Phylogenetic Comparisons Reveal Multiple Acquisitions of the Toxin Genes by Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli Strains of Different Evolutionary Lineages\textsuperscript{\textdagger}†
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Escherichia coli is a diverse bacterial species which is widely distributed in the environment but also exists as a commensal and pathogen of different host species. Human intestinal pathogenic E. coli causes over 160 million cases of diarrhea and an estimated 1 million deaths per year. The majority of deaths are attributable to one pathovar of E. coli, namely, enterotoxigenic E. coli. The pathogenesis of enterotoxigenic E. coli is dependent on the production of a colonization factor to promote adhesion to the intestinal epithelium and the elaboration of heat-labile or heat-stable toxins which induce a secretory diarrhea. Despite the high morbidity and mortality associated with enterotoxigenic E. coli infection, little is known of the genetic background of this global pathogen. Here we demonstrate by multilocus sequence typing that enterotoxigenic E. coli isolates are present in all phylogenetic lineages of E. coli, indicating that acquisition of the toxin genes may be sufficient to generate an enterotoxigenic E. coli strain. In addition, screening of diarrheal isolates for the presence of additional genes previously associated with the virulence of enterotoxigenic E. coli revealed that they were not abundant. These observations have significant implications for disease epidemiology and for the design of effective vaccines.

The species of Escherichia coli, together with the now indisputably derivative and polyphyletic Shigella spp., represent an extraordinarily diverse group of pathogenic and commensal organisms (29). Numerous studies of both pathogenic and non-pathogenic E. coli strains derived from different mammalian hosts suggest that this versatile organism is a clonal organism which can be separated into five major evolutionary groups: A, B1, B2, D, and E (sometimes termed “unclassified”) (26). These groups have been supported across several studies using a variety of methods of phylogenetic reconstruction, although there have been disagreements regarding the relationships between groups (2, 26, 31, 52). However, comparisons of three published E. coli genomes revealed that only 39.2% of the genes were present in all strains, highlighting the extraordinary degree of genomic diversity within this species (66). These data suggest that the phenotype and niche adaptation of a particular E. coli strain are determined by periodic selection resulting in clonal expansion and by the horizontal acquisition of mobile DNA, such as plasmids and phages, of different evolutionary origins. Indeed, investigation of E. coli K-12 suggests that one-quarter of the genome has been acquired horizontally in over 200 separate events (30). It is these latter genetic acquisition events that are thought to provide the repertoire of virulence factors which distinguish commensal and pathogenic E. coli.

In contrast to the phylogenetic groupings, intestinal pathogenic E. coli strains have been classified into six pathovars based upon the repertoire of virulence factors and the clinical manifestations of infection, namely, enterohemorrhagic (EHEC), enteropathogenic (EPEC), enteroaggregative (EAEC), diffuse-adorhering, enteroinvasive, and enterotoxigenic (ETEC) E. coli (reviewed in reference 41). ETEC is the most common cause of E. coli-mediated human diarrhea worldwide (22). There are estimated to be about 650 million cases of ETEC infection each year in developing countries, with around 800,000 deaths occurring, mostly in young children (50, 71). It also poses a significant problem for travelers and military personnel visiting countries where ETEC is endemic (41). In addition to the high levels of morbidity and mortality associated with human infection, ETEC also has important financial implications for the farming industry, where it is a major pathogen of cattle and neonatal and postweaning piglets (22, 39). The importance of ETEC as a pathogen is highlighted by the fact that of the six pathovars of diarrheagenic E. coli it is alone in being the subject of a World Health Organization initiative to find an efficacious vaccine (71).

Vaccine studies for ETEC have focused on the plasmid-encoded virulence factors, namely, the colonization factors (CFs), which are required for adherence to the small intestine, and the heat-stable (ST) or heat-labile (LT) toxins, which induce the secretory diarrhea typical of an ETEC infection (6). Despite ETEC being a major cause of diarrhea worldwide, very little is known about the chromosomal determinants of
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enteropathogenicity. However, investigations of a variety of ETEC strains, including the type strain E. coli H10407, have identified a small number of putative virulence factors, other than the CFS and toxins, which could be involved in ETEC virulence. These include (i) LeoA, implicated in LT secretion; (ii) TibA, a glycosylated autotransporter involved in adhesion to epithelial cells; (iii) Tia, an outer membrane adhesin and invasin; (iv) ClyA, a hemolysin which requires post-transcriptional activation; and (v) EtaA, a serine protease autotransporter associated with fluid accumulation in the ileal loop model (18–20, 35, 48, 58, 67). While it remains a subject of debate whether these additional virulence factors contribute significantly to ETEC-mediated disease, it is clear that a CF and at least one of the toxins are essential virulence factors for the disease process (22, 40, 41, 56).

Previously, it was suggested that for pathogenic E. coli, including ETEC, a specific genetic background was necessary for the expression and maintenance of certain virulence factors (15). Indeed, phylogenetic comparisons of strains within the EAEC, diffuse-adhering E. coli, EPEC, and EHEC pathovars suggest that pathogenic isolates within these pathovars have arisen through clonal expansion and thus possess a common genetic background (3, 4, 10, 44, 54, 68). Such inferences are further supported by observations that some virulence factors associated with a particular pathovar are found only within particular phylogenetic groups, despite their distribution being sporadic and consistent with horizontal transfer rather than vertical inheritance (47, 52, 53). Similar detailed phylogenetic analyses have been lacking for ETEC; thus, we wished to determine the clonal relatedness of ETEC strains derived from multiple geographic locations and mammalian hosts and to determine whether the virulence factors that were previously associated with ETEC infection were common to strains that were associated with disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates. A total of 209 E. coli strains were studied (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). These included 116 ETEC strains isolated from humans with diarrhea from around the globe and in different years, 34 ETEC strains isolated from cattle, and 59 of the Escherichia coli Reference Collection (ECOR) collection including strains representing all the major phylogenetic branches as previously determined by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MLEE) (26). The human diarrheal isolates were collected over a period of several years in separate studies in Mexico, Guatemala, and India.

MLST analysis. The housekeeping genes adk, fumC, gpyB, icd, mdh, pta, purA, and recA, selected from the multilocus sequence typing (MLST) website for E. coli (http://web.mpib-berlin.mpg.de/mlst/dbs/Ecoli), were amplified for typing by being boiled for 10 min. Bio-X-act Short mix (Bioline) with proofreading was used in reaction conditions consisting of 10 min of denaturation at 94°C followed by 30 cycles of 30 s of denaturation at 94°C, 30 s at the specific annealing temperature for the primer, and 3 min of extension at 68°C. Purified PCR products were sequenced using nested sequencing primers listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. Sequences were compared with the MLST database alleles to obtain allele numbers and sequence types. To confirm the phylogenetic groups determined by MLST, all strains were also analyzed by triplex PCR as previously described by Clermont et al. (9).

Phylogenetic analysis. The sequences obtained from MLST analyses were supplemented by equivalent regions from all available complete and draft E. coli or Shigella genome sequences. The sequences were aligned using ClustalW version 1.8 (62), with manual inspection using Seaview (23), and concatenated to give an alignment of 3,405 bp. To circumvent the problem of inappropriate or computationally intensive substitution models for coding sequences (57), phylogenetic analysis was performed only on third codon positions, using the HKY+I model of DNA substitution with a gamma distribution of rates across sites. However, these results were similar to those obtained for analysis of sequences including all three positions within the codon. The Bayesian MCMC Markov chain Monte Carlo method of tree reconstruction, as implemented in MrBayes version 3.1.2 (55), was employed. Four chains were run with default heating parameters, and trees sampled from the posterior probability distribution were used to generate a 50% majority rule consensus tree.

Virulence factor screening. The presence of the toxins was confirmed by the use of oligonucleotides labeled with polyoxynucleotide T4 kinase and [32P]ATP, as previously described (38). Primers were designed to amplify small fragments (500 bp to 1 kb) of putative ETEC virulence genes and are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. The leaA, tua, and tbc primers were designed based upon the E. coli H10407 sequence. cha4 primers were designed to correspond to highly conserved regions of the gene which were determined from comparison of the cha4 sequences available from different E. coli isolates (34). Primers specific to eatA were designed after inspection of a ClustalW multiple alignment of all the known serine protease autotransporter proteins. The presence or absence of the virulence gene was determined by PCR using two pairs of primers for each locus.

Genomic comparisons. In an attempt to identify chromosomal genes potentially involved in enterotoxigenicity, genomic comparisons of the draft ETEC genome sequences from strains E23477A (GenBank accession number AAZJ01000001), B7A (GenBank accession numbers AAIT0100001 to AAIT0100198), and H10407 (available from http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/E_coli/H10407) were performed. Orthologues were identified using the mutual best hits procedure, as previously described (7). Genes that had copies in all three ETEC genomes were further compared in the same manner with a representative set of complete and draft E. coli genome sequences (laboratory strain K12 MG1655, GenBank accession number U00096; EHEC strain O157:H7 Sakai, GenBank accession number BA000007; uropathogenic strain CFT073, GenBank accession number AE014075; commensal strain HS, GenBank accession number AAJU0100001; enteroinvasive E. coli strain 53638, GenBank accession numbers AABK0100001 to AABK0100119; EPEC strain E22, GenBank accession numbers AAJU0100001 to AAJU0100119; EPEC2 strain B171, GenBank accession numbers AABK0100001 to AABK0100119; and EAEC strain 042, available from http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/Escherichia_Shigella).

RESULTS

Is MLST appropriate for phylogenetic investigations of diarrheagenic E. coli? Previous phylogenetic analysis of the ECOR collection based on the application of the neighbor-joining algorithm to MLEE data (26) defined five major phylogenetic groupings (Fig. 1, left). Application of Bayesian phylogenetic analysis to the MLST data obtained in this study (Fig. 1, right) broadly confirmed these groupings, but in line with previous studies (2, 11, 15, 31, 49), there was some disagreement in branching order relative to the MLEE tree, both within and between groups. Groups A and B1 clustered together based on the MLST, contrary to the grouping of B1 and B2 seen in the MLEE tree but consistent with ancillary characteristics such as the distribution of the ETT2 pathogenicity island (53). The group E (or unclassified) sequences from the MLEE tree did not cluster together in the current study, and group D was not monophyletic. The MLST method is less discriminatory than MLEE; identical sequences were obtained for a number of ECOR strains that could be distinguished based on MLEE. The groupings obtained by Bayesian analysis of the MLST data were in agreement with those found using neighbor joining and BURST (data not shown).

A small number of strains were placed in different phylogenetic groups relative to MLEE, possibly due to the effects of horizontal gene transfer. ECOR 23 was originally classified as a group A strain, but work from our lab showed that it possesses virulence genes associated with B2 strains, particularly those associated with pathogenicity island II of the prototype
uropathogenic *E. coli* strain CFT073 (26, 47). Analysis of the genetic backbone of this strain by MLST places it between group D/E and B2, the two groups more commonly associated with pathogenic isolates. ECOR 31, which was unclassified by the original analysis and more recently associated with group E, was the only other strain significantly “misplaced” by our analysis and was associated with group A. All remaining previously unclassified strains were found in the group assigned as D/E in this study, which is not monophyletic and confirms the close relationship of strains in these two groups. Ecor70, a strain found classified as B1 by MLEE, which had been placed in A by triplex PCR in the study by Clermont et al. (9) and in an additional group C in the study by Escobar-Paramo et al. (16), was found to be identical to ECOR 71 and located in group B1. It is noteworthy that the only two misassigned strains in the initial triplex PCR study (9) were designated as group A strains where the previous designation was B1; this was the most common discrepancy observed in our data, which otherwise showed good agreement. This is likely to be a result of the triplex PCR protocol using three genetic markers that are closely linked on the chromosome compared to the widely distributed housekeeping loci. However, the differences are minor, and the results confirm the suitability of this MLST protocol for phylogenetic analysis of *E. coli*.

**ETEC demonstrates a polyphyletic origin.** A further phylogenetic analysis was performed, expanding the data set to include a collection of 150 ETEC strains. The MLST database currently contains information for 735 strains, with 457 distinct sequence types. Twenty-eight of the sequence types obtained in the current study had not been identified previously and have been submitted to the MLST database. A total of 61 sequence types were identified among the ETEC strains...
FIG. 2. Phylogeny of ETEC clinical isolates. Based on MLST data the phylogenetic relationships of a collection of ETEC strains from humans and animals and a selection of ECOR strains are depicted. The phylogenetic tree is supplemented by data from the available genome-sequenced *E. coli* strains. Colored labels depict different sequence types depending on the source of strains harbored within each sequence type. The data demonstrate a polyphyletic distribution of the ETEC strains. The phylogenetic tree was constructed as for the right side of Fig. 1.
tested, and of these only 21 arose more than once in the collection (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). This observation is consistent with the absence of a specific ETEC clonal lineage.

The phylogeny demonstrates that the ETEC strains with both characterized and novel sequence types are widely dispersed throughout the tree and not restricted to any particular phylogenetic group (Fig. 2). As seen in previous studies the ETEC type strain *E. coli* H10407 is located in group A (15). Figure 2 is rooted using group B2 as an outgroup, based on ancillary data and previous phylogenetic studies (15, 31). However, if the tree is midpoint rooted, or rooted using an outgroup such as *Citrobacter* species (data not shown), then the ETEC sequence type new 9 becomes the most basal, falling outside the *E. coli* diversity as represented by the ECOR strain collection. Blast analysis of the individual sequences for this strain reveal that it is most likely a recombinant, since three of the seven loci have typical *E. coli* sequences, while the remaining four are more similar to the equivalent regions from *Escherichia fergusonii*. The sequence may be partially derived from an ancestral-type *E. coli* outside the recognized *E. coli* diversity (69).

To add additional support to the groupings identified by MLST analyses, the ETEC isolates were tested using the rapid triplex PCR protocol described by Clermont et al. (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) (9). Overall there was 84% agreement with the group assignment based on our analysis between the triplex PCR protocol and the MLST analyses. Over half of the anomalies were sequence type 90, 14 animal isolates, which were assigned as group B1 by MLST and A by triplex PCR. If these isolates are excluded, congruency between the two data sets is over 92%, a figure similar to that noted by Clermont et al. (9). Previous analysis of ETEC strains had not detected any strains in group B2 or D (15). The triplex PCR showed agreement for strains assigned to these two groups, further confirming the observation that ETEC strains have arisen multiple times and can arise in any *E. coli* lineage.

**Phylogeny of ETEC isolates from different host organisms.** In addition to infecting humans ETEC is also an important animal pathogen (17, 24, 39). The host specificity of ETEC strains is thought to be defined by specific CFs which are encoded on mobile genetic elements (5). Human and animal isolates were detected in all major branches of the tree including the B2 and D/E groups (Fig. 2). Furthermore, sequence types 10 and 29 contain isolates from both humans and animals (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). These data demonstrate that specific lineages of ETEC are not absolutely associated with one particular species and suggest that a specific genetic background is not important for species specificity.

**Phylogeny of ETEC strains isolated from different geographic locations.** Previous phylogenetic analyses of both commensal and pathogenic isolates has demonstrated that *E. coli* is diverse and that this diversity is widely distributed, with closely related strains occurring in distinct geographic locations (10, 13, 16, 68). Similarly, the diversity of ETEC strains through the ETEC lineage is not restricted by geographic location, with ETEC strains from different locations occurring in all major phylogenetic groups (Fig. 2; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). Furthermore, the frequently arising sequence type 10 was detected from four separate regions of the world at different time points (Fig. 3; see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The remaining sequence types also show variation in location.

**Distribution of the toxin genes among ETEC isolates.** Strains belonging to the ETEC pathovar are traditionally defined by the presence of one or more of the toxin (ST and LT) genes and a specific CF (41). Previous investigations suggested that a specific genetic background was required for the acquisition of the genes encoding these toxins (15). The toxin genotypes were determined for each of the ETEC isolates included in this study (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). In agreement with previous studies (5, 27, 28, 50, 51, 59, 61, 70) multiple toxin genotypes, i.e., genes encoding either or both of the toxins, were detected among the clinical isolates. There was no apparent association of any one toxin genotype with any of the major phylogenetic groups (Fig. 2; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). Furthermore, multiple toxin genotypes were detected within isolates of a single sequence type (Fig. 4; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). These data suggest that the presence of the toxins is not dependent on clonal expansion and indicate that acquisition of the toxin genes is not dependent on a specific genetic background.

**Distribution of accessory virulence factors among ETEC isolates.** In addition to the well-characterized toxin and CFs, a number of other proteins have been implicated in the pathogenicity of ETEC. These include ClyA, EatA, TibA, Tia, and LeoA (14, 19, 20, 46, 48). With the exception of TibA, the presence within the collection of ETEC isolates of the genes encoding these virulence factors was determined by amplification of a small specific PCR fragment within the coding region of the gene. TibA is encoded on a four-gene operon (*tibABCD*) (14, 32, 37), and to detect the presence of this locus a fragment of *tibC*, the gene encoding the TibA glycosylase, was amplified. This avoided the problems associated with nucleotide sequence similarity between homologous autotransporter proteins, and also, as the gene is not exposed to the selective pressure of the immune system, its sequence is less likely to vary. Very little is known about the abundance of these additional virulence genes within ETEC isolates. Despite being
FIG. 4. Distribution of toxin genotypes among ETEC isolates. The chart depicts the distribution of the three toxin genotypes (LT, ST, and LT/ST) among ETEC strains from the representative MLST sequence types. The number of isolates within a given sequence type which were positive for ST (black), LT (white), or both toxins (diagonally striped bars) is shown. The distribution of the toxins is not confined to a particular phylogenetic lineage or sequence type.

present in E. coli H10407, with the exception of clyA, the additional virulence factors are not abundant, although they are widely distributed and not confined to a phylogenetic group (Table 1; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). This suggests that they are all mobile and that a specific genetic background is not required for their transmission; however, their rarity suggests that they have little consequence for the disease. Although clyA is widely distributed, it requires positive regulation from a lysR family regulator to induce a hemolytic phenotype; therefore, its role in pathogenicity is still unclear (46). Unlike E. coli H10407, none of the ETEC isolates, including those closely related to E. coli H10407, possessed all five of the additional virulence factors.

As a further step, in an attempt to identify other potential virulence factors that may be essential for ETEC pathogenicity, we performed a comparison of the available complete and almost-complete E. coli genome sequences. Orthologous genes were identified using pairwise all-against-all BLAST searches. We looked for chromosomal genes that were shared among the three phylogenetically distinct ETEC genome sequences that are available but absent from all other E. coli genome sequences. Such genes would be strong candidates for a potential role in enterotoxigenicity. However, no such genes were identified. This is consistent with the hypothesis that there are no specific chromosomal factors required for enterotoxigenicity.

**DISCUSSION**

Understanding the phylogeny of pathogenic bacteria is essential to comprehending how pathogenic bacteria have evolved. MLST is increasingly being applied to large collections of bacterial isolates for phylogenetic studies (1, 36, 63). MLST uses protocols which were developed for experimental simplicity and the potential for automation (63). The increasing use of the Internet for the transfer of data has enabled large collections of MLST data to be collated and made available for public scrutiny. However, application of the MLST analysis methods to the well-studied ECOR collection revealed that in the case of E. coli, MLST was less discriminatory than MLEE; a number of isolates appear identical by MLST but are separated by MLEE. This observation may be explained by the fact that MLEE combines data derived from 38 enzyme loci, rather than the seven loci used for MLST, and presumably increasing the number of loci used in the MLST protocols would increase the discriminatory power of the technique.

Despite not possessing the discriminatory power of MLEE, MLST analysis of the ECOR collection showed accurate major branching of strains into phylogenetic groups, indicating that it was a suitable method for analyzing the phylogenetics of diarrheagenic E. coli. Extension of the study to incorporate a comprehensive collection of ETEC strains indicated that these strains are distributed throughout the E. coli lineage, in all major phylogenetic groups. While it is apparent from the MLST profiles of the ETEC strains that some clonal expansion may have occurred, the polyphyletic distribution of ETEC strains within the E. coli lineage indicates that ETEC strains have arisen multiple times and that there is no common clonal lineage. Previous phylogenetic investigations of ETEC using a variety of techniques have demonstrated similar results even though they were not placed within the context of the larger E. coli lineage. Thus, ETEC strains possessing the same CF-toxin genotypes often clustered together, suggesting that these strains had arisen by clonal expansion (33, 42, 43, 51, 59, 61, 64, 65). However, in these same studies the CF-toxin genotypes were not strictly associated with phylogenetic clusters and closely related strains were also found to possess different CF-toxin profiles. In combination with the MLST data, these observations indicate multiple and independent acquisitions of the CF and toxin genes by both phylogenetically similar and diverse strains and support the notion that ETEC strains do not have specific clonal lineages. The occurrence of such events may not be surprising if one considers that the CF and toxin genes are encoded on plasmids which may easily be transferred between E. coli strains from different phylogenetic groups.

Proportionally, more ETEC strains were detected in the A and B1 lineages than in the B2 and D phylogenetic groups. Although not restrictive, this could reflect a predilection of the plasmids encoding the toxin and CFs for a particular genetic background. Indeed, phylogenetic analyses of a diverse array of pathogenic and commensal E. coli isolates by Escobar-Paramo et al. appeared to suggest that a specific background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Protein/operon function</th>
<th>% Prevalence in ETEC isolates</th>
<th>Phylogenetic groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>clyA</td>
<td>Hemolysin</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A, B1, B2, D/E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eatA</td>
<td>Serine protease autotransporter</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>A, B1, D/E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leoA</td>
<td>LT secretion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A, B1, D/E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tia</td>
<td>Outer membrane adhesin/invasin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>A, B1, D/E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tib</td>
<td>Adhesin autotransporter</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>A, B1, B2, D/E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was required for the acquisition of the ETEC virulence factors (15). However, this study was based on analysis of only a small number of ETEC isolates, and given the widespread phylogenetic differences in the ETEC strains analyzed in this study the argument that ETEC strains require a particular genetic background for enterotoxigenicity seems improbable.

An alternative, and perhaps more likely, explanation for the association of ETEC strains with the A and B1 phylogenetic clusters is that such strains are simply more abundant in nature. Support for this latter theory is derived from several studies which indicate that group A and B1 strains are more prevalent as commensal organisms in both animals and humans (12, 13, 16). Furthermore, one study specifically highlighted the fact that the majority of commensal E. coli strains isolated from individuals living in tropical regions belonged to the A and B1 phylogenetic groups (16). This is an important observation given that ETEC tends to be endemic in tropical areas and that all of our isolates were derived from tropical regions. Interestingly, a recent MLST analysis of a large collection of E. coli strains suggested that bacteria belonging to the A group, and in particular the sequence type 10 complex, were not pathogenic (69). However, in this study we found that sequence type 10 possessed the highest number of ETEC isolates. These data pose the tantalizing suggestion that non-pathogenic bacteria may become pathogenic simply by the acquisition of plasmids encoding a CF and one of the toxins. Further support for this hypothesis can be derived from a recent study which demonstrated that the laboratory-adapted strain H10407, which played an undefined role in LT secretion (20). However, it is clear from the current study that leaA is not abundantly present within ETEC and numerous isolates possessing only the LT toxin were devoid of leaA, suggesting that it is not required for ETEC pathogenicity. Similarly, the relative rarity of the tua and tib loci suggest that their impact on ETEC-mediated disease is minimal. Comparative genomic analysis of the three ETEC strains for which genomic data are available supports these observations and furthermore demonstrates that no single gene is present within the chromosomal background which is not also present within commensal strains. These observations support the hypothesis that acquisition of a toxin and CF is sufficient for the emergence of a pathogenic ETEC strain. Nevertheless, it remains possible that these virulence factors perform roles in E. coli H10407 pathogenesis that are performed by functional analogues in other ETEC strains or that they contribute to the various degrees of disease severity which have been observed in infected individuals (50). However, it should be pointed out that the absence of chromosomally encoded virulence factors does not rule out the possibility that additional virulence factors, other than the CF and toxins, are encoded on the virulence-associated plasmids. In this respect, Froehlich et al. recently demonstrated the presence of loci encoding VirK and the serine protease autotransporter EatA on the large pCoo virulence plasmid, homologues of which have previously been implicated in the virulence of pathogenic E. coli (21, 25, 48).

The absence of conserved chromosomal antigens and the genetic variation in the chromosomal background of ETEC may explain the lack of complete protection against infection with ETEC possessing the same CF-toxin profiles. It was noted previously that that ETEC infection with a particular CF-toxin profile induced partial protection (47%) against strains with exactly the same CF-toxin profile and that the CFs and toxins did not contribute significantly to this protection (60, 64). The results presented here suggest that the lack of complete protection against strains with the same CF-toxin profile may be due to the fact that the strains responsible for subsequent infection possess genetically distinct backgrounds and therefore a different repertoire of antigens which are not recognized by the immune system. Such lack of cross protection and the genetic variation of ETEC strains pose significant problems for development of a broadly efficacious ETEC vaccine. Purified CFs, LT B subunits, inactivated whole cells, and live-attenuated organisms have all been investigated as potential vaccines (for reviews see references 6 and 50) but have met with only marginal success. If immunity to ETEC infection is based solely on common chromosomally encoded factors, ETEC vaccines will have to include a repertoire of different protective antigens from across the breadth of the E. coli lineage in order to be efficacious. The collection of strains examined in this study, which we refer to as the ETEC collection, represents an invaluable resource for such studies.

In conclusion we have demonstrated that ETEC strains have a polyphyletic origin, implying that the genetic background of ETEC strains is not conserved. ETEC is one of the last pathovars of E. coli to be sequenced, which has limited our understanding of factors other than the toxins and CFs which might be involved in virulence. With further completed ETEC genomes it is possible that new ETEC virulence genes will be identified. However, the diversity observed here suggests that efforts in the search for novel virulence factors and potential vaccine candidates should be concentrated on sequencing the virulence-associated plasmids of a wide range of isolates.
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