Serological and Molecular Diagnosis of Hepatitis Delta Virus Infection: Results of a French National Quality Control Study
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A French national quality control study for the serological and molecular diagnosis of hepatitis delta virus (HDV) was organized. Total HDV antibodies were properly detected by all laboratories; 8/14 laboratories failed to detect low titer of IgM, and 6/11 failed to quantify and/or underestimated the RNA viral load in several samples. These discrepancies are likely related to the molecular diversity of HDV.

Hepatitis delta virus (HDV) is a satellite of the hepatitis B virus (HBV). The HDV genome is composed of a small negative single-stranded RNA (1,679 to 1,697 nucleotides [nt]) with a rod-like structure that is related to an extensive intramolecular complementarity. This genome is closely associated to the two isoforms of the delta protein, the small (s) and the large (L) HDV antigens (Ag), and it forms a ribonucleoprotein enveloped by the HBV surface antigen (HBsAg).

Eight genotypes (HDV-1 to -8) and several subgenotypes have been described and are defined by nucleotide divergences of >20% and >10%, respectively, considering the complete genome sequence (1, 2; E. Gordien, presented at the European Association for the Study of the Liver Monothematic Conference, 24 to 26 September 2010, Istanbul, Turkey). Due to population migrations, most genotypes circulate worldwide and especially in France (4–7; S. Brichler, F. Le Gal, W. Mansour, S. Chevret, D. Roulot, and E. Gordien, presented at the 63rd Annual Meeting of the AASLD, Boston, MA, 9 to 13 November 2012).

HBV/HDV co- or superinfection leads to more severe liver disease than does HBV infection alone. HDV diagnosis relies on detection of total anti-HDV antibodies (Abs). Anti-HDV IgM Abs usually persist in patients who are chronically infected with HDV. Some authors consider anti-HDV IgM Abs a surrogate marker of HDV replication (9); however, they may be lacking in some African patients (reference 10 and E. Gordien, personal observations). Therefore, HDV RNA detection/quantification is currently the only accurate diagnostic tool for confirming HDV replication status and allowing for the optimal management of infected patients (11, 12).

Commercial tests for HDV serology have been available for many years and are used routinely worldwide. Currently, a few commercial tests are available for HDV RNA quantification, but they perform poorly, at least for non-genotype 1 HDV samples (13). Numerous in-house assays have been developed with very different protocols (11, 14–20). To our knowledge, these assays have not been evaluated on a large panel of clinical samples of various genotypes and viral loads (VL).

In 2012, the French National Reference Center for HDV (F-NRC) at Avicenne Hospital (Bobigny, France) organized an unprecedented French national quality control (FNQC) study for the diagnosis of HDV infection by serology and molecular biology. A total of 28 laboratories participated in this study, including 22 university hospital laboratories, 2 private laboratories, and 4 foreign laboratories (from Greece, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and the United States). They performed total HDV Ab (n = 24) and/or IgM Ab (n = 14) detection and/or HDV RNA viral load (VL) quantification (n = 11), which are performed routinely for their patients, using either in-house or commercial assays. The serology panel consisted of 1 negative sample and 3 undiluted samples from blood donors, selected for their strong or weak reactivity, after 3 different experiments performed with our commercial assays (DiaSorin, Antony, France). The molecular biology panel consisted of 11 plasma samples of various genotypes and VL, including 3 samples with genotype HDV-1 Europe/Asia (Eu/As), 3 with genotype HDV-1 Africa (Afr), 2 with genotype HDV-5, 1 with genotype HDV-6, 1 with genotype HDV-7, and 1 with genotype HDV-8, and a negative control (Table 1). Quantification was performed blindly 3 times by different technicians in our lab using a consensus real-time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) assay described elsewhere (11). The mean of the 3 values was considered the expected value for each sample (Table 1). Strains were genotyped by direct sequencing of the amplicon of the R0 region (nt 889 to 1289) exactly as previously described (1).

The samples were sent in dry ice by an accredited transporter to the participating laboratories according to regulatory standards for the distribution of infected samples. Commercial assays were used by the labs for total and/or IgM Ab detection: DiaSorin (Antony, France) (n = 20), Adaltis (Rome, Italy) (n = 2), or Diagnostic BioProbes (Milan, Italy) (n =...
TABLE 1 Serological and molecular biological results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>HDV Ab</th>
<th>Expected result</th>
<th>Concordance (n/n [%])</th>
<th>Molecular biological results</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Expected result (log10 copies/ml)</th>
<th>Concordance (n/n [%])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24/24 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive (weak)</td>
<td>6/14 (43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24/24 (100)</td>
<td>1 HDV-1 Eu/As</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>6/11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>14/14 (100)</td>
<td>2 HDV-1 Eu/As</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>8/11 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24/24 (100)</td>
<td>3 HDV-1 Eu/As</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>6/11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive (weak)</td>
<td>9/14 (64)</td>
<td>4 HDV-1 Afr</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>6/11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>24/24 (100)</td>
<td>5 HDV-1 Afr</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>6/11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>14/14 (100)</td>
<td>6 HDV-1 Afr</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>6/11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 HDV-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>5/11 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 HDV-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>7/11 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 HDV-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>7/11 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 HDV-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>6/11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 HDV-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>5/11 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 Negative control</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>9/11 (82)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2). DiaSorin (n = 14) was used for IgM detection. No discrepancies were found for total Ab detection, whatever the test used (Table 1). The negative control (sample D) and the sample with a high IgM Ab titer (sample B) were correctly identified by all the labs. However, the samples with low IgM Ab titers (samples A and C) were identified as negative by 8 and 5 labs, respectively. These results strengthen arguments for the use of HDV RNA as the sole reliable replication marker.

According to the data provided, 10 laboratories used an in-house assay based on real-time RT-PCR protocols for HDV RNA quantification, and the remaining one used the commercial Roche LightMix kit (Meylan, France). Primers and probes were located in the hepatitis delta antigen (HDAg), the ribozymes, or the inter-ribozyme coding regions. The labs used either an RNA or a DNA standard to quantify HDV viremia. The amount of extracted sample and the declared lower limits of quantification (LLOQ), ranging from 40 to 1,000 copies/ml, were also different across the assays. It is noteworthy that 2 labs used the same assay that the F-NRC used.

Overall results are shown in Table 1. Detailed results for each sample are shown in Fig. 1. Two laboratories found a positive signal for the negative sample (sample 12), raising the problem of specificity for these assays and the interpretation of very weak signals obtained in the real-time RT-PCR assays.

Moreover, 5 laboratories failed to detect one or several positive samples. Six laboratories underestimated several samples by >1 log copy/ml, and among them, 3 labs underquantified all the samples. These results were obtained whatever the genotype of the infecting strain. As described elsewhere (13), poor results were obtained with the commercial test (LightMix, Roche).

Overall, 5 laboratories found results similar to those of the F-NRC (less than ±1 log copy/ml). Interestingly, all but one lab used primers and probes designed in the same conserved ribozyme region of the HDV genome, and the other lab used primers and probes designed in the antigen-coding region.

This FNQC study provided an overview and a comparison of the performances of the different HDV tests, including those of labs outside France. Commercial total anti-HDV Ab enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits seem to be the tools of choice for HDV screening in HBsAg-positive patients. IgM anti-HDV Ab assays may fail to detect low titers of Abs and thus should not be used to evaluate HDV replication status. Therefore, HDV RNA, which provides accurate detection/quantification, should be considered the main tool for guiding patient management.

Previous work in our lab shed light on the importance of HDV genetic variability (13). In France, 40% of the spreading strains belong to HDV-1 Eu/As, 35% belong to HDV-1 Afr, and 25% belong to HDV-5 to -8; HDV-2, -3, and -4 remain very low in our cohort of patients. This same epidemiological situation may be true for other European countries experiencing migration of populations from Africa and Asia, which are areas of endemicity, although HDV-1
remains largely predominant and ubiquitous (4–7, 17, 20–22). However, in this study, we demonstrated that even HDV-1 Eu/As strain VL could not be properly quantified by all labs. A thorough verification of primer/probe design is necessary because extensive sequence alignments have shown mismatches that can predict quantification failure for some samples (F. Le Gal, unpublished data).

Considering available data provided by the participants, other technical points may explain some discrepant results but were not assessed in the present study; some protocols lack an internal control to validate all steps from extraction to amplification. Therefore, for some labs, we cannot exclude specific technical problems affecting particular samples. Additionally, some steps are performed manually, raising the question of the reproducibility of results, although we did not look at that issue in the present study.

Either cDNA or RNA samples were used as quantification standards; thus, precise comparisons between the tests were difficult in the absence of an international standard at the time of this study. This issue will be greatly improved with the now-available WHO HDV RNA standard (see www.who.int/biologicals/expert_committee/BS_2227_HDV_RNA.pdf). Nevertheless, this study did show that comparable results (coefficient of variation, <20%) can be obtained with different assays (data not shown).

The management of an HDV-infected patient relies on the accurate estimation of HDV replication. Indeed, a false-negative HDV VL result can lead to (i) misdiagnosis and failure to identify an HDV-replicating patient, (ii) poor management with under-treatment, and (iii) failure to detect early relapse (12, 13).

In conclusion, we advise that HDV VL be monitored only in reference virology centers using well-evaluated techniques. Also, there is a need for international comparative studies comprising samples of all genotypes and subgenotypes spreading worldwide. Indeed, all efforts to improve and standardize quantification assays should be continued, including the use of the newly available WHO HDV RNA standard, with the goal of forwarding collaborative clinical studies and, ultimately, optimizing patient management.
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