Serological, Epidemiological, and Molecular Differences between Human T-Cell Lymphotropic Virus Type 1 (HTLV-1)-Seropositive Healthy Carriers and Persons with HTLV-I Gag Indeterminate Western Blot Patterns from the Caribbean
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To investigate the significance of serological human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) Gag indeterminate Western blot (WB) patterns in the Caribbean, a 6-year (1993 to 1998) cross-sectional study was conducted with 37,724 blood donors from Guadeloupe (French West Indies), whose sera were routinely screened by enzyme immunoassay (EIA) for the presence of HTLV-1 and -2 antibodies. By using stringent WB criteria, 77 donors (0.20%) were confirmed HTLV-1 seropositive, whereas 150 (0.40%; \( P < 0.001 \)) were considered HTLV seroindeterminate. Among them, 41.3% (62) exhibited a typical HTLV-1 Gag indeterminate profile (HGIP). Furthermore 76 (50.7%) out of the 150 HTLV-seroindeterminate subjects were sequentially retested, with a mean duration of follow-up of 18.3 months (range, 1 to 70 months). Of these, 55 (72.4%) were still EIA positive and maintained the same WB profile whereas the others became EIA negative. This follow-up survey included 33 persons with an HGIP. Twenty-three of them (69.7%) had profiles that did not evolve over time. Moreover, no case of HTLV-1 seroconversion could be documented over time by studying such sequential samples. HTLV-1 seroprevalence was characterized by an age-dependent curve, a uniform excess in females, a significant relation with hepatitis B core (HBc) antibodies, and a microcluster distribution along the Atlantic façade. These divergent epidemiological features, together with discordant serological screening test results for subjects with HGIP and with the lack of HTLV-1 proviral sequences detected by PCR in their peripheral blood mononuclear cell DNA, strongly suggest that an HGIP does not reflect true HTLV-1 infection. In regard to these data, healthy blood donors with HGIP should be reassured that they are unlikely to be infected with HTLV-1 or HTLV-2.

Human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) (27, 33) has been etiologically associated with both adult T-cell leukemia (43) and tropical spastic paraparesis/HTLV-1-associated myelopathy (TSP/HAM) (14). This retrovirus has a worldwide distribution (27) with foci of endemicity in the Caribbean (6, 12, 29, 30, 35, 36, 46), southeastern Japan (48), sub-Saharan Africa (11, 13, 26, 28), and areas of South America (37, 38) and the Middle East. HTLV-1 is transmitted between sexual partners and also from mother to child (mainly through prolonged breast feeding) and via blood (transfusion or needle sharing) (27, 48). Posttransfusional HTLV-1 cases seem to be more severe and to evolve faster than nonposttransfusional ones (27, 41, 48). Therefore, public health authorities of many countries have been concerned with this retrovirus due to its significant relation with hepatitis B core (HBc) antibodies, and a microcluster distribution along the Atlantic façade. HTLV-1 has been etiologically associated with both adult T-cell leukemia (43) and tropical spastic paraparesis/HTLV-1-associated myelopathy (TSP/HAM) (14). This retrovirus has a worldwide distribution (27) with foci of endemicity in the Caribbean (6, 12, 29, 30, 35, 36, 46), southeastern Japan (48), sub-Saharan Africa (11, 13, 26, 28), and areas of South America (37, 38) and the Middle East. HTLV-1 is transmitted between sexual partners and also from mother to child (mainly through prolonged breast feeding) and via blood (transfusion or needle sharing) (27, 48). Posttransfusional HTLV-1 cases seem to be more severe and to evolve faster than nonposttransfusional ones (27, 41, 48). Therefore, public health authorities of many countries have implemented routine screening for antibodies to HTLV-1 and -2 in blood banks (4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 18, 22, 29, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38, 46, 48; S. L. Stramer, J. P. Brodsky, J. Trenbeath, L. Taylor, B. Peoples, and R. Y. Dodd, Abstr. 52nd Annu. Meet. Am. Assoc. Blood Banks, abstr. S483, 1999). This is the case in the French overseas territories including the West Indian island of Guadeloupe (an area where HTLV-1 is endemic [35, 36]), where blood bank screening for HTLV-1 and -2 became mandatory in January 1989 (8).

There are several diagnostic methods for the detection of HTLV-1 and -2 antibodies, including enzyme immunoassays (EIAs), the particle agglutination assay (PAA), immunoﬂuorescence assays, Western blotting (WB), and the radioimmunoprecipitation assay (3, 4, 7, 10, 21, 24, 37, 45; Stramer et al., Abstr. 52nd Annu. Meet. Am. Assoc. Blood Banks). Repeated reactive samples are further tested by WB. Stringent HTLV WB criteria require that an HTLV-1-infected individual have an antibody response to the complete range of the core bands (p19, p24, and pr53), in addition to the respective recombinant glycoprotein (gd21) and to type-speciﬁc synthetic peptide MTA-1 (HTLV-1). However, especially in tropical areas, indeterminate HTLV serologic test results (i.e., WB patterns reactive to only part of the viral proteins) appear commonly, leading to difficulties in interpretation and counseling (2, 6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 26, 28, 31, 37, 38, 41, 48).
HTLV-1 serological results. Out of the 37,724 enrolled blood donors, 297 (0.79%) were RR in Abbott EIA. Of these, 77 (25.9%) were HTLV-1 seropositive by WB2.4, yielding an overall 0.20% seroprevalence (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.16 to 0.26%), and 150 (50.5%) had an HTLV-1-seroindeterminate WB2.4 pattern. This leads to an overall 0.40% prevalence of HTLV-1-seroindeterminate donors (CI, 0.34 to 0.47%), significantly higher than that of HTLV-1-positive donors (P < 0.0001). Finally, 70 samples (23.6%) were WB2.4 seronegative. As shown in Fig. 1, the annual prevalence rates for HTLV-1-seroindeterminate results, obtained with WB2.4, were relatively stable during the studied period (except for a 0.62% peak, of unknown origin, in 1994). They were also steadily and significantly higher than the HTLV-1-positive rates, especially for 1994, 1996, and 1997 (P = 0.005, 0.02, and 0.008, respectively).

As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2, careful examination of the 150 HTLV-1-indeterminate WB2.4 profiles allowed the identification of several different profile categories. The HGIP, exhibiting reactivities to p19, p26, p28, p32, p36, and pr53, but lacking both p24 and Env bands, was the most frequent (62 of 150 or 41.3%). Other indeterminate WB2.4 profiles were identified, including those with isolated bands such as p24 (n = 40), p19 (n = 12), or gd21 (n = 10). Furthermore, 20 specimens displayed reactivity to only one gag protein (p19 or p24) plus one env-encoded glycoprotein (i.e., gd21), either associated or not with MTA-1. Among these 20 specimens, the most common WB profile (n = 14) exhibited reactivities to gd21 and p19 associated with faint p26, p28, p32, p36, pr53 bands but lacking both p24 and MTA-1 reactivities. This WB profile was closely related to HGIP, except for the presence of the gd21 reactivity. Among the 150 HTLV-indeterminate WB sera, 129 could be retested by complementary tests and the majority were scored negative when screened by PAA or recombinant EIA, leading an enhanced overall specificity of 90.7% or 85.3%, respectively (Table 1).

Considering the first 3-year screening period (1993 to 1995),
the use of WB2.3 and WB2.4 gave similar percentages of indeterminate results, 0.47% (93 of 19,797) for WB2.3 and 0.43% (86 of 19,797; \( P = 0.60 \)) for WB2.4, with strictly identical values for overall specificity (99.4%). HGIP again was the most-often-encountered pattern (39 of 93 or 41.9% with WB2.3) (data not shown).

Among the 150 HTLV-seroindeterminate subjects, 76 (50.7%) were sequentially retested, for a mean duration of follow-up of 18.3 months (range, 1 to 70 months). Of these, 55 (72.4%) maintained the same WB profile whereas the others became EIA negative. This follow-up survey included 33 HGIP persons, of whom 23 (69.7%) had a profile that did not evolve during time. Moreover, no case of HTLV-1 seroconversion over time could be documented with such sequential samples.

Comparative epidemiological features of HTLV-1-seropositive, HGIP, and HTLV-1-seronegative donors. HGIP was chosen because it represented the most common and homogenous indeterminate WB profile. According to the epidemiological determinants, striking differences between HTLV-1-positive persons and those having an HGIP were detected. HTLV-1-positive blood donors showed increasing seropositivity rates with age (trend \( P < 0.0001 \)) and were significantly older (\( \geq 40 \) years) than HGIP donors (\( P = 0.03 \)) (Fig. 3A). Moreover, HTLV-1 seroprevalence was overrepresented among females (0.29% versus 0.12% for males; \( P < 0.001 \)), significantly differing in this respect from HGIP seroprevalence (\( P = 0.003 \)) (Fig. 3B). Indeed, HGIP subjects were equally balanced between males (0.18%) and females (0.15%), similar to HTLV-

FIG. 1. Temporal trends of HTLV-1-positive, -indeterminate, and -negative results obtained from WB2.4 among Guadeloupean blood donors from 1993 to 1998.
seronegative donors ($P = 0.58$) (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, HTLV-1-seropositive donors were significantly more likely to be positive (0.57%) than negative (0.16%) ($P < 0.001$) for HBc antibodies. This significantly differentiated them from HGIP donors ($P = 0.03$) (Fig. 3C). By contrast, the percentages of HGIP persons positive (0.22%) and negative (0.16%) for HBc antibodies were similar to those for HTLV-seronegative donors ($P = 0.33$) (Fig. 3C). Finally, the HTLV-1 seroprevalence was clearly greater along the Atlantic façade of Guadeloupe (0.40%), an area of microendemicity, than in other areas (0.20%) ($P = 0.016$), which was not the case for HGIP persons ($P = 0.01$) (Fig. 3D). By contrast, no significant difference in this geographic determinant between HGIP and HTLV-1-seronegative donors (0.08% for Atlantic façade versus 0.19% for other areas; $P = 0.13$) could be detected.

**Detection of HTLV-1 DNA sequences in the PBMCs by PCR.**

All the 43 studied DNA samples gave a positive result with primers that amplify the $\beta$-globin gene. A positive PCR signal was clearly detected in the PBMC DNA of 22 out of 24 HTLV-1-seropositive specimens with all the primer pairs, as well as in the HTLV-1- and HTLV-2-positive control DNAs. However, for two HTLV-1-seropositive specimens having an optical density ratio by EIA of $>15$ and exhibiting a peculiar WB profile with strong $env$ protein (gd21 and MTA-1) but very weak gag protein (p19 and p24) antibody reactivities, PCR results were negative. Furthermore, new PBMC DNAs were extracted for these two persons and retested by PCR for HTLV-1, but the results remained negative. No signal could be detected in the PBMC DNAs of 17 HTLV-1-seroindeterminate subjects, including 13 persons with an HGIP, 3 subjects with a gd21-plus-p19 pattern, and 1 person with an isolated p24 band. No signal was also obtained from the DNA of the HTLV-1- and -2-seronegative specimen as well as for the control DNA-free tube. A sample (6802) exhibiting a faint gd21-and p19-positive MTA-1 pattern but lacking p24 gave positive PCR results only with primer pairs amplifying the gag and tax genes. To avoid a possible lack of PCR sensitivity, we performed a nested PCR (one for the tax gene and the other for the long terminal repeat region) as previously described (26) for the few samples with discordant results. Only the three HTLV-1-positive controls and sample 6802 gave HTLV-1-positive results.

**DISCUSSION**

HTLV-1- and -2-seroindeterminate WB patterns are prevalent worldwide, with rates fluctuating considerably according to countries. The present 0.4% seroindeterminate rate found in Guadeloupe (French West Indies) appeared comparable to those previously documented for blood donors in other West Indian or South American countries, such as Martinique (0.50%) (6) and Brazil (0.63%) (38). This rate also appeared clearly higher than those found among donors from areas...
FIG. 3. (A) Seroprevalences of HTLV-1 and HGIP according to age among Guadeloupean blood donors (P = 0.03 between HTLV-1-positive and HGIP donors by WB2.4 [1993 to 1998]). (B) Seroprevalences of HTLV-1 and HGIP according to gender among Guadeloupean blood donors (P = 0.003 between HTLV-1-positive and HGIP donors by WB2.4 [1993 to 1998]). (C) Seroprevalences of HTLV-1 and HGIP according to HBc antibody positivity among Guadeloupean blood donors (P = 0.03 between HTLV-1-positive and HGIP donors by WB2.4 [1993 to 1998]). (D) Seroprevalences of HTLV-1 and HGIP according to HBc antibody positivity among Guadeloupean blood donors (P = 0.01 between HTLV-1-positive and HGIP donors by WB2.4 [1993 to 1998]).

where infection is not endemic, such as metropolitan France (0.0033 per thousand) (8) and the United States (0.035%) (22, 24, 32), but much lower than the rates reached in Cameroon (11% among a rural population) (28) and in Congo (3% among pregnant women) (42). This high frequency of indeterminate results clearly emphasizes the difficulty in assessing the real HTLV-1 seroprevalence, especially in tropical areas where indeterminate WB patterns peak and lead to misclassification. As a consequence, many earlier studies, particularly those performed in Africa but also in the Caribbean, have probably overestimated the HTLV-1 seroprevalences (2, 4, 6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 19, 20, 31).

In our study, the seroindeterminate rates obtained with WB2.3 and WB2.4 did not significantly differ and thereby did not change the overall specificity for serologic confirmation of HTLV-1 infection. Indeed, even if the highly sensitive and specific gd21-based WB2.4 assay eliminated the majority of false-positive transmembrane protein-related results, a significant number of specimens still reacted to gag proteins and were always categorized, by use of WB2.4, as HTLV indeterminate. Finally, indeterminate results due to reactivity to bands other than gd21 are still observed, so that an appropriate confirmatory test remains of major concern (32; Stramer et al., Abstr. 52nd Annu. Meet. Am. Assoc. Blood Banks). By contrast, our survey showed that, when these indeterminate samples were tested by additional recombinant EIA and by PAA, most of them were found HTLV negative. Similar data have been recently described in the United States, where a significant proportion of false-positive HTLV-1 and -2 results are obtained among blood donors, reflecting a weak specificity of the HTLV-1 and -2 screening. The use of a dual EIA algorithm for HTLV-1 and -2 among blood donors is now required in the United States in an attempt to reduce the number of expansive and nonconclusive WB tests. This algorithm process has been evaluated on a large scale and approved by the Food and Drug Administration (Stramer et al., Abstr. 52nd Annu. Meet. Am. Assoc. Blood Banks).

Although our survey disclosed several different indeterminate WB patterns, the leading one among local blood donors was the HGIP, previously described in Cameroon (26, 28), other African countries (11, 42, 44), and Melanesia (20, 31). Among all the HTLV-indeterminate patterns, the frequency of HGIP in Guadeloupe was particularly high regardless of the WB version used (more than 40% for both WB2.3 and WB2.4).

The reason for and significance of this peculiar blot pattern prevalence remain unclear. Several hypotheses have been put forward. One is the possibility of cross-reactivity to epitopes present on bacteria or parasites (notably Plasmodium falciparum) (15, 26, 34). However, Guadeloupe has no specific bacterial environment and malaria was eradicated about 50 years ago. Further, none of our 62 blood donors with an HGIP had traveled in areas where malaria is endemic. Another hypothesis may link the indeterminate reactivity to an immune response to closely related endogenous retroviruses (1) or to exogenous simian T-lymphotropic viruses (44), the latter being unlikely in our series, as recently described for the United States by Busch et al. (5).

With regard to epidemiology, our subjects exhibiting HGIP were significantly younger than those confirmed HTLV-1 seropositive. Furthermore, the HGIP prevalence was related neither to gender nor to HBc antibodies and did not cluster in the Atlantic façade of Guadeloupe, which is the area of highest HTLV-1 prevalence. Finally, the epidemiological profile of individuals with HGIP appeared close to those of HTLV-1-seronegative individuals and markedly contrasted with those of HTLV-1-seropositive individuals. Such contrast confirms the initial data obtained by Mauclére et al. in Cameroon (28) but extends these findings to the Caribbean area, strongly suggesting that such an indeterminate Gag WB pattern does not appear to reflect true HTLV-1 infection. This statement was confirmed by the use of PCR. Indeed, this technique did not detect HTLV-1 sequences in the PBMC of 13 tested HGIP persons. It must be pointed out that the majority of previous
In conclusion, our data confirm that the stringent criteria for WB positivity proposed by the HTLV European Research Network (40) must be accurately carried out, especially for samples originating from tropical areas. These criteria state that, to be considered HTLV-1 positive, WB-tested sera must react with at least two native gag proteins, p19 and p24, in addition to two recombinant env glycoproteins, gd21 and MTA-1. However, special attention must be paid to low-intensity signals: indeed, two “HTLV-1-seropositive” specimens in our study exhibiting a peculiar pattern with strong env protein reactivities but very weak gag protein reactivities were PCR negative. In such rare cases of faintly positive samples, it seems necessary to perform PCR in order to distinguish between true and false HTLV-1 seropositivity. Conversely, one indeterminate sample in our study with the gd21”+ p19”+ p24” profile along with MTA-1 reactivity was PCR positive. Similar results have been obtained in metropolitan France, where two indeterminate samples with the same pattern were also PCR positive (10). On the basis of these data, and by analogy with HTLV-2 seropositivity criteria, which required only three bands (i.e., gd21, p24, and K-55), we propose that HTLV-1 seropositivity should be based on the presence of at least the three reactivities gd21, p19, and MTA-1, even if p24 is lacking. By contrast, when both MTA-1 and p24, or the env protein reactivities (such as HGIP) are lacking, our survey failed to detect, by PCR, evidence of HTLV-1 provirus in all cases. Healthy blood donors with such HGIP test results should be reassured that they are unlikely to be infected with HTLV-1 or HTLV-2.
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