Direct Detection and Identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium bovis* in Bovine Samples by a Novel Nested PCR Assay: Correlation with Conventional Techniques
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*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* infect animals and humans. Their epidemiologies in developed and developing countries differ, owing to differences in the implementation of preventive measures (World Health Organization, 1999). Identification and differentiation of these closely related mycobacterial species would help to determine the source, reservoirs of infection, and disease burden due to diverse mycobacterial pathogens. The utility of the *hupB* gene (Rv2986c in *M. tuberculosis*, or *Mb3010c* in *M. bovis*) to differentiate *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* was evaluated by a PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) assay with 56 characterized bovine isolates (S. Prabhakar et al., J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:2724–2732, 2004). The degree of concordance between the PCR-RFLP assay and the microbiological characterization was 99.0% (P < 0.001). A nested PCR (N-PCR) assay was developed, replacing the PCR-RFLP assay for direct detection of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* in bovine samples. The N-PCR products of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* corresponded to 116 and 89 bp, respectively. The detection limit of mycobacterial DNA by N-PCR was 50 fg, equivalent to five tubercle bacilli. *M. tuberculosis* and/or *M. bovis* was detected in 55.5% (105/189) of the samples by N-PCR, compared to 9.4% (18/189) by culture. The sensitivities of N-PCR and culture were 97.3% and 29.7%, respectively, and their specificities were 22.2% and 77.7%, respectively. The percentages of animals or samples identified as infected with *M. tuberculosis* or *M. bovis* by N-PCR and culture reflected the clinical categorizations of the cattle (P of <0.05 to <0.01). Mixed infection by N-PCR was detected in 22 animals, whereas by culture mixed infection was detected in 1 animal.

*Mycobacterium bovis*, the causative agent of bovine tuberculosis, has no known geographical boundaries. *M. bovis* infection occurs in diverse groups of animals, which include farm animals of economic importance, wildlife, and humans (1, 15, 17, 31). Despite these ominous features of *M. bovis*, to date there have been only projected global estimates of the disease burden. There has been no international effort to determine the actual disease burden, owing to the nonavailability of a reliable user-friendly technology for early detection of *M. bovis* in clinical samples. Bovine tuberculosis has been on the increase in developed countries and continues to occur in developing countries (6, 14, 16). In Africa, approximately 85% of cattle and 82% of the human population live in areas where the disease is prevalent (6, 26). There are limited reports from India (24, 27, 38, 40) and from underdeveloped countries (6, 16) relating to the prevalence of and infection with *M. bovis* in cattle. Detection of *M. bovis* in bovine samples has become necessary, as infected animals are potentially capable of infecting humans (zoonotic tuberculosis) (6). Besides *M. bovis*, transmission of *M. tuberculosis* from infected humans to animals and back has been reported (reverse zoonosis) (10, 12, 41). Hence, *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis* pose a potential health hazard to both animals and humans (1, 15, 43).

The identification of the closely related members of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTC) has remained a challenging task in diagnostic laboratories (7, 30, 44). MTC includes a variety of closely related mycobacteria, namely, *M. tuberculosis*, *M. bovis*, *M. canetti*, *M. africanum*, and *M. microti*. A panel of classical tests based on microbiological features such as growth rate and phenotypic and biochemical characteristics has conventionally been utilized to distinguish members of MTC (30). However, these tests are slow, cumbersome, unreliable, and time-consuming. The high degree of variability among these tests warrants the development of molecular biological tools for identification of MTC members. In this regard, multiple gene targets have been used to date to detect and differentiate genetically identical species, such as *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis*. The gene targets include *pncA* (2, 29, 36), *gyrB* (5), *oxyR* (42), and *katG* (19). Huard et al. (21) and Richter et al. (33) have targeted multiple loci and genes to differentiate *M. tuberculosis* from *M. bovis*. However, to date no single accepted protocol(s) that can unambiguously differentiate all members of the MTC is available. Identification of the etiological agent belonging to MTC is important for determination of the origin and reservoirs of infection and also for implementation of appropriate public health measures.

Our laboratory earlier described a PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) method utilizing the *hupB* gene,
encoding a histone-like protein of \textit{M. tuberculosis}, as a target for detection and identification of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis} from other members of the MTC and nontuberculous mycobacterial and nonmycobacterial species (32). The study revealed a 27-bp difference in the C-terminal parts of the \textit{hupB} genes of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis}. The present study describes the reliability of the PCR-RFLP assay in the identification of mycobacterial isolates derived from cattle and the utility of the \textit{hupB} gene-based nested PCR assay (N-PCR) for direct detection of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and/or \textit{M. bovis} in bovine samples.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Study design.} Samples (\(n = 753\)) collected from 144 heads of cattle housed in military farms under the jurisdiction of the Central Military Veterinary Laboratory (CMVL), Meerut, India, were used in the study. As a first step in the study, 56 randomly selected isolates derived from 544 samples of 80 animals were examined for comparison of PCR-RFLP assay-based identification of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis}, as described by Prabhakar et al. (32), with conventionally identified and specified mycobacteria. In the second part of the study, 189 samples obtained from 64 animals were screened by N-PCR for direct detection of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis}. N-PCR results have been correlated with standard techniques of identification and speciation of mycobacteria.

\textbf{Animals.} Clinical examination of the cattle was carried out at the Central Military Veterinary Laboratory, Meerut. The clinical signs utilized were symptoms of lymphadenopathies, loss of body weight and/or production loss, intermittent pyrexia, udder infection, and dry cough. A single intradermal tuberculin test was carried out. One hundred microliters of bovine tuberculosis (2,000 U/animal), obtained from the Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, India (1 mg protein/ml), was injected into the skin of the cervical area. Seventy-two hours later, the injected site was examined, and the extent of induration was measured. With an induration of 5 mm or more, the animal was considered to be a reactor. Based on their in vivo tuberculin reactivities and clinical criteria, the cattle were divided into five groups: group A, tuberculin positive with signs of tuberculosis; group B, tuberculin positive and apparently healthy; group C, tuberculosis negative with signs of tuberculosis; group D, tuberculosis negative and apparently healthy; and group E, ailing from gastroenteritis and pyrexia of unknown origin and clinically considered to be infected with nonmycobacterial infection. The \textit{C. c.} tuberculin testing was not done with group E. Seven samples were collected from each animal, namely, fine needle aspirate (FNA) from the pres- capular lymph node (PSLN), citrated blood, milk, pharyngeal swab, urine, rectal pinch, and fecal sample. All samples were divided into two aliquots. The aliquoted samples were transported within 48 h of collection on wet ice to the laboratories of H. K. Prasad and V. M. Katooch.

\textbf{Identification of mycobacteria isolated from bovine samples.} All samples were processed for isolation and cultivation of mycobacteria from bovine samples in the laboratory of V. M. Katooch by using the standard modified Petroff method. Lowenstein-Jensen media with or without pyruvate was used for primary isolation. Species level identification of isolates was done by standard biochemical tests (niacin production, nitrate reduction, catalase, and aryl sulfatase activity, Tween hydrolysis, thiopen-2-carboxylic acid hydrazide sensitivity, etc.) as recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, Ga., with appropriate controls (45).

\textbf{Processing of samples for isolation of template DNA for PCR.} After initial screening of the seven samples by culture, PSLN, citrated blood, and milk samples were found to be suitable for isolation of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis}. These samples were processed for target DNA isolation in the laboratory of H. K. Prasad by using the method as described by Chakravorty and Tyagi (3). The specific details for each sample are as follows.

(i) The FNA of the PSLN was mixed with 1 ml of sterile water and centrifuged at 12,000 \(\times g\) for 15 min. The pellet was resuspended in inhibitory removing solution (IRS), pH 7.4, consisting of 25 M guanidinium isothiocyanate, 0.025 M EDTA, 0.05 M Tris, 0.5% sarcosyl, and 0.186 M \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol (3), and centrifuged. The pellet was washed in sterile water, suspended in 100 \(\mu\)l of lysis buffer (10% Chelex-100 suspension, 0.3% Tween 20, and 0.03% Triton X-100), heated in a dry bath at 90°C for 40 min, and centrifuged at 10,000 \(\times g\) for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded. The pellet was resuspended in RBC lysis buffer, incubated, and centrifuged as described above. The pellet was resuspended in IRS and processed as mentioned for PSLN.

(ii) Milk samples were centrifuged at 12,000 \(\times g\) for 20 min. A sterile cotton swab was used to remove the fat layer, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was resuspended in IRS and processed as mentioned for PSLN.

\textbf{RESULTS}

\textbf{Correlation of identification of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis} by PCR-RFLP assay and standard culture techniques.} The utility of the PCR-RFLP assay to differentiate and identify \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis} (32) was independently confirmed in the laboratory of V. M. Katooch (Table 1). Fifty-six isolates derived from 544 samples identified by standard criteria were used in the assay. Thirty-three isolates were identified as \textit{M. bovis}, 7 as \textit{M. tuberculosis}, and 15 as nontuberculous mycobacteria. One isolate identified as \textit{M. bovis} failed to be identified by the PCR-RFLP assay. The degree of correlation between the two techniques was determined to be 99.0% (\(\kappa = 0.96 \pm 0.11; \ P < 0.001\)).

Among the pellet samples of screens cultured by screening, PSLN, milk, and blood showed the highest isolation rates. Hence, pharyngeal swab, rectal pinch, urine, and fecal samples were not used subsequently.

\textbf{N-PCR for detection and identification of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis}.} In order to establish a routine PCR-based assay for
detection and identification of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* in clinical samples not requiring RFLP analysis, a nested PCR assay was developed. The difference in the C-terminal parts of the *hupB* genes of *M. tuberculosis* (Rv2986c) and *M. bovis* (Mb3010c) was exploited. The ethidium bromide-stained amplified products of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* generated by using F and R primers were electrophoresed on nondenaturing 10% polyacrylamide gels. The 116- and 89-bp products obtained for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis*, respectively, are indicated. Figure 1C shows the alignment of the F and R amplified PCR products of *M. tuberculosis* (tb) (116 bp) and *M. bovis* (89 bp) were aligned using ClustalW software to depict the 27-bp deletion in *M. bovis*, indicated by the arrow.

**TABLE 1.** Correlation of gold standard identification of mycobacterial isolates derived from bovine samples with PCR-RFLP assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mycobacterium</th>
<th>No. of isolates identified by:</th>
<th>PCR-RFLP assay</th>
<th>Concordance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard criteria&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. bovis</em></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontuberculous</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mycobacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>99.0&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Criteria as outlined by the CDC, Atlanta, Ga. (45).

<sup>b</sup> Analysis of amplified products of *M. tuberculosis* (645 bp) and *M. bovis* (618 bp) by N and S primers digested with HpaII as described by Prabhakar et al. (20). One *M. bovis* isolate was not amplified by the PCR assay.

<sup>c</sup> $\kappa = 0.96 \pm 0.11$; $P < 0.001$. 

FIG. 1. Nested PCR to amplify C-terminal part of *hupB* gene. (A) The positions of the primers in the *hupB* (Rv2986c in *M. tuberculosis*, or Mb3010c in *M. bovis*) sequence that were used to obtain the nested PCR products are depicted. Primer pair N and S was specific for the *hupB* gene; internal primer pair F and R was specific for the C-terminal part of the gene. The F and R primers were used to reamplify the amplified product generated by the N and S primers (N-PCR). (B) The ethidium bromide-stained amplification products of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* generated by using F and R primers were electrophoresed on nondenaturing 10% polyacrylamide gels. The 116- and 89-bp products obtained for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis*, respectively, are indicated. Lanes: 1, negative control; 2, *M. tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis* DNA from TB research material; NIAID, NIH); 3, 100-bp molecular size marker; 4, *M. bovis* (ANS strain; JALMA, Agra, India). (C) Sequences of F and R amplified PCR products of *M. tuberculosis* (tb) (116 bp) and *M. bovis* (89 bp) were aligned using ClustalW software to depict the 27-bp deletion in *M. bovis*, indicated by the arrow.
generated using F and R primers in N-PCR were electrophoresed on nondenaturing 10% polyacrylamide gels. The 645-bp product is indicated. The M. tuberculosis DNA used was obtained from TB research materials, NIAID, NIH. Lanes: 1, 100-bp molecular size marker; 2, 10 ng DNA (10⁶ bacilli); 3, 1 ng DNA (10⁵ bacilli); 4, 0.5 ng DNA (5 × 10⁴ bacilli); 5, 0.1 ng DNA (10⁴ bacilli); 6, 10 pg DNA (10³ bacilli); 7, 1 pg DNA (100 bacilli); 8, 0.5 pg DNA (50 bacilli). (B) The ethidium bromide-stained amplification products of the C terminal of the hupB gene of M. tuberculosis generated using F and R primers in N-PCR were electrophoresed on nondenaturing 10% polyacrylamide gels. N and S amplified PCR products (4 μl) were used as a template. Lanes: 1 to 8, same as described for panel A; 9, 0.25 pg DNA (25 bacilli); 10, 100 fg DNA (10 bacilli); 11, 50 fg DNA (5 bacilli); 12, 10 fg DNA (1 bacillus); 13, negative control.

FIG. 2. Sensitivity of N-PCR assay. (A) The ethidium bromide-stained amplification products of the target hupB gene of M. tuberculosis generated using primers N and S were electrophoresed on nondenaturing 10% polyacrylamide gels. The 645-bp product is indicated. The M. tuberculosis DNA used was obtained from TB research materials, NIAID, NIH. Lanes: 1, 100-bp molecular size marker; 2, 10 ng DNA (10⁶ bacilli); 3, 1 ng DNA (10⁵ bacilli); 4, 0.5 ng DNA (5 × 10⁴ bacilli); 5, 0.1 ng DNA (10⁴ bacilli); 6, 10 pg DNA (10³ bacilli); 7, 1 pg DNA (100 bacilli); 8, 0.5 pg DNA (50 bacilli). (B) The ethidium bromide-stained amplification products of the C terminal of the hupB gene of M. tuberculosis generated using F and R primers in N-PCR were electrophoresed on nondenaturing 10% polyacrylamide gels. N and S amplified PCR products (4 μl) were used as a template. Lanes: 1 to 8, same as described for panel A; 9, 0.25 pg DNA (25 bacilli); 10, 100 fg DNA (10 bacilli); 11, 50 fg DNA (5 bacilli); 12, 10 fg DNA (1 bacillus); 13, negative control.

to 5). However, in the case of N-PCR, the amplified product could be visualized in the PCR mixture initiated with DNA concentrations ranging from 10 ng to 50 fg, the latter of which is equivalent to 5 tubercle bacilli (Fig. 2, lanes 2 to 11). The specificity of the N-PCR assay was determined to be 2,000 times more than that of the N-S PCR assay. The sensitivity of the N-PCR for detection of M. bovis DNA was found to be identical to the sensitivity of the N-PCR for detection of M. tuberculosis DNA (data not shown).

N-PCR for direct detection of M. tuberculosis and/or M. bovis in bovine samples. DNA extracted from bovine samples, namely, milk, blood, and FNA of PSLN, were used in an N-PCR assay. The amplified products obtained with representative bovine samples are shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3A depicts the N-S PCR products for bovine samples. PCR product sizes of 618 and 645 bp specific for M. bovis and M. tuberculosis, respectively, are seen in lanes 5 and 6, derived from milk and blood samples, respectively (Fig. 3A) (32). Figure 3B depicts the N-PCR products for the bovine samples. The molecular sizes and extents of migration of the N-PCR products generated in the samples were compared with those of the positive controls, namely, M. tuberculosis (TB research material; NIH) (Fig. 3B, lane 2) and M. bovis (AN5; JALMA, Agra, India) (Fig. 3B, lane 3). N-PCR products were seen for all samples except that in lane 7 (Fig. 3B). PCR products of milk samples loaded in lanes 4 and 5 (Fig. 3B) were identified to be M. bovis. Since the N-PCR products derived from blood (lane 6) and lymph node aspirates (lanes 8 and 9) matched with the N-PCR product of M. tuberculosis (lane 2), they were predicted to be M. tuberculosis.

Speciation of pathogenic mycobacteria in bovine samples. Speciation of the pathogenic mycobacteria was done for 87.6% (99/113) of the samples by N-PCR (Table 2). For six samples, which were positive for the MTC, speciation could not be established by N-PCR. Neither by N-PCR nor by standard culture techniques was M. tuberculosis or M. bovis found to predominate in the samples investigated. M. bovis was detected in 40.7% of the samples and M. tuberculosis was detected in 37.1% of the samples by N-PCR (Table 2).

Mycobacteria were isolated and identified by standard culture techniques in 33 samples of the 189 examined. Ten samples (8.8%) were diagnosed as infected with M. tuberculosis and eight (7.1%) with M. bovis (Table 2). In the remaining 15 samples, nontuberculous rapid-growing mycobacteria, such as M. scrofulaceum, M. kansasi, M. flavescens, M. smegmatis, M. fortuitum, M. gastri, M. phlei, M. vaccae, and M. chelonae, were identified. Among the samples investigated, milk was found to be predominantly positive for M. tuberculosis and/or M. bovis by N-PCR (46/48 samples; P < 0.001) and blood predominantly positive by culture (7/30 samples).

Mixed infection with M. tuberculosis and M. bovis in bovine samples. A limited number of samples scrutinized by the N-PCR assay showed evidence of mixed infection by M. tuberculosis and M. bovis in individual animals. Precautions were taken, keeping in mind the possibility of laboratory-introduced contamination during processing of samples. Each sample was processed individually within a biosafety cabinet (type III), minimizing the possible introduction of contaminants from the environment by aerosols or another infected sample. Further, the PCR has always been carried out with appropriate controls, which include positive controls for M. tuberculosis and M. bovis and a negative control (Fig. 3C). The routine inclusion of a negative control in the assay ruled out the occurrence of false-
positive PCR caused by contamination. Further, the organizational setup for the PCR assay was such that the sites for processing of samples for DNA extraction, setting up of the PCR, addition of extracted DNA, and screening of gels and capturing of the images were in physically separated rooms. To further rule out the possibility of false-negative results in the study due to the presence of PCR inhibitors in DNA extracts of samples, spiked controls were used. The generation of the expected PCR product in the spiked controls showed the absence of sample-derived PCR inhibitors (data not shown).

### TABLE 2. Detection of pathogenic mycobacteria in cattle-derived samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type (total no. of samples positive by N-PCR and culture)</th>
<th>No. (%) of bovine samples positive for pathogenic mycobacteria by&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;:</th>
<th>N-PCR</th>
<th>Culture&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M. &lt;i&gt;tuberculosis&lt;/i&gt;</td>
<td>M. &lt;i&gt;bovis&lt;/i&gt;</td>
<td>M. &lt;i&gt;tuberculosis&lt;/i&gt; and M. &lt;i&gt;bovis&lt;/i&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSLN (35)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood (30)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk (48)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (113)</td>
<td>42 (37.1)</td>
<td>46 (40.7)</td>
<td>11 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Identification of cultivated mycobacterial isolates by standard tests (CDC, Atlanta, Ga.).

<sup>b</sup> M. <i>tuberculosis</i> and M. <i>bovis</i> detected in samples derived from a single animal in an individual sample.

<sup>c</sup> Speciation could not be established by N-PCR but samples were positive for MTC.

<sup>d</sup> M. <i>tuberculosis</i> versus M. <i>bovis</i> results were not significant. Results with milk versus PSLN versus blood had a P value of <0.0001.
Representative N-PCR products from samples, namely, milk and lymph node aspirates, exhibiting mixed infection are depicted in Fig. 3C (lanes 2 and 3). In each of the samples, N-PCR products corresponding in molecular weight to that of the positive control, namely, *M. tuberculosis* (lane 4) or *M. bovis* (lane 5), or the dual-positive control (lane 6) were prominent and distinct.

Mixed infection was detected in 11 samples derived from nine animals (Table 2). In some instances, samples acquired from a single animal showed dual infection with *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis*, i.e., while one sample was positive for *M. bovis*, in the second sample *M. tuberculosis* was detected. These animals were also grouped in the mixed-infection category. Thirteen animals were identified in this group. By culture, one animal was shown to harbor both of the pathogens (mixed infection) (Table 3, serial no. 100), i.e., while one sample was positive for *M. bovis*, from milk. No individual sample was established by culture to harbor both *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis*, as was seen with samples examined by the N-PCR assay.

### Comparative analysis of detection of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* by N-PCR and the standard culture technique

Differences in detection and identification of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* in bovine samples by standard culture techniques and the N-PCR technique were observed. The results of the N-PCR and standard identification techniques correlated in 45% of the samples (85/189). N-PCR-based identification matched the results obtained by the standard identification techniques in nine samples (Table 3, serial no. 92, 104, 105, 128, 129, 141, 147, 149, and 152). Seventy-six samples were negative by both techniques. However, variation at the species level of identification of mycobacteria present in the samples derived from seven animals was seen (Table 3, serial no. 91, 94, 109, 111, 113, 134, and 140). Samples derived from one animal (Table 3, serial no. 100) were negative by N-PCR.

Table 4 depicts the comparative analysis of the standard culture technique with N-PCR for the detection of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis* in cattle, based on tuberculin reactivity. The sensitivities of N-PCR and culture were calculated to be 97.3 and 29.7%, respectively. The specificity of N-PCR was lower (22.2%) than that of culture (77.7%).

For all categories of animals, i.e., A, B, C, and D, and their samples, the sensitivities of detection of *M. tuberculosis* and/or *M. bovis* were dissimilar by the two assays. However, the trends of detection or isolation of *M. tuberculosis* and/or *M. bovis* from various animals were similar when taking into consideration the clinical categories of the animals examined (culture, *P* of <0.05; N-PCR, *P* of <0.01). In group A, all animals (100%, 20/20) were positive by N-PCR, whereas 45% (9/20) were positive by culture. This trend of detection decreased in the remaining groups. For groups B, C, and D, the percentages of positivity were determined to be 94, 90, and 66.6% by N-PCR and 12.5, 44, and 22.2% by culture, respectively. Identical detection patterns of *M. tuberculosis* and/or *M. bovis* were seen when considering all samples, namely, PSLN, blood, and milk. However, among the samples screened by the N-PCR assay, PSLN paralleled and reflected best the various groups of cattle studied (*P* < 0.01). The highest percentage of positivity was seen for group A (75%). Reductions in percent positivity were seen for the remaining groups, namely, 53% for group B, 30% for group C, and 33% for group D.

Seventy-five percent of the animals (6/8) included in group E were positive for *M. tuberculosis* and/or *M. bovis* by N-PCR.
However, by culture no mycobacteria, either pathogenic or nonpathogenic, were isolated.

**DISCUSSION**

Handling of infected herds varies in accordance with local laws in developed countries and economic compulsions and religious norms in developing countries like India and some East Asian countries where the cow is revered. Rigorous containment and elimination of infected animals in developed countries has contributed to reducing the prevalence of bovine tuberculosis. However, despite the implementation of the test-and-slaughter policy in Great Britain bovine tuberculosis spread remains unchecked, indicating that there exist insidious mechanisms that facilitate the spread of bovine tuberculosis (14). The occurrence of bovine tuberculosis in wild animals and the movement of infected cattle from areas where the disease is endemic to regions relatively free of bovine tuberculosis have been cited as possible reasons (8, 14, 47). The implications of this in terms of habitual or organized or disorganized cattle migrations in developing countries is significant to the spread of *M. bovis*, *M. tuberculosis*, and other related and unrelated pathogens.

Reliable diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis in live animals remains a major problem among veterinarians. The tuberculin test has been used commonly to identify infected animals. Nevertheless, owing to problems of sensitivity and specificity, the currently used intradermal tuberculin test has been highly variable. Tuberculin reactivity has been known to be influenced by a variety of intrinsic host-related factors (immunological) as well as extrinsic factors, such as the technique, method, quality of the tuberculin used, etc. A negative tuberculin test does not rule out infection, especially in countries where the number of bacilli are limiting, which could result in false-negative results by culture; (iii) the variability in the tedious process of identification, which has been reported to be a problem; and (iv) the composition of the media used for primary isolation, particularly of *M. bovis*, from clinical isolates (17). Though PCR-based methods are useful for rapid detection of target DNA in suspected clinical samples, the efficacy of PCR assays can be influenced by the quality of target DNA extracted from appropriate samples and the presence of sample-derived PCR inhibitors. These problems have been addressed by several workers (3, 4, 9). Their methods and spiked controls have been adopted in the present study to overcome these problems. Unlike culture, the PCR technique does not distinguish between live and dead mycobacteria, a feature that is of the utmost importance when screening for viable mycobacteria in samples such as dairy products following pasteurization.

The N-PCR assay described in the present study is based on the *hupB* genes of *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis*. The specificity of the *hupB*-based PCR assay to detect and identify *M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis* has been established by using a wide variety of mycobacterial and nonmycobacterial microorganisms (32). Further, the reliability of the assay was demonstrated by using...
M. bovis and M. tuberculosis strains from different geographical regions (32). The limit of detection by the N-PCR assay was shown to be five bacilli, equivalent to 50 fg of DNA. Kidane et al. (23) and Shah et al. (37) have reported the multiplex-PCR-based detection and differentiation of M. tuberculosis and M. bovis by use of clinical samples and mycobacterial isolates, respectively. The sensitivities of the assays were limited to detect 10 to 20 pg DNA of the tubercle bacilli. The sensitivity of the N-PCR technique may lead to false positives based on clinical criteria and in comparison to the culture techniques. The reasons for this could be due to (i) the detection of M. tuberculosis and M. bovis in clinical samples by the N-PCR prior to the onset of clinical signs and symptoms of tuberculosis and/or (ii) the presence of dead, noncultivable bacilli in samples. The increased sensitivity of the N-PCR assay resulted in a lower specificity than that for culture (Table 4). Hence, the results of the N-PCR could potentially point to infection rather than disease. However, the probability of false-positive results being generated by the N-PCR technique is low because the likelihood of an incorrect region or nonspecific DNA being erroneously amplified twice is remote (46). Further, no correlation between tuberculin reactivity status and the results of culture and N-PCR was found among the animals examined.

We report the utility of the N-PCR assay for the direct detection of M. tuberculosis and M. bovis in bovine samples as an alternate method to the currently described spoligotyping technique, which is yet another test to distinguish members of the MTC (13, 20, 22, 35). The N-PCR assay not only permitted distinguishing M. tuberculosis and/or M. bovis in bovine samples but also facilitated categorizing different types of infection in cattle, that is, infection with either M. tuberculosis or M. bovis or both. This feature of the N-PCR has a distinct advantage over the spoligotyping technique, as mixed infections cannot be discerned by the latter. Mixed infection by M. tuberculosis and M. bovis in human lymph node biopsy specimens has also been reported (23). Besides mixed infection by M. tuberculosis and M. bovis, several other mycobacteria, such as members of Mycobacterium avium complex and M. fortuitum, have been reported to occur along with M. tuberculosis infection (25). The detection of both pathogens in the cattle investigated suggests a twin danger of infected cattle being a source of mycobacterial pathogens capable of infecting animals and humans. Contact between farm animals and humans would naturally facilitate a bidirectional infection of mycobacterial pathogens.

The presence of M. tuberculosis in bovine samples is indicative of reverse zoonosis, as has been reported for animals in contact with humans (1, 10, 12). It has been documented that animals can be infected by exposure to any individual shedding the organism (1, 12). Sjogren and Hillerdal (41) cited several examples of human-to-cattle transmission and stressed the potential danger that patients with smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis pose to animals. Grange and Yates (18) reported that farm workers urinating in cowsheds might represent a source of infection for animals. Animals infected with M. tuberculosis constitute a potential hazard of transmission of virulent tubercle bacilli back to humans (12). Therefore, there is a compelling need to detect tuberculosis among cattle handlers and farmers, as humans are the major reservoir of M. tuberculosis, unlike with M. bovis. Speciation of these pathogens would help the formulation of public health strategies to interrupt the transmission chain not only intrinsically among cattle but also between humans and cattle. This demands the implementation of rigorous, strict policies regarding the detection of tuberculosis among animals and cattle handlers. Besides speculation of mycobacteria isolated from cattle, molecular markers need to be included to establish the unequivocal relationship between strains isolated from humans and those isolated from cattle.

The identification of M. tuberculosis and M. bovis by the N-PCR assay in bovine samples has helped to bring into focus (i) the prevalence and the incidence of M. bovis and M. tuberculosis infections in bovines and (ii) the grave implications of the high frequency of occurrence of potential human mycobacterial pathogens among cattle of economic importance. The assay would prove especially useful in developing countries with a high incidence of infected livestock (16). M. bovis has been known to spread to humans from infected cattle (zoonotic tuberculosis) by aerosol or by consumption of contaminated dairy products (6, 28). The epidemiological impact of M. bovis infection in humans has not been assessed. This assay has been utilized for the detection of M. bovis infection in extrapulmonary samples of human origin (N. P. Shah et al., submitted for publication). The presence and occurrence of these twin pathogens in the environment have grave implications for the currently adopted strategies for prevention and control of human tuberculosis. The occurrence of simultaneous infection of M. bovis and M. tuberculosis in an individual sample reflects the concurrent infection that occurs due to the prevalence and circulation of both of these pathogens in the environment.

Therefore, the current N-PCR assay would be of immense utility in redefining research priorities and public health strategies for control and prevention of tuberculosis in farm animals as well as in humans, since the duo, M. tuberculosis and M. bovis, is capable of infection and of causing both human and bovine tuberculosis. Hence, there is a need to develop techniques similar to the currently described N-PCR which would provide evidence of the occurrence of tuberculosis in humans and cattle by these common mycobacterial pathogens. This in turn would provide a sound rationale for the generation of hitherto-elusive antitubercular vaccines to protect susceptible humans and animals alike.
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