Evaluation of a (1→3)-β-D-Glucan Assay for Diagnosis of Invasive Fungal Infections
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The Fungitell assay (Associates of Cape Cod, Inc.) is a commercial test that detects (1-3)-β-D-glucan (BG) and is intended for diagnosis of invasive fungal infections. To evaluate the Fungitell assay, we tested serum and plasma samples from healthy blood donors and from patients with blood cultures positive for yeast or bacteria. All 36 blood donors were BG negative, and 13 of 15 candidemic patients were BG positive. Of 25 bactereemic patients, 14 (10 with gram-positive bacteremia) were BG positive. One of the latter patients with Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia also had invasive candidiasis, based on histological findings in a tissue biopsy; therefore, the BG result was a true positive. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of the Fungitell assay, by patient, for these three groups were 93.3%, 77.2%, 51.9%, and 97.8%, respectively. We also performed the Fungitell assay on sera that had been tested for Aspergillus galactomannan or Histoplasma antigen. All six Histoplasma antigen-positive patients and 31 of 32 Aspergillus galactomannan-positive patients were also BG positive. BG results for the 10 Histoplasma antigen-negative and the 32 Aspergillus galactomannan-negative patients varied, but we were unable to confirm many of the results. Between-run coefficients of variance (CVs) for the assay ranged from 3.2% to 16.8%; within-run CVs were ≤4.8%. The correlation coefficient for an interlaboratory reproducibility study was 0.9892. Concentrations of hemoglobin, bilirubin, and triglycerides that caused 20% interference were 588, 72, and 466 mg/dl, respectively. Our results suggest that the Fungitell assay may be most useful for excluding invasive fungal infection.

Several nonculture methods for diagnosing systemic fungal infections have been described. These methods involve detection of fungal antigens or metabolites and molecular methods using PCR (11, 29). A double-sandwich enzyme immunoassay (EIA) that detects Aspergillus galactomannan is commercially available (27, 29). Assays for the Candida metabolites mannann and enolase have been reported (20); however, these methods are not commercially available, may require specialized equipment, and are not generally performed in most clinical laboratories.

(1→3)-β-D-glucan (BG) is a component of the cell wall of fungi except Cryptococcus neoformans and zygomycetes (20, 21, 26). Its presence in the bloodstream correlates with IFI (22, 23). Assays for BG are based on the activation of the horseshoe crab coagulation cascade (31). Liposaccharide and BG initiate the coagulation cascade by activating different serine proteasezymogens, factors C and G. Liposaccharide specifically activates factor C, while BG activates factor G. Limulus polyphemus amebocyte lysates are made specific for BG by removal of factor C.

The goal of this study was to assess the utility of the Fungitell BG assay (Associates of Cape Cod, Inc. [ACCI], East Falmouth, MA), which was cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, as an aid in the diagnosis of IFI. The Institutional Review Board of the University of Utah approved this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens. To evaluate the Fungitell assay, specimens were tested from healthy blood donors, patients with blood cultures positive for yeast or bacteria,
patients with suspected histoplasmosis (based on an order for the *Histoplasma* antigen EIA), and patients with suspected aspergillosis (based on an order for the *Aspergillus* galactomannan EIA). Blood was cultured for bacteria and yeast with the Bactec 9240 continuous monitoring system (BD Diagnostic Systems, Sparks, MD) at Associated Regional and University Pathologists, Inc. (ARUP). Serum samples were collected at ARUP Blood Services from healthy adults prior to their donating blood. Serum or plasma samples remaining from other laboratory tests that had been performed at the University of Utah Hospital or ARUP 5 days before or after the positive blood culture and then stored at −20°C were retrieved and tested for BG. Additionally, the medical records of patients with blood cultures that were positive for bacteria were reviewed to collect the following information: underlying disease; receipt of intravenous immunoglobulin, antimicrobial agents, and/or chemotherapeutic agents; treatment with hemodialysis; and diagnoses based on histological examination of tissue biopsies. Sera that remained after the requested tests for the patients were placed in different locations within the microtiter plate. The mean and coefficient of variation for the three replicates was determined for each of the four samples. To assess the interlaboratory variability of the Fungitell assay, two replicate panels, one with 25 samples and one with 24 samples, were prepared at ARUP and sent to ACCI for BG testing. Fungitell assay results determined at ARUP for the 49 samples were compared with those determined at ACCI for the same samples.

**Table 1. Fungitell BG assay results for various patient groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (no. of patients)</th>
<th>No. of patients with BG result* of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood donors (36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood culture positive for yeast (15)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood culture positive for gram-positive cocci (15)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood culture positive for gram-negative bacilli (10)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histoplasma antigen positive (6)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histoplasma antigen negative (10)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus galactomannan positive (32)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus galactomannan negative (32)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Positive, ≥80 pg/ml; indeterminate, 60 to 79 pg/ml; negative, <60 pg/ml.

† One patient had documented invasive candidiasis, based on histologic findings in a tissue biopsy.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical specimens.** Patients with BG results were divided into eight groups: 1A, healthy adult blood donors; 2, patients with blood cultures positive for yeast; 3A, patients with blood cultures positive for gram-positive cocci; 3B, patients with positive blood cultures for gram-negative bacilli; 4A, patients with positive EIA results for *Histoplasma* antigen; 4B, patients with negative EIA results for *Histoplasma* antigen; 5A, patients with positive EIA results for *Aspergillus* galactomannan antigen; and 5B, patients with negative EIA results for *Aspergillus* galactomannan antigen. Multiple samples were tested for most of the patients in groups 2, 3A, and 3B (105 samples for the 40 patients in these three groups). For groups 1A, 4A, 4B, and 5A, only one sample for each patient was tested; for the 32 patients in group 5B, two samples were tested. BG results for the eight patient groups are shown by patient in Table 1 and in Fig. 1A and B. Table 1 shows the number of patients in each group with positive, negative, and indeterminate BG results. Figure 1A shows the range of BG results by patient for each patient group, and Fig. 1B shows the range of BG values for each patient group near the cutoff of ≥80 pg/ml. Organisms isolated from patients in groups 2, 3A, and 3B are listed in the legend to Fig. 1.

All 36 samples from healthy blood donors (group 1) were negative for BG (<60 pg/ml). Of the 39 samples from the 15 patients with blood cultures positive for yeast, 30 samples were positive for BG (range, 84 to 1,359 pg/ml), 2 were indeterminate, 6 were negative, and 1 was invalid due to excessive hemolysis. Thirteen of the 15 patients (86.7%) had at least one specimen that was positive. Blood cultures of both remaining
patients grew Candida rugosa. One of these patients had one specimen that was indeterminate and three that were negative for BG; the other had two negative specimens and one that was invalid.

Twenty-two of 36 samples from 15 patients with blood cultures positive for gram-positive cocci (group 3A) were BG positive (range, 0 to 1,088 pg/ml). Of the 15 patients in group 3A, 11 (73.3%) (6 with Staphylococcus aureus, 3 with coagulase-negative staphylococci, 1 with Streptococcus mitis, and 1 with Enterococcus faecium) had ≥1 specimen positive for BG, 1 patient (with S. aureus bacteremia) had only 1 specimen that was indeterminate, and all specimens from 3 patients (2 with S. aureus and 1 with Streptococcus pneumoniae) were negative. Of the 11 BG-positive patients in group 3A, 1 patient (with S. aureus bacteremia) also had a positive biopsy for Candida. The BG result for this patient, therefore, was a true positive. Another BG-positive patient in group 3A (with S. aureus bacteremia) received kidney dialysis and also received a β-lactam antibiotic (nafcillin). One patient with coagulase-negative Staphylococcus bacteremia and a positive BG result received intravenous immunoglobulin. Five other patients from group 3 who were BG positive also received β-lactam antibiotics (imipenem-cilastatin, cefazolin, amoxicillin, nafcillin, and piperacillin-tazobactam). However, another patient in group 3A with S. aureus bacteremia who received a β-lactam antibiotic (penicillin) was BG negative, and four other patients who did not receive a β-lactam antimicrobial were BG positive.

Of the 10 patients whose blood cultures grew gram-negative bacilli (group 3B), 3 (2 with Escherichia coli, 1 with Salmonella species) had ≥1 specimen positive for BG (range, 0 to 1,208 pg/ml), 2 (1 with Klebsiella pneumoniae and Enterobacter cloacae, 1 with E. coli) had at least 1 sample that was indeterminate, and all specimens from 5 patients (2 with Pseudomonas aeruginosa and 1 each with K. pneumoniae, Klebsiella oxytoca, and E. coli) were negative. Of the three patients in group 3B with positive BG results, one patient had received intravenous immunoglobulin and a β-lactam antibiotic (penicillin). Of the two other BG-positive patients in group 3B, one (with E. coli bacteremia) received no antibiotics, and the other received an antibiotic other than a β-lactam, doxycycline. Three other patients in group 3B received β-lactam antibiotics (nafcillin and piperacillin-tazobactam) but were BG negative. Counting the sample from the patient with biopsy confirmed IFI as a true positive, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of the Fungitell assay, by patient, for groups 1, 2, 3A, and 3B were 93.3%, 77.2%, 51.9%, and 97.8%, respectively.

All six patients with specimens that were positive for Histoplasma antigen (group 4A) were also BG positive. Of the 10 patients with specimens that were Histoplasma antigen negative (group 4B), 6 were negative for BG, 2 were indeterminate, and 2 were positive (202 and 220 pg/ml). Thirty-one of the 32 patients with specimens that were positive for Aspergillus galactomannan (1 sample/patient) were also positive for BG (n = 32); 5A, patients who were Aspergillus galactomannan positive (n = 32); and 5B, patients who were Aspergillus galactomannan negative (n = 32).
Of 32 patients with specimens that were negative for Aspergillus galactomannan (group 5B), 22 were negative for BG, 1 was indeterminate, and 9 were positive (range, 81 to 582 pg/ml). We were able to obtain clinical information for 8 of the patients with a negative Aspergillus antigen and positive BG. Two of the eight BG-positive patients had confirmed IFI: one patient had a Candida infection, and the other had Aspergillus. The remaining six patients had no laboratory evidence of IFI; therefore, the BG results were considered falsely positive.

**Fungitell assay reproducibility.** The between-run coefficients of variance (CVs) for three runs ranged from 3.2% for a serum sample with a BG concentration of 1,643 pg/ml to 16.8% for the serum sample with a BG concentration of 85 pg/ml. The mean between-run CV for all 15 samples was 9.1%. The within-run CVs for the four samples tested ranged from 1.3% for a sample with a BG concentration of 2,034 pg/ml to 4.8% for a sample with a BG concentration of 181 pg/ml. Samples with BG values of 953 pg/ml and 1,121 pg/ml had replicate CVs of 2.0% and 2.8%, respectively. The correlation coefficient ($R^2$) between ACCI and ARUP for the 49 samples tested at both sites was 0.9892. There were nine discrepant results between the two laboratories. Two samples were indeterminate at ACCI and negative at ARUP (73 and 61 pg/ml versus 53 and 52 pg/ml, respectively). Two samples were indeterminate at ACCI and positive at ARUP (77 and 60 pg/ml versus 81 and 98 pg/ml, respectively). Three samples were negative at ACCI and positive at ARUP (50, 58, and 59 pg/ml versus 122, 81, and 81 pg/ml, respectively). Finally, two samples were negative at ACCI and indeterminate at ARUP (45 and 50 pg/ml versus 63 and 77 pg/ml, respectively).

**Interfering substances.** Figure 2 shows the effects of hemoglobin, bilirubin, and triglycerides on the Fungitell assay. High concentrations of bilirubin and triglycerides were inhibitory and would cause false-negative results, while hemolysis would cause false-positive results. Using the equation for each graph and a 20% deviation in the assay as a clinically significant threshold for interference, the concentrations of each interferent at which a 20% interference would be expected to occur were 588 mg/dl for hemoglobin, 72 mg/dl for bilirubin (which would be unlikely to occur clinically), and 466 mg/dl for triglycerides.

**BG cross-contamination.** Two of three BG-negative specimens became positive after the third of four successive transfers of the samples into new transport tubes. For the sample with an initial BG concentration of 58 pg/ml, BG results for four aliquots from four successive transfers were 36, 38, 322, and 529 pg/ml. For the sample with an initial BG concentration of 24 pg/ml, BG values for the four aliquots were 21, 50, 83, and 102 pg/ml. BG values for the third sample were 34 (initial result) and 19, 16, 24, and 33 pg/ml, respectively, for the four transfers.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we assessed the performance of the Fungitell assay for the diagnosis of IFI in a diverse population of patients. In healthy adult blood donors (group 1), who theoretically all should be BG negative, and patients with candidemia (group 2), who by definition have proven IFI and should be BG positive, the sensitivity and specificity of the assay with ≥80 pg/ml as the positive cutoff (per the manufacturer’s recommendations) were 92.9% and 100%, respectively. In a similar population (30 healthy adults and 30 nonneutropenic patients with candidemia), studied by Odabasi et al. to establish a cutoff for the Fungitell assay, the sensitivity and specificity of the assay
with \( \geq 60 \text{ pg/ml} \) as the positive cutoff were 97% and 93%, respectively (24). When bacteremic patients (groups 3A and B) were included in our assessment of the performance of the Fungitell assay, the specificity and positive predictive value decreased considerably (77.2% and 51.9%, respectively), due to the high number of false-negative results, particularly in samples from those patients with gram-positive bacteremia. This specificity is considerably lower than that reported by Odabasi et al. (24) for neutropenic patients with acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome (90% in patients with proven or probable IFI and 96% in patients with proven, probable, or possible IFI) and by Pazos et al. (26) for adult patients with hematological cancer (89.6%). Digby et al., on the other hand, reported positive BG results in patients in an intensive care unit who had confirmed bacterial infections (5). However, because these investigators used \( > 20 \text{ pg/ml} \) as the cutoff for a positive BG value, rather than the manufacturer-recommended cutoff of \( \geq 80 \text{ pg/ml} \), it is impossible to accurately interpret their results.

False-positive BG reactions are known to occur in patients with renal failure who are undergoing hemodialysis with cellulosic membranes, patients treated with intravenous immunoglobulins, and specimens or patients exposed to gauze or other materials that contain glucans (10, 14, 15, 16, 21). Albumin, coagulation factors, and plasma protein fraction manufactured by certain vendors for intravenous injection also have been shown to contain high levels of BG (8, 9, 32). Certain streptococci are known to produce glucan or glucan-like polymers (5). In addition, we showed in our cross-contamination experiment that excess manipulation of a sample can result in its contamination with BG. In our study, two bacteremic patients with positive BG levels were receiving intravenous immunoglobulin and one was undergoing hemodialysis for renal failure, which would explain their false-positive BG results. Additionally, one patient with a false-positive BG result had streptococcal bacteremia (\( \text{S. mitis} \)). None of our patients had had recent surgery, but we could not reliably determine if there had been any exposure to gauze or other materials that contained glucans. All of our samples had been manipulated at least once; therefore, the possibility of laboratory contamination exists.

There are other potential, yet unproven, reasons for false-positive BG reactions. These include exposure to antitumor polysaccharides such as lentimann, polysaccharide K, and schizoplyllan, which are derived from different species of mushrooms; mucosal damage from chemotherapy or radiotherapy, which could allow BG from dietary sources or from Candida colonizing the gastrointestinal tract to enter the bloodstream; and receipt of antibiotics derived from fungal sources (12, 28, 30, 33, 36). None of our patients received antitumor polysaccharides. Only one bacteremic patient received cytotoxic chemotherapy (cytarabine, mitoxantrone, and dexamethasone 10 days before the sample tested for BG was collected). Five bacteremic patients who were BG positive had been given \( \beta \)-lactam antibiotics, which are produced by large-scale fermentation of fungi, but so did three bacteremic patients who were BG negative. This suggests that these antibiotics are an unlikely cause of the false-positive BG results, but this should be confirmed in a larger, controlled study. In most of the bacteremic patients in our study, the reason for the false-positive BG result remains unknown.

For groups 4 and 5 (patients with suspected histoplasmosis and aspergillosis, respectively), despite a concerted effort, we were unable to obtain pertinent clinical information in several cases where there was a discrepancy between the Histoplasma antigen or Aspergillus galactomannan and BG results. For this reason, we did not include these groups in our assessment of the Fungitell performance. However, it is most likely that the BG result is accurate when the Histoplasma antigen or Aspergillus galactomannan result and the BG result are either both positive or both negative. When results are discordant, on the other hand, it is impossible to know which is correct without patient information. Results that were positive for BG but negative for galactomannan or Histoplasma antigen could reflect differences in the sensitivities of the assays. Sensitivities for the Platelia assay have been reported to be as low as 30%, although other studies have reported sensitivities above 90% (7, 13, 26, 30). Kami et al. found the Platelia assay to be less sensitive (58%) than a BG assay (67%) for diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (13). The Histoplasma EIA may not detect up to 20% of cases of disseminated histoplasmosis (37). Patients who were BG positive and either galactomannan or Histoplasma antigen negative could also have fungal infections other than Histoplasma or Aspergillus.

In summary, the Fungitell assay appears to be a useful test in the evaluation of patients at high risk for IFI. The assay is reproducible, except at concentrations very close to the cutoff. However, based on our cross-contamination experiment, we recommend minimal manipulation of specimens submitted for BG testing. Additionally, hemolytic and lipemic samples are likely to interfere with the assay and therefore should not be tested. Although the sensitivity of the test is good, several patients in our study had false-positive results, which limits the value of the test as a positive predictor of IFI. However, the negative predictive value in our study and others (5, 23, 26) was high (>95%). This suggests that the primary value of the Fungitell assay is to exclude the presence of IFI based on a negative result, with the caveat that some fungi (cryptococci and zygomycetes) produce low levels of BG. This could then eliminate the need to initiate toxic and expensive antifungal therapy. Controlled studies designed to further explore the cause of false-positive BG reactions will be valuable.
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