Discriminating between Varicella-Zoster Virus Vaccine and Wild-Type Strains by Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification

Yuki Higashimoto,1,4 Masaru Ihira,2 Akane Ohta,3 Shigeki Inoue,1 Chie Usui,4 Yoshizo Asano,3,4 and Tetsushi Yoshikawa3,4*

Department of Clinical Immunology, Fujita Health University School of Health Sciences,1 Department of Medical Information Technology, Fujita Health University College,2 21st Century COE Research Center, Fujita Health University,3 and Department of Pediatrics, Fujita Health University School of Medicine,4 Toyoake, Aichi, Japan

Received 3 February 2008/Returned for modification 17 May 2008/Accepted 2 June 2008

The loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method was developed to distinguish between the varicella-zoster virus (VZV) vaccine (vOka) strain and wild-type strains. Two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (nucleotide [nt] 105705 for VR-1 VZV LAMP and nt 106262 for VR-2 VZV LAMP) located in the open reading frame 62 gene were selected as LAMP targets. Amplified vOka DNA demonstrated a typical ladder pattern; however, no LAMP product was detected in reactions performed with DNAs from other human herpesviruses by either VR-1 VZV LAMP or VR-2 VZV LAMP. This result was confirmed by a turbidity assay. The sensitivities of both VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP determined by either the turbidity assay or agarose gel electrophoresis were 100 copies per reaction. To discriminate the vOka strain from wild-type strains, VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP products were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes (SacII for VR-1 LAMP and Smal for VR-2 LAMP). The digested products were clearly different in the vOka strain and wild-type strains. To evaluate the utility of the LAMP methods for rapid differentiation, viral DNA (without DNA extraction) in swab samples was directly tested. Wild-type VZV DNA was detected in 20 swab samples by either VR-1 VZV LAMP or VR-2 VZV LAMP. Sequence analysis confirmed the expected SNPs in the LAMP products amplified from the vOka strain and the five wild-type strains.

Varicella (chickenpox) is a common and highly contagious febrile exanthematous disease in childhood that is caused by primary infection with varicella-zoster virus (VZV). VZV can latently infect the dorsal root ganglia after primary infection and reactivate in immunocompromised patients and elderly individuals, resulting in shingles (zoster). Although varicella is generally a benign, self-limiting illness, primary VZV infection can cause severe systemic infection with potentially fatal outcomes in immunocompromised patients. A live attenuated varicella vaccine was originally developed by Takahashi et al. to prevent severe or fatal varicella in immunocompromised children (28). Subsequently, as the VZV Oka vaccine was shown to be safe and effective in healthy children (2, 3, 14), universal varicella immunization was initiated in 1995 in the United States. Moreover, the Oka vaccine (vOka) strain has been shown to prevent the virus reactivation that causes shingles in older individuals (23). Therefore, the vOka strain is also a current zoster vaccine in the United States.

Adverse reactions to the vaccine are rare and typically mild. The main adverse event following immunization is a skin rash, which occurs in 5% of healthy children (6). Molecular analysis of the VZV genome obtained from vesicular regions in these patients demonstrated that 60% of the viruses were wild-type VZV (26). Similar adverse events have also been demonstrated in recipients of the zoster vaccine (20, 30). Moreover, it has been reported that shingles occurs rarely in vaccinees, which might be caused by reactivation of a dormant vOka strain as an adverse reaction (10, 17). Therefore, if both varicella and zoster vaccines are widely used, distinguishing the vOka strain and the wild-type strain is very important for determining the frequency of VZV vaccine-related complications.

The previous method used to discriminate the vOka and wild-type strains was based on restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the viral genomes from isolated viruses (15). This method had limited general clinical use because the virus had to be isolated from the vesicular region. To solve this problem, PCR methods, which detect single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) mutations in open reading frame 38 (ORF38), ORF54, and ORF62, have been developed to distinguish the vOka and wild-type strains (1, 25). However, it has been shown that SNPs in ORF38 and ORF54 genes can discriminate the vOka strain from all wild-type strains except the Japanese genotype. Only the SNP in the ORF62 gene can differentiate between the vOka strain and all wild-type strains, including the Japanese genotype (7, 8, 18, 19). Recently, real-time PCR-based discrimination methods were introduced by three different institutes (4, 24, 29). Compared to conventional PCR, real-time PCR does not require a post-PCR step to detect the PCR products, reducing the contamination risk and creating a faster and easier detection assay.

Both PCR and real-time PCR require a thermal cycler to amplify target sequences. In particular, real-time PCR requires a special thermal cycler with precision optics that monitor the fluorescence emissions from sample wells. In contrast, the
loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method can amplify template DNA under isothermal conditions with efficiency and specificity as high as those of a nested double PCR (21). This remarkable ability allows the method to be performed with simple and cost-effective equipment, which is a significant advantage for small hospital laboratories (5, 11, 12, 22, 27, 31). The method can amplify template DNA within 1 h, and when swab samples are immersed in distilled water, LAMP can directly amplify target DNA without DNA extraction (5, 12). This is an additional advantage to establish an easy method to detect viral DNA. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to construct a rapid genotyping method to distinguish between the vOka and wild-type strains using the LAMP method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses and samples. A live attenuated varicella vaccine (Biken; lot BVZ0038), manufactured by the Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University, was used as the vOka strain. The Kawaguchi strain, which was a kind gift from the Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University, was used as a reference strain for the wild-type virus. Twenty swab samples (sample numbers 1 to 20) were collected from patients clinically diagnosed with varicella. Informed consent was obtained from the parents of all children. Specimens were collected from patients at the outpatient clinic of the Fujita Health University hospital, placed in 1 ml of sterilized water, and stored at −20°C until analysis was performed.

DNA extraction. Viral DNA was extracted from varicella vaccine fluid and Kawaguchi strain fluid using a Qiaamp blood kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA). The same DNA extraction kit was used to extract DNA from 200 μl of the five swab samples, which were used for sequence analysis. After extraction, the DNA was eluted in 100 μl of buffer and stored at −20°C.

Primer design. To develop a LAMP method that could discriminate the vOka strain from wild-type strains, two SNPs (nucleotides [nt] 105705 and 106262) located in the ORF62 gene were selected as targets with unique restriction endonuclease sites based on sequence data published by Gomi et al. (8). To amplify the target sequences, including two different SNPs, primers were designed from published sequences (GenBank accession number NC_001348) using Primer Explorer v3 software (Fujitsu, Tokyo, Japan). The location and sequence of each primer in the target DNA are shown in Fig. 1.

LAMP. Two different LAMP systems were designed: (i) VR-1 VZV LAMP, targeting SNP 105705, and (ii) VR-2 VZV LAMP, targeting SNP 106262. The LAMP reaction was performed using the Loopamp DNA amplification kit (Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each LAMP reaction mixture (25 μl of sample. This mixture was incubated at 20°C until analysis was performed.

Restriction endonuclease analysis. In VR-1 VZV LAMP, LAMP products amplified from the vOka strain contained two SacII sites at gene position 105705, and (ii) VR-2 VZV LAMP, targeting SNP 106262. The LAMP reaction was performed using the Loopamp DNA amplification kit (Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each LAMP reaction mixture (25 μl of sample. This mixture was incubated at 20°C until analysis was performed.

LAMP. Two different LAMP systems were designed: (i) VR-1 VZV LAMP, targeting SNP 105705, and (ii) VR-2 VZV LAMP, targeting SNP 106262. The LAMP reaction was performed using the Loopamp DNA amplification kit (Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each LAMP reaction mixture (25 μl of sample. This mixture was incubated at 20°C until analysis was performed.

Direct sequencing of purified LAMP products amplified from the vOka strain and five wild-type isolates was performed using the BigDye terminator cycle-sequencing kit and Prism 3100-Avant (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The LAMP products were purified using a PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and then sequenced. The purified LAMP products were sequenced using F2 primers (VR-1VZVLAMP products, F2 [5′-GTGTTCTCGTCCTCCT-3′], VR-2 VZV LAMP products, F2 [5′-GGGAGCTCTTGCTCCTGACGC C-3′]) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The viral sequences were compared using the Clustal W computer programs (DNA Data Bank of Japan).

RESULTS

Specificities and sensitivities of LAMP methods. To evaluate the specificities of VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP, each LAMP reaction was performed on DNA extracted from the vOka strain and seven human herpesviruses (herpes simplex virus type 1 [HSV-1] and HSV-2, cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, human herpesvirus 6, human herpesvirus 7, and human herpesvirus 8). As shown in Fig. 2, amplified vOka DNA showed a typical ladder pattern. However, no LAMP product was detected in reactions performed with DNAs from other human herpesviruses by either VR-1 VZV LAMP or VR-2 VZV LAMP. This result was confirmed by a turbidity assay (Fig. 2). To determine the sensitivities of the VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP methods, serially diluted plasmids (pGEM VZVR-1 and pGEMVZVR-2) containing the target DNAs were used to define the detection limit. The sensitivities of both VR-1 VZV LAMP and VR-2 VZV LAMP determined by either the turbidity assay or agarose gel electrophoresis were 100 copies per reaction (Fig. 3).

Distinguishing between the vOka strain and the wild-type strain. In VR-1 VZV LAMP, products amplified from the vOka strain and the wild-type strain were predicted to have two or one SacII site in the loop primer, respectively. As expected, the typical ladder pattern disappeared in the LAMP products after SacII digestion, and the digested band sizes were clearly different in the vOka strain and the Kawaguchi strain or wild-type isolate (Fig. 4). Meanwhile, in VR-2 LAMP, it was predicted that the LAMP product amplified from the vOka strain, but not the wild-type strain, would have a Smal site. As expected, after Smal digestion, the typical ladder pattern disappeared in the vOka strain LAMP product but remained in the LAMP products of both the Kawaguchi strain and the wild-type isolate (Fig. 4).

Evaluation of clinical applications. Because of its high amplification efficiency, the LAMP method has the capacity to amplify viral DNA directly without DNA extraction. In order to evaluate the utility of the LAMP methods for rapid differentiation, viral DNA was directly tested from swab samples. Five microliters each of 20 swab samples was (without DNA extraction) used to amplify VZV DNA by both the VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP methods. LAMP products were directly detected in all 20 swab samples by turbidity assay. Then, the 20 amplified products were used in restriction endonuclease analysis. All clinical samples showed the wild-type digested patterns of both VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP. To confirm the
SNPs detected in the LAMP products, LAMP products amplified from the vOka strain and the five wild-type strains were sequenced. As expected, the appropriate SNPs were found in the LAMP products amplified from the vOka strain and the five wild-type strains. The SacII site upstream of the F1 sequence was missing in the wild-type VR-1 VZV LAMP products because the site was replaced by the loop primer sequence (LPF), as shown in Fig. 1A.

DISCUSSION

Genetically discriminating the vOka strain and wild-type VZV has become important because of universal varicella vaccine immunization and general use of the zoster vaccine in the United States. A real-time PCR method was recently developed to distinguish between the strains (11, 12, 23), eliminating the need for a postamplification step. Thus, real-time PCR is currently considered an appropriate method for high-throughput VZV genotyping in central laboratories. However, wild-type VZV or vOka vaccine strains can spread in pediatric wards. Moreover, VZV infection in immunocompromised patients causes atypical clinical features. In these settings, rapid detection and genotyping of VZV is required. Thus, if a small number of samples have to be analyzed immediately to control a hospital infection (9, 16), detecting and genotyping VZV DNA by the LAMP method is an additional option that can easily be performed in each hospital laboratory.

Although both the VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP methods amplified VZV DNA, no amplification was observed with seven other human herpesvirus DNAs (Fig. 2). The detection limits of these two different LAMP methods were 100 copies per reaction, which is higher than that of the previously re-
ported VZV LAMP method (22). Although the turbidity assay is generally less sensitive than agarose gel electrophoresis, the detection limits of the turbidity assay were the same as those of agarose gel electrophoresis in this study. These findings demonstrate that both the VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP methods amplify VZV DNA with specificity and efficiency. To detect viral DNA from the clinical sample, only the turbidity assay should be carried out to save time in the examination. Moreover, as suggested with the HSV LAMP method (5) and the human herpesvirus 6 LAMP method (12), the present study demonstrated that VZV DNA could be directly amplified from swab samples. This is a remarkable advantage for developing an easy and cost-effective genotyping procedure because the DNA extraction step, which is time-consuming and requires a DNA extraction kit, can be avoided. Although we found that the direct LAMP method was slightly less sensitive than the original LAMP method using extracted DNA (5, 12), the direct LAMP method is sufficient to detect VZV DNA in swab samples from vesicular skin regions containing high copy numbers of viral DNA (13).

According to recent sequence analysis of the vOka strain and other, wild-type strains, the SNPs in the ORF62 gene are useful to discriminate between the vOka strain and wild-type strains, including the Japanese genotype (8, 18). Loparev et al. recently demonstrated that four vOka SNPs (nt 105705, 106262, 107252, and 108111) that are located in the ORF62 gene were consistently found in all 21 clinical isolates collected from postvaccination skin rashes caused by the vOka strain (19). Therefore, two (nt 105705 and 106262) of the four SNPs, which are recognized by unique restriction endonucleases (SacII and SmaI), were selected for developing the LAMP-based genotyping procedure in this study. In VR-1 VZV
LAMP, the SNP is located in the loop primer, making the band size after SacII digestion of the LAMP product difficult to predict. Nonetheless, the patterns of the digested bands were clearly different in the vOka strain and the wild-type strains (Fig. 4). In VR-2 LAMP, although the LAMP products amplified from the wild-type strains were not digested with SmaI, the LAMP product amplified from the vOka strain was digested with SmaI, producing the predicted band sizes (112, 121, 137, and 139 bp) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the characteristic SNP was discovered in each LAMP product by sequence analyses. These findings suggest that both the VR-1 VZV LAMP and the VR-2 VZV LAMP methods are reliable for distinguishing the vOka strain and the wild-type strains. Therefore, this LAMP method is a new option for rapid genotyping to discriminate the vOka strain and wild-type strains.

In summary, this study demonstrated that both VR-1 and VR-2 VZV LAMP are reliable methods to distinguish the vOka strain and wild-type strains. Either of the two methods could be used to test the clinical samples. This method is a valuable alternative to VZV genotyping. As the LAMP method can be performed with cost-effective equipment and can amplify VZV DNA directly (without DNA extraction), the method will be useful in small hospital laboratories.
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