Genetic and Antigenic Characterization of Rotavirus Serotype G9 Strains Isolated in Australia between 1997 and 2001
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Rotavirus serotype G9 is recognized as the most widespread of the emerging serotypes, emerging since 1996 as a frequent cause of severe acute gastroenteritis in children from many countries covering all continents of the world. This study characterized serotype G9 strains collected in three widely separated Australian centers from 1997 to 2001. All G9 strains possessed the VP4 P[8] and VP6 subgroup II genes. The overall prevalence of the G9 strains increased in Australia, from 0.6% of the strains found in 1997 to 29% of the strains found in 2001. The prevalence of G9 relative to other serotypes varied from year to year and with geographic location. In Melbourne (representing east coast urban centers), G9 made up 11 to 26% of all of the strains found from 1999 to 2001. In Perth (representing west coast urban centers), G9 made up less than 2% of the strains found in 1997 to 2000 but increased to 18.6% of the strains found in 2001. In Alice Springs (representing widely dispersed settlements in northern arid regions), G9 made up 0 to 5% of the strains found from 1997 to 2000 and was the dominant strain in 2001, making up 68.9% of all of the strains found. Three distinct antigenic groups based on reaction with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (N-MAbs) were identified, including a dominant group (63%) that cross-reacted with the serotype G4 N-MAb. Phylogenetic analysis of the VP7-encoding gene from Australian strains, compared with a worldwide collection of G9 strains, showed that the Australian G9 strains made up a genetic group distinct from other serotype G9 strains identified in the United States and Africa. Future epidemiological studies of the occurrence of G9 strains should combine reverse transcription-PCR and typing with G1 to G4 and G9 N-MAbs to determine the extent of G9 and G4 cross-reactions among rotavirus strains, in order to assess the need to incorporate G9 strains into new candidate vaccines.

Rotaviruses are the major cause of severe gastroenteritis in young children worldwide. Vaccines are being developed to reduce the huge impact of the disease caused by rotavirus infection. The first vaccines were developed to provide specific protection against the four predominant serotypes of rotavirus, G1 to G4 (29), as these have been the most common serotypes causing severe disease in children globally since 1973 (40). Recent epidemiological studies in Bangladesh (49), Brazil (23, 32, 44), India (1), the United States (24, 42), and Malawi (13) show that other G types (G5, G6, G8, G9, and G10) can be identified as causes of severe disease and are of emerging importance in some communities. Serotype G9 is recognized as the most widespread of the “emerging” serotypes and has been identified since 1996 as a frequent cause of severe disease in hospitalized children in the United States, Japan, India, Bangladesh, France, Italy, Malawi, Nigeria, Australia, China, Thailand, and the United Kingdom (3, 7, 8, 12, 19, 26, 34, 37, 39, 41, 42, 47, 49, 50).
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The rotavirus genome is composed of 11 segments of double-stranded RNA located inside the core of a triple-layered structure. The outer capsid proteins VP4 and VP7 elicit neutralizing antibody immune responses, creating both serotype-specific and cross-reactive immunity (18). Antigenic differences in VP4 and VP7 are the basis of the G (VP7 glycoprotein) and P (protease-activated VP4 protein) serotypes. To date, 9 P and 10 G serotypes have been identified in humans by cross-neutralization tests (18, 46, 48). Unlike rotavirus G typing, there are two designations of rotavirus type P because of incomplete agreement between the P serotype (based on enzyme immunoassay [EIA] reactivities) and the P genotype (based on sequence similarity). The P genotypes are in brackets, whereas the P serotypes are open numbers. Epidemiological studies have shown that serotypes G1, G2, G3, and G4, associated with P1A (8) or P1B (4), have been the most common serotypes causing severe disease in children globally over the last 20 years (35, 40). Genetic and antigenic variation has been recorded within the G1, G2, G3, and G4 serotypes (38). There is evidence that G9 strains are more susceptible to reassortment, and hence to genetic change, than are these other serotypes (27, 49). The increasing prevalence of G9 strains worldwide makes it important to continue molecular epidemiological studies of their occurrence and genetic and antigenic variability.

The emergence and persistence of serotype G9 has had a major impact on health care services in Australia (33, 34). This
report describes the appearance, spread, and prevalence of G9 strains in widely separated areas of Australia (>2,000 km apart) during the 5 years after 1997, when they were first detected. It characterizes serotype G9 strains collected in Australia as part of the National Rotavirus Strain Surveillance Program and describes the distinct antigenic and genetic patterns found in these strains. The results underline the importance of continued detailed epidemiological and virological studies to identify the rotavirus serotypes that cause severe gastroenteritis, including characterization of less common and/or unusual strains. Knowledge of rotavirus strains in circulation in Australia and other countries will aid in assessing the suitability of candidate vaccines to protect against all currently circulating rotavirus serotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Stool samples. A total of 2,843 group A rotavirus-positive diarrhea specimens were collected from children (<5 years of age) with severe acute diarrhea who were admitted to hospitals at three widely separated locations in Australia: representing eastern (Melbourne), central (Alice Springs), and western (Perth) urban areas from January 1997 to December 2001. Fecal samples were collected within 48 h of hospital admission, and rotavirus detection was undertaken by enzyme immunoassay or latex agglutination. Rotavirus-positive specimens were stored at 4°C in the laboratory of origin and later sent to the Rotavirus Reference Laboratory in Melbourne.

During 1999, additional rotavirus-positive fecal specimens (n = 332) were collected from children admitted to hospitals in other east coast Australian cities (Sydney, Adelaide, and Brisbane) and transported to the Melbourne laboratory. Selected serotype G9 strains identified in these samples were also used for sequence analysis.

Serotyping EIA. All rotavirus-positive samples were tested in Melbourne for G serotype and VP6 subgroup antigens with a previously described monoclonal antibody (MAb)-based EIA (10). The specific MAbs used for serotype determination were RV4:2 (G1 specific), RV5:3 (G2 specific), RV3:1 (G3 specific), ST3:1 (G4 specific), and F45:1 (G9 specific). Additional serotype G9 MAbs F45:8 and W61:1 (30) were also used to screen all of the putative serotype G9 strains and nontypeable strains.

RNA electropherotyping. The electropherotypes of rotavirus-positive samples were determined by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis as described by Dyall-Smith and Holmes (17).

VP7 and VP4 genotyping. Specimens that could not be assigned a serotype, or that reacted with more than one MAb by EIA, and were collected from 2000 onward were analyzed by a heminested reverse transcription (RT)-PCR assay to determine the VP7 genotype (22). All specimens identified as non-serotype G1, together with 20% of the G1 samples (selected as representative of different electropherotypes present from 1997 to 2001), were assayed to determine the VP4 genotype. The VP4 genotype was determined by multiplex seminested RT-PCR (21). Classifications of P and G types were designated in accordance with the recommendations of the Rotavirus Nomenclature Working Group (25).

Sequence analysis. PCR products of the gene encoding the entire VP7 protein of G9 strains were amplified by RT-PCR with the Beg9 and End9 primers (22). The PCR products were gel purified with a Qiagen kit (Qiagen Inc.). The nucleotide sequence of the entire open reading frame of the gene encoding VP7 was determined by the dideoxynucleotide chain terminator method with the BigDye sequencing kit and specific oligonucleotide primers in an automated sequencer.

Sequences were analyzed with the Sequencher program (Gene Codes Corp., Inc., Ann Arbor, Mich.) and subsequently compared with other sequences with E-CLUSTAL W and analyzed by using the DNADist and Neighbor programs from the PHYLIP software accessed through BioManager, Australian National Genomic Information Service (University of Sydney). The statistical significance of the constructed phylogenies were analyzed by bootstrap analysis with 100 replicates (20). The phylogenetic tree was displayed with the Treeview program.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The Australian VP7-encoding gene sequences described in this study have been deposited in the GenBank data bank and assigned accession numbers AY307085 to AY307094, inclusive.

TABLE 1. Overall serotyping results from three Australian centers, Alice Springs, Perth, and Melbourne, from 1997 to 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yr</th>
<th>No. of isolates</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G3</th>
<th>G4</th>
<th>G9</th>
<th>NT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Serotyping results for June 1999 to 2001 were previously described as part of the National Rotavirus Surveillance Program (33, 34).

RESULTS

Data on the occurrence of the G1, G2, G3, G4, and G9 serotypes from the Australia-wide rotavirus surveillance from January 1997 to December 2001 are presented in Table 1. Results obtained by surveillance prior to 1997 are not included since analysis of all of the strains found, including nontypeable strains, by RT-PCR showed no evidence of G9 occurrence prior to 1997 (39). Table 1 shows that serotype G1 was the dominant type each year, representing 50.7 to 70.8% of the samples. Serotype G2 was identified each year, with a peak in prevalence during 1999, when it was the second most common serotype (12.8%). Serotypes G3 and G4 were present each year but represented less than 2.5% of the strains found. Serotype G9 was first identified in Australia in 1997 (39) and emerged as the second most common type in 2000, with a peak in prevalence during 2001, when it represented 29% of the strains found.

Figure 1 illustrates the prevalence of all of the rotavirus serotypes at the three locations studied. G9 strains were first identified in Melbourne and Perth in 1997 and were the second most prevalent serotype in Melbourne in 1999, 2000, and 2001, representing 19% (n = 30), 29% (n = 35), and 15% (n = 19) of the strains found, respectively. Serotype G4, while present in Perth during 1999 to 2001, represented less than 3% (n <6) of all of the strains found in 1999 and 2000 but 22% (n = 57) of the strains found in 2001. Serotype G9 strains were identified in Alice Springs in only 2 of the 5 years (1999 and 2001), but this was the dominant serotype, representing 73.5% (n = 111) of all of the strains serotyped in 2001.

All of the G9 strains identified from all of the sites studied (1997 to 2001) belonged to subgroup II, showed “long” RNA patterns, were VP4 genotype P (8), and reacted with serotype G9-reactive MAbs F45:1 and W161:1.

Antigenic analysis of the G9 strains identified during 1999 to 2001 showed three distinct antigenic patterns (G9A, G9B, and G9C) on the basis of their EIA reactivities with the additional G9 MAbs (F45:8) and G4 serotyping MAb (ST3:1) (Table 2). The G9A group reacted with both serotype G9 MAb F45:8 and G4 MAB ST3:1 and represented 56 to 76% of the strains found. The EIA reactivities of the strains with the ST3:1 MAb ranged from weakly positive (>0.2 above the background) to strongly positive (>1.0 above the background). The G9B group reacted with MAb F45:8 but not with MAb ST3:1 and represented between 2 and 7% of G9 strains identified. The third
group, G9C, represented 22 to 37% of the strains found and did not react with either the F45:8 or the ST3:1 MAb. The three antigenic groups were distributed with similar frequencies at each of the geographic sites.

Sequence analysis. (i) Comparison of the VP7-encoding genes of antigenic variants G9A, G9B, and G9C. Comparison of the complete nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the VP7-encoding genes of G9 strains isolated in Melbourne during 2000 and 2001, representing G9A (four strains), G9B (four strains), and G9C (four strains), revealed greater than 99% nucleotide and amino acid sequence homology among the three antigenic types. In common with other G9 strains sequenced, the gene contained 1,061 nucleotides.

Antigenic regions of VP7 (region A, amino acids [aa] 87 to 101; region B, aa 143 to 152; region C, aa 201 to 221; region D, aa 291; region E, aa 189 to 190; region F, aa 235 to 242) previously identified as neutralization epitopes by sequence analysis of escape variants resistant to neutralization by MAbs were compared among G9A, G9B, and G9C strains. No conserved amino acid substitutions in these major antigenic regions of the VP7 protein of Melbourne G9A, G9B, and G9C strains were identified. A single conserved amino acid difference was identified in strains from group G9B, where a replacement of proline with serine was found outside the major antigenic regions at amino acid position 46 compared with G9A and G9C strains.

The VP7-encoding genes from two additional G9C strains isolated in Sydney and Adelaide in 1999 were also sequenced. A single amino acid difference was identified when these strains were compared with all of the Melbourne G9 strains, involving a change from aspartic acid to asparagine at position 100 in the antigenic A region.

(ii) Phylogenetic relationship between G9 isolates from Australia and other countries. The nucleotide sequences of the VP7-encoding genes from six G9 strains representing Melbourne groups G9A, G9B, and G9C, together with additional single strains from Alice Springs, Perth, Sydney, and Brisbane, were determined and compared with the corresponding sequences available in the GenBank database of representative G9 strains from other worldwide locations. It is evident from the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2) that the recently identified serotype G9 strains appear to be distinct from the G9 strains identified in the 1980s (e.g., WI61 and AU32). There is less than 4% nucleotide sequence divergence among the G9 strains that have recently emerged worldwide; however, distinct groups are evident. For example, the Australian G9 strains exhibit greater than 99% nucleotide homology with each other. Compared with Australian prototype G9 strain MG9, the other major groups exhibited slightly lower homologies (US1071, 98.8%; MW47, 98.8%; BD426, 97.6%; India, G16 97.1%).

DISCUSSION

This study highlights the emergence of serotype G9 as an epidemiologically important rotavirus strain in Australia since 1997. Retrospective studies of previously nontypeable strains showed no evidence of the presence of G9 in Australia before it was identified in 1997 in three children with severe rotavirus-induced gastroenteritis (39). Since then, G9 strains have been identified across the continent and G9 has become the second most prevalent G type identified overall in Australia during 2000 and 2001. This timing reflects that documented worldwide, where G9 strains reemerged in 1995 and 1996 after their initial identification as a cause of acute gastroenteritis in Japan.
Striking yearly differences in prevalence were identified in more than 17 countries (2 to 21%), with incidence rates generally ranging from less than 1 to 8%. Exceptions include countries such as Bangladesh and Nigeria, which had prevalence rates of greater than 34% (47, 49). The occurrence of mixed infections could explain the suggestion that serotype G9 strains undergo reassortment much more easily and rapidly than other G serotypes, as evidenced by the rapid alteration in the VP4 and VP6 gene combinations in Bangladesh (49).

The G9 strains present in Australia from 1999 to 2001 comprised three distinct antigenic groups or monotypes, designated G9A, G9B, and G9C, reflecting three patterns of reaction with neutralizing MAbs (N-MAbs) directed to G4 and G9 VP7. The predominant monotype, G9A, representing the majority of G9 strains (63%), cross-reacted with serotype G4-specific MAb ST3:1, in addition to G9 N-MAbs WI61:1 and F45:8. Previously, Unicomb and coworkers (49) reported that 14 of 19 G9P[6] and 6 of 8 G9P[8] Bangladeshi strains with a short electropherotype exhibited similar cross-reactivity with serotype G4 MAbs. A single strain from India has also been shown to have similar cross-reactivity (11). The reactivity with ST3:1 cannot be explained by changes in the sequences of the MAb binding sites on VP7. It was previously suggested that the binding of ST3:1 may be a result of close homology between G4 and G9 strains in the antigenic A region (11). However, this explanation seems unlikely, at least for these Australian strains, since all three of the antigenic groups identified in this study contained identical A, B, C, and F regions, even though groups G9B and G9C did not react with the ST3:1 MAb. An alternative explanation is that these differing reactivities are related to unidentified changes in the VP4 structure that have occurred elsewhere at higher frequencies (e.g., 23% in Bangladesh) (28, 49). The occurrence of mixed infections could explain the suggestion that serotype G9 strains undergo reassortment much more easily and rapidly than other G serotypes, as evidenced by the rapid alteration in the VP4 and VP6 gene combinations in Bangladesh (49).

The G9 strains present in Australia from 1999 to 2001 consisted of 12 distinct antigenic groups or monotypes, designated G9A, G9B, and G9C, reflecting three patterns of reaction with neutralizing MAbs (N-MAbs) directed to G4 and G9 VP7. The predominant monotype, G9A, representing the majority of G9 strains (63%), cross-reacted with serotype G4-specific MAb ST3:1, in addition to G9 N-MAbs WI61:1 and F45:8. Previously, Unicomb and coworkers (49) reported that 14 of 19 G9P[6] and 6 of 8 G9P[8] Bangladeshi strains with a short electropherotype exhibited similar cross-reactivity with serotype G4 MAbs. A single strain from India has also been shown to have similar cross-reactivity (11). The reactivity with ST3:1 cannot be explained by changes in the sequences of the MAb binding sites on VP7. It was previously suggested that the binding of ST3:1 may be a result of close homology between G4 and G9 strains in the antigenic A region (11). However, this explanation seems unlikely, at least for these Australian strains, since all three of the antigenic groups identified in this study contained identical A, B, C, and F regions, even though groups G9B and G9C did not react with the ST3:1 MAb. An alternative explanation is that these differing reactivities are related to unidentified changes in the VP4 structure that have occurred elsewhere at higher frequencies (e.g., 23% in Bangladesh) (28, 49). The occurrence of mixed infections could explain the suggestion that serotype G9 strains undergo reassortment much more easily and rapidly than other G serotypes, as evidenced by the rapid alteration in the VP4 and VP6 gene combinations in Bangladesh (49).
the VP7 protein. The monotype G9B differed genetically from G9A and G9C, where a single amino acid substitution was identified at position 42, but this position is unlikely to influence MAb binding. Analysis of the antigenic regions identified on the VP7-encoding gene previously implicated in neutralization by the use of antigenic variants (30) did not identify any genetic basis for the different MAb reactivities of G9A, G9B, and G9C. By using antigenic variants resistant to neutralization by MAb F45:8, we have previously shown that no clear motif is evident for the binding and neutralization of this MAb (30). Variants selected a substitution at position 97. However, mutations in the A, C, and F regions failed to influence MAb binding (30). The present study of Australian G9 strains showed no difference in any antigenic region that may have influenced MAb binding.

The inability of serotype G9-specific MAb F45:8 to bind all of the serotype G9 strains and the observed cross-reactivity with serotype G4-specific MAB ST3:1 have implications for the reliability and accuracy of all surveys to determine the epidemiological importance of serotype G9. For example, reliance on F45:8 alone in a serotyping EIA would have failed to identify as many as one-third of the G9 strains. Failure to use this G9-specific MAB (as occurs in many studies) would also have resulted in more two-thirds of the G9 strains being falsely identified as serotype G4, while one-third remained untypeable. Conversely, exclusive reliance on RT-PCR to determine the VP7 genotype would have failed to identify the cross-reaction with G4 serotypes that could have immunological importance. Sole reliance on PCR to determine the G genotype of G9 strains has already been shown to have potential problems. A recent publication reported that Brazilian G9 strains could be incorrectly assigned to genotype G3 or G4 or mixed genotype G3-G9 or G4-G9, depending on the primer pool used for typing (45). This is due to the extent of genetic variation identified in the VP7-encoding gene of the G9 strains. Therefore, all typing methods require continued validation and improvements to correctly identify rotavirus serotypes. We are confident that rotavirus G9 strains were not present in our Australian strain collection prior to 1997 since all of the G3 and G4 strains have been screened with a combination of EIA, RT-PCR, and electrophoretotyping assays.

Identification of the Australian serotype G9 strains together with other G9 strains from diverse geographic locations, such as the United States, India, Nigeria, China, and Malawi, highlights the global presence of serotype G9, its persistence in locations monitored for several years, and its capacity to undergo antigenic change. Phylogenetic analysis illustrates that the recently identified G9 VP7 protein is divided into several groups, each of which has a limited geographic relationship. Whether these differences are due to differing geographic pressures on the same G9 strain remains to be elucidated. These results, however, suggest that the reemergence of G9 after the initial description from Japan and the United States in 1985 and 1986 was not directly related to evolution of the earlier strains (37).

Since 1997, the increase in reports of G9 from developing and developing countries shows the need for continued surveillance to identify the persistence of G9 strains in the community. Further studies to clarify the basis for the reactivity of certain G9 strains with G4 MAbs are required. In particular, stimulation of cross-neutralizing antibodies to VP7 of both G9 and G4 may be critical to a decision of whether it is necessary to add a G9 reassortant to current candidate vaccines. Monitoring of changes in strain prevalence will provide better understanding of virus evolution and the shifting trends of strain patterns over time. This information is vital for future vaccine strategies, which are predicated on the development of serotype-specific immunity to the globally common G serotypes.
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