Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) is defined as TB caused by bacteria resistant to at least rifampin and isoniazid. In two worldwide surveys conducted by the World Health Organization and the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease covering the years 1994 to 1999, it has been documented that MDR-TB is a rapidly increasing health problem (5, 10). Evaluations of several outbreaks have shown that late recognition of drug resistance contributed considerably to the morbidity and spread of MDR-TB, particularly among immunocompromised patients (3, 4).

Currently, resistance is detected with in vitro drug susceptibility testing methods, which require pure growth of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC), thus delaying results up to 4 to 6 weeks.

Rifampin resistance is caused by mutations in the rpoB gene encoding the beta subunit of the RNA polymerase. These mutations diminish rifampin-binding affinity for the polymerase (9, 12, 16). As rifampin monoresistance is rare, detection of rifampin resistance rapidly. Results were obtained in 78.3% of clinical specimens, and all were concordant with those obtained by BACTEC 460. The assay could have major impact on the management of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis.

The INNO-LiPA Rif.TB assay is designed for the detection of rpoB gene mutations causing rifampin resistance in isolates. We applied the method directly to 60 Lithuanian and Danish clinical specimens to detect rifampin resistance rapidly. Results were obtained in 78.3% of clinical specimens, and all were concordant with those obtained by BACTEC 460. The assay could have major impact on the management of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis.

The study was carried out at the International Reference Laboratory of Mycobacteriology at Statens Serum Institut, Denmark. Thirty-eight Lithuanian and 22 Danish pretreated clinical respiratory specimens, all collected during 2000, were included. Eighteen Danish MDR-MTC isolates collected from 1991 to 2000, 20 Lithuanian isolates from 1999, and 21 Danish rifampin-susceptible isolates were also included.

All specimens were processed by conventional mycobacterial procedures as described in detail elsewhere (7). Drug susceptibility testing for rifampin was carried out on BACTEC 460 (BACTEC 12B medium) at 2.0 μg/ml according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Aliquots (500 μl) of pretreated specimens were stored at −20°C until tested by the LiPA. Danish isolates were stored at −80°C, and Lithuanian isolates on Löwenstein-Jensen medium were recultured in BACTEC Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube 960 (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, Md.) before inclusion.

rpoB sequencing. One thousand microliters of positive culture medium was centrifuged (at 12,000 rpm for 15 min in an Eppendorf [Hamburg, Germany] centrifuge 5415D), and the pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of glass beads and 100 μl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]). After a brief vortex and centrifugation (12,000 rpm, 10 min), 5 μl of lysate was transferred to the PCR amplification mixture (2 mM MgCl2, 100 μM each dNTP, 0.2 μM primer [Rp1 (5'-GGGA GCGGATGAACCCCA-3') and Rp2 (5'-GCGGTACGCG TTTCGATGAAC-3')], 1 U AmpliTaq GOLD [Perkin Elmer] in PCR buffer II [Perkin Elmer]). The cycling protocol was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 12 min; 45 PCR cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 63°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min and 40 s; and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Sequence analysis was carried out after purification through QIAquick columns (Qiagen). M. tuberculosis rpoB-specific primers used for sequencing were Rs1 (5'-TGCGCCGGAATACGGAGT-3') and Rs2 (5'-TGCAACGTCGGACCTCC-3'). The sequence re-
The sequence reaction was performed for 25 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 50°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 4 min. The products were precipitated and sequenced with an ABI373A automated sequencer.

**INNO-LiPA Rif. TB assay.** Test kits were used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions for isolates and for clinical specimens, as described below.

Five hundred microliters of decontaminated sample was centrifuged (13,000 rpm, 15 min), and the pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of TE buffer. After a brief vortex and centrifugation (13,000 rpm, 15 min), the pellet was resuspended in 50 μl of TE buffer. The suspension was heated (95°C, 30 min) followed by freezing (−20°C, 30 min). Five microliters of lysate was transferred to the PCR amplification mixture (10 μl of amplification buffer, 1 μl of outer primers, 10 μl of MgCl₂ solution, 1 U Taq polymerase). Each run contained a negative control. After initial denaturation (95°C, 1 min) and 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 62°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, a final extension (72°C, 5 min) was applied. Successful amplification of isolates and specimens was verified by a band of 260 bp on agarose gel electrophoresis. If amplification of specimens was unsuccessful, 1 μl of the first PCR was used for a second amplification by applying the primer mix and the cycling protocol used for isolates.

The fully automated hybridization was carried out at 62°C as described in detail elsewhere (7). Successful amplification was achieved for 25 of 38 Lithuanian specimens and for all 22 Danish specimens (Table 1). Fourteen of 25 Lithuanian resistant specimens had a Ser531Leu mutation, 6 specimens had a Asp516Val mutation, and 2 specimens had a His526Tyr mutation. Hybridization to the S4 probe failed in one specimen, and one specimen showed no hybridization to probe S5, revealing rare mutations in the corresponding codons. The last specimen had Asp516Val and Ser531Leu mutations and hybridization to all S probes and thus probably contained more than one strain. The Danish resistant specimen had a Ser531Leu mutation.

LiPA was inconclusive in 13 Lithuanian MDR-MTC specimens due to lack of PCR amplification. LiPA results were obtained on specimens in 1 to 2 days.

**TABLE 1. LiPA assay results obtained in clinical specimens compared to results by microscopy and the BACTEC 460 system.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LiPA assay result</th>
<th>Rifampin-susceptible Smear positive</th>
<th>Rifampin-resistant Smear positive</th>
<th>Rifampin-susceptible Smear negative</th>
<th>Rifampin-resistant Smear negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin-susceptible</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin-resistant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconclusivea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 60)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Inconclusive due to lack of PCR amplification. The influence of inhibition could not be monitored directly due to lack of internal amplification control.

**TABLE 2. Comparison of results obtained by LiPA and DNA sequencing on 36 MDR-MTC isolates.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LiPA patterna</th>
<th>Mutation(s) identified by DNA sequencing</th>
<th>No. of isolatesb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R5 (Ser531Leu)</td>
<td>TCG→TTG (Ser531Leu)</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2 (Asp516Val)</td>
<td>GAC→GTC (Asp516Val)</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4a (His526Tyr)</td>
<td>CAC→TAC (His526Tyr)</td>
<td>2/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4b (His526Asp)</td>
<td>CAC→GAC (His526Asp)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔS1</td>
<td>CAA→CCA (Gln513Pro)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔS2</td>
<td>ATG→GAC (Met515Leu)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔS4</td>
<td>CAC→CCC (His526Pro), CAC→CTC (His526Leu)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔS5</td>
<td>Not interpretable</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>No mutation</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The LiPA assay provided the exact type of mutation in 85% of both Danish and Lithuanian isolates.
b Values are numbers of isolates from Denmark/numbers of isolates from Lithuania.

actions were performed in 20 μl using 8 μl of ABI PRISM dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction mixture (Perkin Elmer), 0.25 μM each primer, and 1 to 5 μl of PCR product. The sequence reaction was performed for 25 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 50°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 4 min. The products were precipitated and sequenced with an ABI373A automated sequencer.
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All 39 Danish isolates and in 19 of 20 (17 resistant and 3 susceptible) Lithuanian isolates. The isolate with discordant result was found to be wild type by both LiPA and sequencing. The isolate remained rifampin- and rifabutin-resistant upon retesting by BACTEC 460.

LiPA results were compared to DNA sequencing that was performed on all 36 MDR-MTC isolates (Table 2).

Time-consuming drug susceptibility testing postpones effective treatment of patients infected with MDR-TB, as treatment is normally initiated with standard short-course chemotherapy. Additionally, transmission of MDR-MTC in the community may persist, as patients with pulmonary smear-positive TB are isolated only during the first weeks of treatment unless resistance or noncompliance is suspected. For MDR-TB patients, this procedure is not sufficient. Turett and coworkers reported that rapid initiation of appropriate therapy significantly affected survival among HIV-positive patients (14). Fast, reliable determination of susceptibility is crucial to overcome these problems.

The LiPA assay has shown good performance when used on isolates (1, 6, 11, 13), but bacterial growth must be awaited for 2 to 6 weeks. We demonstrated the ability of the LiPA assay to provide rapid and reliable detection of rifampin resistance in 78.3% of clinical specimens, thereby establishing the MDR-TB diagnosis within a few days of sample collection. This offers improvement in the management of MDR-TB, as these vulnerable patients can commence treatment with second-line drugs while still in isolation. Due to the cost, it might seem unreasonable to restrict the procedure to smear-positive patients originating from high-incidence areas and immunocompromised and previously treated patients.

The sensitivity of the assay may be improved if used on fresh samples only. Deep freezing could damage the mycobacteria, causing release of DNA that might be washed away. It would be of great interest to test the performance in specimens before and after freezing in a subsequent study.

Previous studies have shown regional variation in the rpoB gene mutations responsible for the rifampin resistance (1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16). In Denmark, the most frequent mutation was
Ser531Leu mutation, followed by His526Tyr, in line with findings worldwide (6, 9, 12, 16). Lithuania is the Baltic state where MDR-TB is most prevalent (17). This study is the first dealing with the distribution of mutations in the rpoB gene in Lithuania. The Ser531Leu mutation was the most frequent, followed by the Asp516Val mutation. This is in contrast to previous reports on the distribution worldwide. However, the Asp516Val mutation recently has been shown to be the most frequent in Hungary (2).

Mutations in codon 526 and 531 correlate with high-level resistance and have shown cross-resistance between rifabutin and rifampin, whereas mutations in codon 516 yield low-level resistance and are correlated with rifabutin susceptibility (15, 18). All five isolates carrying the 516 mutations in our study were rifabutin susceptible.

In conclusion, the LIPA assay is easy to perform and allows rapid detection of rifampin resistance. If MDR-TB is suspected, the assay can be used for rapid screening to initiate treatment that targets MDR-TB, thereby limiting transmission of the disease in the community. Furthermore, the assay provides epidemiological information that may have direct clinical implications.
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