Environmental Occurrence of Madurella mycetomatis, the Major Agent of Human Eumycetoma in Sudan
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Madurella mycetomatis is the main causative agent of human eumycetoma, a severe debilitating disease endemic in Sudan. It has been suggested that eumycetoma has a soil-borne or thorn prick-mediated origin. For this reason, efforts were undertaken to culture M. mycetomatis from soil samples (n = 43) and from lesions (n = 35) derived from areas in which it is endemic. However, ribosomal sequencing data revealed that the black fungi obtained belonged to other fungal species. In addition, we performed PCR-mediated detection followed by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis for the identification of M. mycetomatis DNA from the environmental material. DNA from Madagascar soil samples, 17 out of 74 (23%) samples were positive for M. mycetomatis DNA. Among the thorn collections, 1 out of 22 (5%) was positive in the PCR. All PCR RFLP patterns clearly indicated the presence of M. mycetomatis. In contrast, 15 Dutch and English control soil samples were all negative. Clinically and environmentally obtained fungal PCR products share the same PCR RFLP patterns, suggesting identity, at least at the species level. These observations support the hypothesis that eumycetoma is primarily environmentally acquired and suggest that M. mycetomatis needs special conditions for growth, as direct isolation from the environment seems to be impossible.

Eumycetoma is a subcutaneous fungal infection in which the etiological agent occurs in the form of more or less compact mycelial grains. The immune response is a granulomatous foreign body reaction characterized by impressive local necrosis and the formation of extensive fistula (8, 9, 18). Areas where eumycetoma is endemic are arid and hot climate zones with limited rainfall. A genuine “mycetoma belt” is found in tropical and subtropical regions, with foci in southern India, Somalia, Senegal, Argentina, and Sudan (12, 17). Cases are particularly common in Sudan, where, for example, Abbott (1) reported 1,231 cases in a 2.5-year period. The main causative agent of eumycetoma in Sudan is Madurella mycetomatis (4, 15). The disorder is supposed to originate from traumatic inoculation of plant material or soil contaminated by these fungi. Abbott (1) noted a history of thorn pricks in 10 out of 62 cases of mycetoma, and in two of these, there were actually thorns found embedded in tissue. Basset et al. (3) reported two cases with thorns inside lesions from which only non-Madurella fungi could be isolated. It is unlikely that M. mycetomatis represents a primary pathogen for humans. Rather, the fungi involved probably are saprophytes, which are able to tolerate characteristic conditions when inoculated by coincidence. However, direct evidence for this hypothesis is still lacking. Thiurumalchar and Padhye (22) were barely able to recover M. mycetomatis from soil. This is rather unexpected for a saprophytic fungus.

The present paper reports efforts to find M. mycetomatis in the environment in Sudan. Isolating fungi by culturing soils and thorns, which are preconditioned for traumatic inoculation, was attempted. Isolated strains were compared to patient strains from Sudan that were identified as M. mycetomatis (2). Environmental fungal isolates with a colony appearance resembling that of Madurella were identified and compared by molecular methods. In addition, direct PCR-mediated testing of environmental material was performed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and culture conditions. Strains presented in the current study are listed in Table 1. Sudanese Madurella strains used for comparison were those of Ahmed et al. (2), isolated by direct culture of black grains obtained from deep biopsies of patient lesions at the Mycetoma Research Center, Khartoum, Sudan. They were maintained on malt extract agar (MEA) slants at 24°C and transferred to fresh tubes regularly to preserve viability. Reference strains, selected from genera being reported as agents of eumycetoma, were retrieved from the collection of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (Utrecht, The Netherlands).

Cultivation of environmental pigmented fungi. Strains were cultured from soil and thorn samples collected in the Gezira area along the Blue Nile southeast of Khartoum, Sudan (Fig. 1). From 43 samples (up to 20 cm in depth), 4 g of soil was suspended in 30 ml of sterile 0.9% saline by high-speed vortexing for 5 min. After settling, the supernatant was diluted 1:5 and 1:50 for inoculation of two or three MEA culture plates with 0.5 ml of the suspension. Thirty-five thorn samples were collected and divided into two groups: fresh ones from green bushes (15 samples) and old ones lying on the ground or from old dry bushes (20 samples). Thorns primarily derived from the abundant species Acacia nilotica. Two or more thorns, depending on their size, were crushed in 2 ml of 0.9% sodium chloride solution. Two dilutions were made to inoculate two plates each (fresh thorns, 1:1 and 1:10; old thorns, 1:10 and 1:100). To decrease...
the number of contaminants in the case of several samples, two thorns were
briefly rubbed with a cotton swab with 70% ethanol before dilution (fresh thorns,
1:1; old thorns, 1:10) and used to inoculate two plates. Aliquots of 0.5 ml were
used per culture plate with Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) containing 5 mg of
chloramphenicol/liter. Plates were incubated at 37°C and checked for appro-
priate colonies at 3-day intervals. Strains with a colony appearance similar to that
of M. mycetomatis and exuding a rusty brown, diffusible pigment into the agar
were selected (16, 22).

DNA isolation of fungi isolated from soil. For DNA extraction, about 1 cm² of
mycelium was transferred to a 2:1 mixture of silica gel and Celite 545 with 30 µl
of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5), 200 mM Na-EDTA, 8.2% NaCl, 2% CTAB). The material was ground with a
micro-pestle (Eppendorf). After adding an additional 200 µl of CTAB buffer
and vigorous shaking, the sample was incubated for 10 min at 65°C in a water
bath. An equal volume of chloroform was added, vortexed briefly, and centrifu-
ged for 5 min at 14,000 rpm (model 5915C centrifuge; Eppendorf, Hamburg,
Germany). After transferring the aqueous supernatant to a new Eppendorf tube,
2 volumes (~800 µl) of 96% ethanol were added and mixed gently. DNA was
precipitated at −20°C for at least 30 min. The pellet, obtained by centrifugation,
was washed twice with 500 µl of 70% ethanol. DNA was dried overnight at room
temperature and suspended in 97.5 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 10 mM
Na-EDTA, pH 8.0) with 2.5 µl of RNase solution (200 U of pancreatic RNase
per reaction mixture, including 4 pmol of primer and 4 µl of DNA. In some cases, 6% dimethylsulfoxide (Merck, Darmstadt,
Germany) was added. Amplicons were purified using the GFX PCR DNA and
Gel Band Purification Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Amer-
sham Pharmacia, Roosendaal, The Netherlands). Concentrations of amplicons
were estimated by comparison with a marker (SmartLadder; Eurogentec, Sera-
ing, Belgium) on a 1% agarose gel.

The sequencing reactions were carried out with 15 to 50 ng of DNA for a 10-µl
reaction mixture, including 4 pmol of primer and 4 µl of BigDye RR Mix (Applied
Biosystems) using primers ITS4 and ITS1 (ITS domain) or Oli1, Oli5, Oli9–11, Oli13, and Oli14 (reference 21 and Table 2) and NS24 (SSU gene) with
25 cycles, as follows: 96°C for 10 s, 55°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 4 min. Sequence
reaction products were analyzed on an automated capillary sequencer (ABI,
Gouda, The Netherlands). The sequences were adjusted using SeqMan of La-
sergene software (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, Wis.) and analyzed using BioNu-
merics (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Sequences were compared to a set of
dedicated sequences available at CBS (ITS fragment ITS 1 + 2, including 5.8S and
the nearly complete SSU). Sequences of putative agents of eumycetoma were
included (e.g., Acremonium spp., Chaetomium, Neotestudina spp., Pseudallesche-
rna spp., Bipolaris, Leptosphaeria, and Madurella spp.). The sequences alignment
was considered confident when less than a 10% difference was observed. In case
no match was found, sequences were run against the EMBL databank using
BLAST.

Processing of soil and thorn samples for direct DNA testing. Two grams of soil
(74 Sudanese samples, 14 Dutch controls, and 1 United Kingdom control) or
four or five thorns (n = 22) were suspended in 5 or 2 ml of samples, sterile
physiological saline containing 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Merck, Darm-
stadt, Germany) and 5 mg of protease K (Merck). The samples were incubated
at room temperature on a horizontal shaker for 10 min and then incubated at
37°C (1 h). One milliliter of the clear upper layer of the suspensions was ex-
tracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (25:24:1).
The DNA was precipitated from the aqueous layer with cold absolute ethanol
(Merck). The pellet was washed once with 70% ethanol, air dried, and dissolved
in 100 µl of sterile distilled water. This crude extract was further purified with the

TABLE 1. Survey of strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Similarity with reference M. mycetomatis rRNA seq.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBS 247.48</td>
<td>M. mycetomatis</td>
<td>United States (Gammel)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS 201.38</td>
<td>Madurella sp. 1</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Confident alignmentb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS 248.48</td>
<td>Madurella sp. 1</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Confident alignmentb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS 217.55</td>
<td>Madurella sp. 1</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Confident alignmentb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS 216.29</td>
<td>Madurella sp. 2</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Distantly related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH11849</td>
<td>M. mycetomatis</td>
<td>Sudan, patient</td>
<td>0-bp difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH11850</td>
<td>M. mycetomatis</td>
<td>Sudan, patient</td>
<td>0-bp difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH11854</td>
<td>M. mycetomatis</td>
<td>Sudan, patient</td>
<td>0-bp difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>M. mycetomatis</td>
<td>Sudan, patient</td>
<td>1-bp difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH11853</td>
<td>M. mycetomatis</td>
<td>Sudan, patient</td>
<td>2-bp difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH11862</td>
<td>M. mycetomatis</td>
<td>Sudan, patient</td>
<td>3-bp difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH11963</td>
<td>Escherichium meganni</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH11959</td>
<td>Bipolaris papendortf</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH11964</td>
<td>Bipolaris specifera</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ulopora biligrum</td>
<td>Sudan, thorn</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ulopora biligrum</td>
<td>Sudan, thorn</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ulopora biligrum</td>
<td>Sudan, thorn</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ulopora biligrum</td>
<td>Sudan, thorn</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chaetomium brasiliense</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chaetomium murorum</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH11971</td>
<td>Phoma</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH11983</td>
<td>—c</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH11975</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Sudan, soil</td>
<td>Nonrelevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a CBS 247.48 is considered to be the M. mycetomatis reference strain to which the ribosomal sequences for the other strains were matched for reasons of comparison.
b “Confident alignment” indicates that difference was less than 10%.
c —, isolates belong to currently undefined fungal species.
QIAmp Tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The extracted DNA was then immediately tested by PCR. PCR RFLP analysis of DNA extracted from thorns and soil samples. This analysis aimed at the broad-spectrum amplification of fungal DNA, followed by an M. mycetomatis-specific nested PCR. In the first PCR, ITS4 and ITS5 primers were used: 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATGATATGC-3' and 5'-GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG-3', respectively (23). For the nested PCR, M. mycetomatis specific primers 26.1A (5'-AATGAGTTGGGCTTTAACGG-3) and (AATGAGTTGGGCTTTAACGG-3) and 28.3A (5'-TCCCGGTAGTTAGTGTCGGG-3) were employed (2). The PCRs were performed in 50-μl reaction volumes containing 0.2 U of SuperTaq polymerase (HT Biotech, Cambridge, United Kingdom), PCR buffer, deoxynucleotide triphosphate mix, 50 pmol of each primer, and 10 μl of extracted DNA (approximately 100 ng of DNA) in the first PCR round and 5 μl of the amplified material in the nested PCR. Cycling was performed in a model 60 thermocycler (Biomed, Theres, Germany) for 40 cycles (94°C for 1 min, 58°C for 1 min, 72°C for 3 min). PCR products were examined by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide (0.3 μg/ml). The electrophoresis was carried out in 0.5× Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer at a constant current of 100 mA for 1 h.

Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) in the M. mycetomatis ITS regions was studied by analysis of the PCR products generated by primers 26.1A and 28.3A by cleavage with CfoI, MspI (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), and HaeIII (New England Biolabs, Boston, Mass.) restriction enzymes. The restriction enzymes were used as recommended by the manufacturers. Fifteen microliters of PCR product was incubated overnight at 37°C with the restriction enzyme mixtures, which contained enzyme’s buffer and 5 U of each enzyme per reaction. RFLP was determined by electrophoresis in 3% NuSieve GTG agarose gels (FMC Bioproducts, Rockville, Md.). The electrophoresis was performed in 0.5× TBE buffer at a constant current of 100 mA for 3 h.

FIG. 1. Detailed map of the region in Sudan where the thorns were collected and the soil was sampled. Main sampling sites were in the encircled region comprising the region southeastern to Khartoum (Wad Madani and Sinnar). Other regions where mycetoma is highly endemic are highlighted by the elliptic red-dot regions. The red bars indicate the boundaries of the Sudanese mycetoma belt.
RESULTS

Cultivation and identification of soil- and thorn-borne fungi. Overall, 43 soil samples and 35 batches of thorns were analyzed for the presence of black-pigmented fungi resembling *M. mycetomatis*. Ultimately, 52 colonies from 24 samples were subcultured in a pure form on SDA. Strains were studied microscopically and by molecular analysis. None of the strains turned out to represent *M. mycetomatis* based on either morphological criteria or ribosomal sequencing. Some of the strains were excluded prior to sequencing based on ribosomal gene RFLP analyses (result not shown). Sequence results are listed in Table 1, presenting data for 13 environmental, 6 clinical, and 5 reference isolates. *M. mycetomatis* CBS 247.48 was found to be practically identical (0- to 3-bp difference) to six strains of cases of mycetoma from Sudan. CBS 247.48 might be Gammel’s strain from Cleveland, Ohio, dating from 1927, which is the type strain of *Madurella americana*. Three further clinical strains putatively representing *M. mycetomatis* (CBS 201.38 from Indonesia, CBS 248.48 from New Mexico, and CBS 217.55 from Argentina) were found at some distance but could be aligned with confidence. *M. mycetomatis* CBS 216.29 from Italy was found to be different from both clusters. About 105 out of 190 positions of ITS1 and about 140 out of 160 positions of ITS2 of *M. mycetomatis* were clearly different but could be aligned with confidence. The type strain of *M. mycetomatis* actually has another important ecological niche, rendering subcutaneous growth in humans insignificant (5). Hence, reliance of clinicians and microbiologists (5). Hence, reliance of clinicians and microbiologists (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Position (gene/nucleotides)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’ → 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS4</td>
<td>LSU/41-60</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>CTCTCGCGTTAATGTGATGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS5</td>
<td>SSU/1745–1767</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>GGAATGAAAAGTGTGAAACAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V9D</td>
<td>SSU/1609–1627</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>TAAAGCTCGCCCTTTTGTATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS266</td>
<td>SSU/287–266</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>GCATTTCCCAACACTCGACTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS1</td>
<td>SSU/20–38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>GTAGTCATATGCTGATGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS24</td>
<td>SSU/1769–1750</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAACTTGGTACAGCTTTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oli5</td>
<td>SSU/83–102</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>GAAACTCGGAAATGCTCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oli10</td>
<td>SSU/573–591</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>TCCCGGTAATTTCCAGCTCACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oli11</td>
<td>SSU/951–935</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>TGGYRaATGCTCTTTGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oli13</td>
<td>SSU/1418–1438</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ATAAACAGGTCGTGATGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oli14</td>
<td>SSU/1418–1438</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ATAAACAGGTCGTGATGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.1A</td>
<td>ITS2/111–130</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AATGAGTGTTCGGTCTAAACCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.3A</td>
<td>ITS1/474–494</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TCCCGGTAGTGATGTGCTCCT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a** Positions correspond to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* consensus sequence.  
**b** R = purine A or G; Y = pyrimidine C or T.  
**c** Primer sequences and positions are based on the *M. mycetomatis* ITS sequence (GenBank accession no. AF162133).

DISCUSSION

The classical etiology of human eumycetoma involves a relatively limited set of agents as established pathogens typically causing mycetoma (20). This view is corroborated by the over-representation of a small number of species involved in the reported cases of eumycetoma, such as *Acremonium* spp., *Pseudallescheria boydii*, and *Madurella* spp. An environmental source has been suggested for the latter microorganism, but direct proof thereof is largely lacking. Presumably, when more ecological data become available, it could become clear that *M. mycetomatis* actually has another important ecological niche, rendering subcutaneous growth in humans insignificant from a fungal perspective (7). In addition, there are large problems with the correct identification of *M. mycetomatis*. It shows poor morphological differentiation, is sterile, and easily causes confusion among clinicians and microbiologists (5).
able identification of *M. mycetomatis* is still problematic. In order to solve this problem, Ahmed et al. (2) undertook a molecular study into *Madurella*-like agents of mycetoma in Sudan. These authors found morphological dissimilarity among strains originating from cases of mycetoma but noted that all clinical isolates proved to be identical. A single ribosomal spacer genotype was predominant, and this species was identified as *M. mycetomatis*. These findings now enable the targeted search, by molecular techniques, for the environmental source of this important cause of eumycetoma.

Although it is unlikely that *M. mycetomatis* in nature is present in the same form it is in patients, it is suggested that the isolation of this species directly from the environment is very difficult using the current protocols. Despite failed attempts to culture the organism, we now present data showing that it is possible to detect *M. mycetomatis* in the environment of areas in Sudan where it is endemic by molecular methods. In addition, thorny *A. mallifera* bushes, which are very common in the region sampled and are responsible for a high number of thorn pricks, were shown to occasionally harbor the same species of fungus. This supports the observation of Abbott (1) that the frequency of mycetoma is associated, albeit weakly, with the presence of thorny trees. The low recovery rate suggests, however, that *M. mycetomatis* is not an ordinary saprobe on the thorns.

Human subcutaneous inoculation apparently is an efficient selective means for recovery of the fungus. A comparable situation is known in black yeast-like fungi, where *Cladoiphialophora bantiana* (11) and *Fonsecaea pedrosoi* (De Hoog et al., unpublished data) are homologous examples. These fungi are thus far known only from mammals and can be obtained from the environment after mouse passage only. Interestingly, *F. pedrosoi* is one of the agents of chromoblastomycosis, which is also a mycosis associated with (minor) trauma.

Other fungal species (anamorph genera *Bipolaris*, *Drechslera*, and *Exserohilum*) were frequently isolated from soil in Sudan. Among these was *E. mcginnisii*, which thus far is only known from a single strain from a human patient and hence has been regarded as a true pathogen. However, its occurrence in the environment suggests that this is also one of the saprobes which only occasionally is able to infect a human host.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the presence of *M. mycetomatis* DNA on or in *Acacia* thorns and in soil samples. The fungal prevalence in the soil especially suggests that this may be the prime reservoir from which infections originate. This is further corroborated by the fact that clinical isolates and environmental strains seem to share the same ribosomal genotype, confirming that at least the same fungal species is concerned. The challenge for current research is to elucidate the processes that underlie the culture-refractory nature of environmental *M. mycetomatis* isolates. Whether or not the fungus readily adapts to humans provides another interesting and important research topic.
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