Identification of Spirochetes Associated with Contagious Ovine Digital Dermatitis\(^V\)
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Contagious ovine digital dermatitis (C.O.O.D.D.) is a disease of the ovine hoof which results in acute, severe lameness (Fig. 1). In contrast to virulent footrot, which is characterized clinically by lesions involving the heel and the interdigital area, C.O.O.D.D. is characterized by ulcerative lesions of the coronary band which progress and result in disruption of the abaxial wall lining the hoof and loss of the horn case in untreated cases (1, 9, 11). The causative agent of C.O.O.D.D. is unknown; however, spirochetes have been associated with clinical cases of C.O.O.D.D. (2, 3, 9). While evidence of C.O.O.D.D. in Ireland is sparse, with only one documented case (3), anecdotal evidence from sheep herds highlighting persistent “incurable” footrot and ineffective vaccine strategies suggest that C.O.O.D.D. may be prevalent and being incorrectly diagnosed as virulent footrot.

Two geographically distinct lowland sheep farms with persistent flock lameness problems were identified. Charcoal anaerobic swabs were used to take samples from 10 crossbred sheep with acute lesions of the coronary band. Swab buds were buried deep in the lesion within the broken skin-horn junction and transported immediately to the laboratory. Samples were cultured from 7 of 10 cases of digital dermatitis in sheep. Two cultures comprised *Treponema phagedenis*-like and *Treponema medium/Treponema vincentii*-like spirochetes, respectively, while the remaining cultures comprised mixed populations of *Treponema medium/Treponema vincentii*-like, *Treponema phagedenis*-like, and *Treponema denticola/Treponema putidum*-like organisms. (Fig. 2 A, B, and C). For SEM, an aliquot of spirochetes containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Sorensen phosphate buffer (pH 7.3) was incubated at room temperature for 1 h and centrifuged and the pellet postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M Sorensen phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 h at room temperature and washed twice. The pellet was dispersed in water and applied to a poly-L-lysine-coated glass microscope slide. Samples were embedded in Epon resin using standard methods, and ultrathin (80 nm) sections were cut using a diamond knife and a Leica UC6 ultramicrotome, picked up on 200-mesh copper grids, and contrasted with uranyl acetate (20 min) and lead citrate (10 min). Sections were examined in a Tecnai 12 BioTwin TEM (FEI Electron Optics, The Netherlands) using an acceleration voltage of 120 kV and an objective aperture of 20 μm. Digital images at various magnifications were acquired with a MegaView 3 camera (Soft Imaging Systems, Germany). The basic defining features of treponemes were evident: a helical shape, outer and inner membranes, and approximately five flagellar filaments located in the periplasmic space (Fig. 2A, B, and C) (7).

Cultured spirochete species were also typed at the molecular level. Briefly, genomic DNA was extracted from 250 μl of cultured broth using a DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, United Kingdom) and stored at −20°C until use. The 16S rRNA genes and 16S–23S rRNA gene intergenic spacer region were amplified, sequenced, and analyzed for species identification (10). Typing of mixed cultures was implemented with a PCR method used to identify the association of *Treponema medium/Treponema vincentii*-like, *Treponema phagedenis-
like, and *Treponema denticola/Treponema putidum*-like DD treponemes with bovine DD lesions, which uses species-specific primers located within the 16S rRNA gene (6, 6a). All seven cultures of spirochetes were typed within the genus *Treponema* (Table 1). Two of seven samples were pure cultures of *T. phagedenis*-like (100% homology to the sequence deposited in GenBank under accession number EF057411) and *T. medium/T. vincentii*-like (99.4% homology to the sequence deposited in GenBank under accession number EF061252) DD treponemes (data not shown). Mixed cultures included various permutations of the *T. medium/T.vincentii*-like, *T. phagedenis*-like, and *T. denticola/T. putidum*-like DD treponemes, as shown in Table 1.

In this study, treponemes were identified in 70% of CODD lesions, similar to the results of a study by Moore et al. (8). Negative culture results should be interpreted carefully in light of the fastidious nature of treponemes in culture and the variability in swab sampling techniques, leading to potential false-negative results. *T. phagedenis*-like and *T. medium/T. vincentii*-like species have also been previously associated with DD in cattle (4, 10; N. J. Evans et al., submitted). This suggests that these treponemes are associated with DD in both cattle and sheep, highlighting the potential for interspecies transmission, as noted in previous studies (2, 5). This transmission potential raises specific disease control and biosecurity issues for multi-enterprise farming and may account for the inability of some enterprises to achieve lameness-free status in cases where alternate housing units and grazing of paddocks were used for sheep and cattle as a means of disease control. The two farms investigated in this study were combined cattle and sheep enterprises.

This study has identified spirochetes in clinical cases of DD in sheep and has characterized these spirochetes as belonging to the genus *Treponema*. A definitive population of culturable spirochetes from DD lesions in cattle and sheep is emerging.
and an immune response to spirochete infection in sheep has been observed (5). In order to develop potential immunoprophylactic control measures for DD, the nature, extent, and specificity of this immune response will need to be determined in both cattle and sheep.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Partial 16S rRNA gene sequences for isolates designated Mayo A and Wicklow 8 (Table 1) have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers FM210038 and FM210039, respectively.
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### TABLE 1. Genetic analysis of cultured spirochetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Group-specific&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; PCR result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayo A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicklow 2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicklow 3</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicklow 4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicklow 5</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicklow 6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicklow 8</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Sample Mayo A was 100% homologous to *T. phagedenis*-like spirochetes (comparable to the sequence deposited in GenBank under accession no. EF057411), and Wicklow 8 was 99.4% homologous to *T. medium/T. vincentii*-like spirochetes (comparable to the sequence deposited in GenBank under accession no. EF061252). The remaining samples were mixed cultures.

<sup>b</sup> Group 1, *Treponema medium/T. vincentii*-like spirochetes; group 2, *T. phagedenis*-like spirochetes; group 3, *T. denticola/T. putidum*-like spirochetes. +, present; −, absent.
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