positive for EBV by qualitative EBNA-1 PCR. Only 3 of 12 whole-blood samples from African controls had EBV DNA loads above the cutoff value by the Q-PCR, with values ranging from 3,800 to 17,500 EBV DNA copies/ml of blood. All corresponding serum samples had EBV DNA loads below the cutoff value of the Q-PCR, although EBV DNA could be detected by qualitative EBNA-1 PCR in 7 of 12 of the samples. Again, all serum samples also contained cellular DNA, as they were found to be positive by the β-globin PCR.
PTLD patients. All 35 whole-blood samples from PTLD patients tested were positive by the qualitative EBNA-1 PCR. Thirty of 35 samples had EBV DNA loads above the limit of detection of the Q-PCR, with EBV DNA loads ranging from 2,600 to 308,000 EBV DNA copies/ml of blood. Fifteen of 35 serum samples were positive only by the qualitative EBNA-1 PCR, while an additional 2 serum samples were positive by the BamHI-W-repeat PCR. All EBV DNA-positive serum samples had EBV DNA loads below the cutoff value of the Q-PCR, indicating that most, if not all, of the EBV burden in these patients could be attributed to the cellular blood compartment. All 35 serum samples were positive for β-globin, indicating the presence of cellular DNA and the absence of sample-related inhibition of the PCR.

HIV-infected individuals. Samples from two HIV-infected patients were included in the study. Both had highly elevated EBV DNA loads in their circulations (15,600 and 89,400 EBV DNA copies/ml of blood, respectively). No EBV DNA could be detected in the corresponding plasma samples by EBNA-1 PCR or by the more sensitive BamHI-W-repeat PCR, indicating the complete absence of any detectable cell-free EBV DNA in the blood of these patients. EBV DNA was also absent from plasma samples (n = 7) that were obtained at several time points in the year before the whole-blood samples were taken. None of the plasma samples were positive by the β-globin PCR.

Infectious mononucleosis patients. Four of five infectious mononucleosis patients had high whole-blood EBV DNA loads (34,000, 600,000, 74,000, and 37,000 copies/ml of blood, respectively). All simultaneously obtained serum samples had EBV DNA loads below the limit of detection by the EBNA-1 PCR; but EBV DNA could be detected in four of five serum samples by the BamHI-W-repeat PCR, and these four samples were also positive for β-globin DNA. This indicates that very low levels of EBV DNA may be present in the serum or, more likely, that EBV DNA is released from damaged EBV-positive cells during serum preparation.

Control for putative PCR inhibition by serum or plasma. In order to exclude the possibility of putative PCR inhibition as a reason for the absence of detectable EBV DNA, eluates of serum and plasma samples, which tested negative by both the Q-PCR and the BamHI-W-repeat PCR, were spiked with 100 copies of plasmid containing the wild-type EBNA-1 target and...
amplified (30). All samples became positive by PCR, indicating the absence of PCR inhibitors in serum and plasma samples.

**DISCUSSION**

Monitoring of the EBV DNA load in the circulation has been shown to be a useful parameter for the diagnosis of EBV infection in various patient populations (3, 9, 26, 31). However, interlaboratory differences in sample type and EBV DNA detection assays have thus far limited appropriate standardization of EBV DNA load determinations and prevented direct comparison of different patient populations (24).

In the present study we evaluated the diagnostic value of unfractionated whole blood and serum or plasma as clinical specimens for determination of the EBV DNA loads in the blood compartment of patients with different EBV-associated proliferative disorders. We propose the use of unfractionated whole blood as the clinical specimen in quantitative EBV DNA assays, which is a step toward standardization of such assays.

In Burkitt’s lymphoma patients, elevated EBV DNA loads were detected in both serum and whole blood samples at the time of primary diagnosis, indicating that the EBV burden may be present in both the cellular and fluid blood compartments of these patients. The major part of the EBV burden, however, is situated in the cellular fraction since the serum EBV levels are much lower than the whole-blood EBV levels. In addition, serum EBV DNA loads do not correlate with the levels in whole blood (Fig. 2). Therefore, serum is undesirable as a clinical specimen for viral load monitoring in Burkitt’s lymphoma patients. The presence of EBV DNA in the serum of these patients could be due to lytic EBV replication, viral DNA release from damaged apoptotic or necrotic cells into the serum in vivo, or damage of the abundantly present EBV-positive B cells ex vivo as an artifact of blood coagulation during serum preparation. The positive result for β-globin DNA in serum samples from all Burkitt’s lymphoma patients and African control donors strongly suggest that the presence of EBV DNA in serum is due to damage of B cells.

We could not detect significantly elevated EBV DNA loads in the serum of transplant recipients, despite highly elevated EBV DNA loads in simultaneously obtained whole-blood specimens. This indicates that the elevated EBV DNA loads...
in these patients can be attributed mainly to the cellular compartment of the blood, directly reflecting proliferation of latently infected B cells, with very little or no lytic viral replication and associated release of virion DNA. Although viral DNA was detected by qualitative PCR in some serum samples from lung transplant recipients, the absence of significantly elevated viral DNA levels may be a result of the extensive use of antiviral drugs such as acyclovir, which was given both prophylactically (low dose) and as PTLD therapy (high dose), and ganciclovir and foscarnet, which were given for the treatment of active cytomegalovirus infection. These drugs inhibit lytic viral replication (36) and thus limit elevation of EBV levels in serum, which would be expected to originate from virions produced during lytic replication of the virus. However, a larger, more comprehensive study is required to further substantiate this hypothesis.

Our results indicate the poor diagnostic and predictive values of tests with serum and plasma samples as clinical specimens. In a previous study we showed that the whole-blood EBV load in lung transplant recipients can be used to both predict and diagnose PTLD and strongly correlates with changes in the immune status of the patients (31). The absence of any significantly elevated EBV DNA levels in the serum of the tested patients marks this specimen type as unsuitable for quantitative monitoring of EBV in lung transplant patients.

Similar to the findings in for Burkitt’s lymphoma patients and transplant recipients, we found a complete lack of correlation between whole-blood EBV DNA levels and plasma EBV DNA levels in HIV-infected individuals. This is also the case for whole blood and serum samples from infectious mononucleosis patients. It is noteworthy that none of these patients was receiving acyclovir or ganciclovir-foscarnet as antiviral prophylaxis. Whole blood may be stored as such upon immediate freezing in liquid nitrogen, as we showed for the whole blood samples as determined by β-globin PCR. DNA of cellular origin is generally absent from plasma, indicating that preparation of plasma leads to less cell damage than preparation of serum, as expected. Cell lysis is probably inevitable during blood coagulation. This again indicates that serum is an unfavorable clinical specimen, as in patients with high cell-associated EBV loads (e.g., Burkitt’s lymphoma patients), and that separation artifacts that appear during sample preparation could cause irreproducible results, putative overestimation of EBV loads in serum, and poor standardization of tests for EBV DNA load monitoring. One milliliter of unfractionated whole blood is a simple and reliable sample type which represents a suitable unit for EBV DNA load determination, irrespective of latent or lytic replication or the occurrence of cell damage. Whole blood may be stored as such upon immediate freezing in liquid nitrogen, as we showed for the whole blood.
moms for use in EBV DNA load monitoring. Unfractionated whole blood is the preferred clinical specimen in lung transplant recipients, HIV-infected individuals, infectious mononucleosis patients, and Burkitt's lymphoma patients. The viral loads in these patients are mainly present in the cellular blood compartment. The use of unfractionated whole blood in diagnostic settings is an important step toward standardization of EBV DNA load monitoring in patients at risk for the development of EBV-related disease.
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