Cervical and Vaginal Flora Specimens Are Highly Concordant with Respect to Bacterial Vaginosis-Associated Organisms and Commensal Lactobacillus Species in Women of Reproductive Age
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Matched vaginal and cervical specimens from 96 subjects were analyzed by quantitative PCR for the presence and concentration of bacterial vaginosis-associated microbes and commensal Lactobacillus spp. Detection of these microbes was 92% concordant, indicating that microbial floras at these body sites are generally similar.

The vaginal microbiome plays an important role in female reproductive tract health. The vaginal microbiome of healthy women generally falls into one of five categories, four of which are dominated by a single lactobacillus species (Lactobacillus crispatus, L. gasseri, L. iners, or L. jensenii) and the fifth of which is characterized by diverse anaerobic and facultative species (1). This final group has traditionally been associated with bacterial vaginosis (BV), a disease characterized by vaginal discharge and odor (2), as well as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) transmission (3), Trichomonas vaginalis infection (4), and preterm labor (5). Although less well studied, the cervical microbiome may arguably be of greater relevance, as the cervix is the site of infection by numerous pathogens, such as HIV, human papillomavirus (HPV), Chlamydia trachomatis, and Neisseria gonorrhoeae. A recent study found that a lactobacillus-dominated cervical microbiome is inversely associated with the prevalence of HIV, herpes simplex virus type 2, and high-risk human papillomavirus (6). Studies evaluating the cervicovaginal flora have variously sampled the cervix, the vagina, or both sites simultaneously. Therefore, it is of general interest to comparatively analyze the flora at these sites to determine if results generated from sampling the vagina can be generalized to the cervix and vice versa. For example, the cervical transformation zone is enriched in T cells and antigen-presenting cells compared to the vagina (7), and thus, differential immune responses at these sites could impact the composition of their respective microbiomes. To our knowledge, only two prior studies have addressed this issue (8, 9), and although informative, these studies analyzed small subject cohorts and utilized semiquantitative methodology. Our goal in this study was to quantitatively analyze the cervical and vaginal floras with respect to lactobacillus species as well as microbes associated with abnormal floras, such as BV. Therefore, subjects were recruited who were either complaining of vaginitis symptoms (e.g., itching, discharge or odor) or attending for well visits or routine care. Subject information was collected by having subjects complete a questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy and menopausal or postmenopausal status, as these conditions are known to influence the composition of the vaginal flora (10, 11). In addition, patients were excluded if they were positive for Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, or Trichomonas vaginalis, as these infections can provoke cervical and/or vaginal inflammation, which could confound immunological analysis of BV. In this study, we analyzed separate vaginal and cervical specimens from 96 subjects. Subject ages ranged from 18 to 54 years, with an average of 31.4 years. Race was self-reported; 44 subjects (46%) were Caucasian, 43 (45%) were African American, 9 (9.4%) were Hispanic, 1 was Asian (1.0%), and 1 was “other” (1.0%). Patients were diagnosed for BV using the following signs and symptoms: (i) vaginal discharge, (ii) odor, (iii) elevated vaginal pH, and (iv) the presence of clue cells (exfoliated vaginal epithelial cells with attached bacteria) (i.e., Amsel’s criteria) (12). Twenty-four subjects (25%) were diagnosed as having BV (3 or 4 Amsel’s criteria), 21 subjects (22%) neither had BV nor were completely healthy (1 or 2 Amsel’s criteria), and 51 subjects (53%) were healthy (0 Amsel’s criteria). Specimens were collected with OneSwab collection devices (Medical Diagnostic Laboratories). After a vaginal speculum was placed and the cervix was visualized, the os was swabbed. Immediately thereafter, a second swab was taken from the left or right midvaginal sidewall. These samples were stored at 4°C overnight and shipped to Medical Diagnostic Laboratories, where they were stored at −20°C until analyzed. DNA was extracted from OneSwab transport medium by...
TABLE 1 Concordance for detection of microbial genomic DNA in matched specimens from 96 subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>No. (%) of specimens</th>
<th>Concordant</th>
<th>Discordant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Cer&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vag&lt;sup&gt;-&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. vaginalis</td>
<td>82 (85)</td>
<td>34 (35)</td>
<td>48 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. vaginae</td>
<td>91 (95)</td>
<td>28 (29)</td>
<td>63 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVAB2</td>
<td>90 (94)</td>
<td>19 (20)</td>
<td>71 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megasphaera spp.</td>
<td>91 (95)</td>
<td>26 (27)</td>
<td>65 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. crispatus</td>
<td>90 (94)</td>
<td>23 (24)</td>
<td>67 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. gasseri</td>
<td>89 (93)</td>
<td>6 (6.3)</td>
<td>83 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. jensenii</td>
<td>91 (95)</td>
<td>8 (8.3)</td>
<td>83 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. iners</td>
<td>78 (81)</td>
<td>40 (39)</td>
<td>38 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>705 (92)</td>
<td>188 (24)</td>
<td>517 (67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>*</sup>Cer, cervical specimen; Vag, vaginal specimen.

The frequent cocolonization of the cervix and vagina with the same microbes is not surprising in light of the essentially continuous nature of the lower female reproductive tract. Interestingly, only two of the eight microbes assayed—G. vaginalis and BVAB2—were correlated with respect to quantity at the two sites. Studies of G. vaginalis have found that virulent strains are superior to commensal strains in their ability to adhere to both vaginal (15) and cervical (16) epithelium, which may contribute to the correlated concentrations we observed at these sites. In addition, G. vaginalis has a highly diverse population structure (17), and cocolonization with multiple strains is common (18); it is possible that one strain predominates at the cervix and the other in the vagina. BVAB2 and vaginal Megasphaera spp. have not yet been cultured in the laboratory, so their adherence properties are unknown; although A. vaginae has been cultured, relevant studies are also lacking. With respect to lactobacillus, a study of human vaginal isolates found that they varied greatly in their ability to adhere to vaginal epithelial cells (19). In addition, an adhesin has been identified in L. crispatus that specifically mediates adherence to stratified squamous epithelium (including vaginal epithelium) but not to columnar epithelium (which constitutes the cervical epithelium), and its expression is strain specific (20). Therefore, we speculate that some strains of lactobacillus may be differentially able to colonize the two sites, resulting in the poor correlation we observed.

One major possible confounding factor in this study is contamination. One trivial explanation for similarity between cervical and vaginal flora is that cross-contamination occurred during sampling. Based upon physical anatomy, it is highly unlikely that a vaginal specimen would be contaminated with cervical flora; in contrast, it is more plausible than a cervical specimen could come in contact with the vaginal wall after sampling, resulting in contamination with vaginal flora. There are several observations that lead us to reject cross-contamination as the most likely explanation for our observations. First, of the 63 instances of discordance, 29 of them (46%) were positive cervical specimens and negative vaginal specimens, which cannot be explained by contamination of cervical specimens with vaginal flora. Second, we chose to analyze specimens which were concordant for multiple organisms and compared the concentration, as we would expect to observe a consistently higher concentration in vaginal specimens if systematic contamination occurred. However, among 52 such subjects, we found that for 24 of them (46%), at least one microbe was present at a higher concentration in the cervical specimen than in the vaginal specimen. Again, this pattern argues against cross-contamination as an explanation for the cervical-vaginal concor-
dance. However, future studies could consider the utilization of sham cervical samples as a control for cross-contamination.

With respect to prior studies examining cervical and vaginal flora, Kim et al. analyzed a cohort of eight subjects, from whom samples were obtained from the cervix and several vaginal sites using lavage, swabbing, and scraping, and PCR amplified 16S rRNA genes, generated amplicon libraries, and sequenced them to evaluate microbial community composition (8). Their results were broadly similar to ours, in that the same microbes, such as Lactobacillus spp., Pseudomonas spp., and G. vaginalis, were detected at the cervix and vagina in the same subjects but their concentrations varied greatly. The method used in that study was advantageous in that it is unbiased in detection; however, it is only semiquantitative and failed to resolve the lactobacillus detected to the species level. Another study, using DNA hybridization, analyzed cervical and vaginal specimens from 12 patients and also
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**FIG 1** Quantity of PCR target copies measured in matched cervical and vaginal specimens. *P* values are displayed for significant correlations. Due to the log scale, discordant samples (where one sample has a value of zero) are not displayed.
found that microbes such as *G. vaginalis*, *A. vaginae*, and *L. iners* were present in both specimens from the same patients (9). Again, quantitative PCR is superior to DNA hybridization with respect to sensitivity and quantitation. In summary, utilizing quantitative PCR methods to analyze a series of BV-associated and commensal lactobacillus species, we found a high overall degree of concordance with respect to colonization, although the quantities of a particular microbe detected at both sites varied substantially. With respect to diagnostic testing for the microbes in question, cervical and vaginal specimens are generalizable with respect to particular microbe detected at both sites. However, quantitative methodology may require independent validation for cervical and vaginal specimens.
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