Blastomyces Antigen Detection for Diagnosis and Management of Blastomycosis
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Blastomyces spp. antigen testing was evaluated over a 10-year period in an area where blastomycosis is endemic. Antigen testing was less sensitive than previously reported, but serial urine testing was useful in monitoring disease resolution or progression. Culture and cytopathology remain the gold standard for diagnosis and exclusion of this infection.

Blastomycosis is a serious and potentially fatal fungal infection. Blastomycosis may be asymptomatic or it can present as isolated pulmonary disease, disseminated disease (pulmonary and extrapulmonary infection), or extrapulmonary disease with manifestations in the central nervous system, bone, skin, or other locations (1–11).

Culture and cytopathology are the gold standard for the diagnosis of blastomycosis. However, a variety of other diagnostic tests, including antigen testing, antibody testing, and PCR, are commercially available (8, 12–22). Given that identification from culture may not be evident for 2 to 4 weeks and that it often requires invasive procedures to obtain specimens, there is great interest in using antigen enzyme immunoassays (EIA) for rapid, noninvasive diagnosis and monitoring of disease progression or resolution (2, 4, 8, 19).

We evaluated the use of Blastomyces urine, serum, and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid antigen assays for the diagnosis of blastomycosis and the effects of treatment on the clearance of antigenuria at the Marshfield Clinic from 1995 to 2015. Marshfield Clinic is located in Wisconsin where blastomycosis is endemic (1, 3, 6, 9, 23–28). Research protocols were approved by the Marshfield Clinic Institutional Review Board. Waiver of informed consent was obtained.

Patients were included if blastomycosis was confirmed by culture or cytopathology and if urine, serum, or BAL fluid antigen EIA was completed at the time of diagnosis or within 30 days of starting antifungal medication. Blastomycosis was confirmed by culture or cytopathology at Marshfield Labs using conventional techniques. Commercially available blastomycosis antigen EIA was performed at the MiraVista Diagnostics reference laboratory at the time of specimen collection using qualitative EIA prior to March 2011 or quantitative EIA thereafter. EIA results are available within 24 h of sample submission (13, 14, 16). All specimens were obtained as part of routine clinical evaluations. Retrospective chart review was completed for all patients.

Data were abstracted into Excel 2010, and statistical analysis was completed using SAS 9.3. Categorical data were compared using the χ² test or the Fisher exact test. Continuous variables were compared using Wilcoxon rank sum, Kruskal-Wallis, or analysis of variance (ANOVA). Correlation for serial urine antigen testing was measured using Spearman’s coefficient. Significance was defined as a P of <0.05.

Patients with quantitative antigen EIA results were reported as negative, positive below the limit of quantification (<0.2 ng/ml), positive and quantifiable (0.2 to 14.7 ng/ml), or positive above the limit of quantification (>14.8 ng/ml). For the graphing of serial antigen studies, negative values were defined as 0 ng/ml, values below the limit of quantification were defined as 0.01 ng/ml, and values above the limit of quantification were defined as 14.8 ng/ml. Spearman correlations were calculated using quantified values only.

Sixty-seven patients met inclusion criteria. Serial urine antigen testing was completed in 19 patients. Urine antigen testing was completed in 59 patients, serum antigen testing was completed in 18 patients, and BAL fluid antigen testing was completed in 8 patients. A combination of urine, serum, and/or BAL fluid testing was completed in 14 patients. The mean age of patients tested was 37 ± 21 years. There was one death from blastomycosis in the cohort.

Blastomycosis antigen EIA had lower sensitivity than that which has been previously reported. Sensitivity data are shown in Table 1. Though urine sensitivity has been reported to be as high as 93% (12–14), and BAL fluid and serum sensitivity have been reported to be as high as 82% (12–14, 16, 17, 29), only 76.3% (45/59) of our patients had antigenuria, 55.6% (10/18) had antigenemia, and 62.5% (5/8) had positive BAL fluid results. Only 8/10 (80%) patients who had urine and serum antigen testing completed had at least one positive result; thus, the combination of urine and serum testing did not improve sensitivity significantly. This emphasizes the need to confirm negative antigen findings with culture and cytopathology. There were no significant differences between the sensitivities of urine, serum, or BAL fluid antigen testing (P = 0.203).

As has been previously described, antigen testing was most sensitive in patients with isolated pulmonary disease (12–14). Urine antigen testing was positive in 45/59 (76.3%) patients with isolated pulmonary infection, 2/3 (66.7%) patients with disseminated pulmonary disease, and 0/3 (0%) patients with extrapulmonary disease.
TABLE 1 Differences in sensitivity by sample type and location of infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample source by location and type of infection</th>
<th>No. positive/no. tested (% sensitivity) for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isolated pulmonary</td>
<td>Urine(^a) Serum(^b) BAL fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disseminated</td>
<td>43/52 (82.7) 9/14 (64.3) 5/8 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapulmonary</td>
<td>2/3 (66.7) 1/3 (33.4) 0/0 (NA(^c))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45/59 (76.3) 10/18 (55.6) 5/8 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Urine and serum (any positive)                | 8/10 (80.0)                                |

\(^a\) No significant difference between sensitivity of urine, serum, or BAL fluid testing using Fischer’s exact test (\(P = 0.203\)).

\(^b\) Significant difference in the sensitivity of urine antigen testing between patients with isolated pulmonary, disseminated, and extrapulmonary infection (\(P = 0.001\)).

\(^c\) No significant difference in serum sensitivity between isolated pulmonary, disseminated, or extrapulmonary infection (\(P = 0.08\)).

\(^d\) Disseminated includes patients with pulmonary and extrapulmonary infection.

\(^e\) NA, not applicable.

TABLE 2: Differences in sensitivity by sample type and location of infection.

No significant difference in serum sensitivity between isolated pulmonary, disseminated, and extrapulmonary infection (\(P = 0.08\)). Though prior studies have suggested that antigen testing can be useful in the diagnosis of extrapulmonary infection, in this study such testing was negative in all cases of extrapulmonary infections (12–14).

Qualitative testing was positive in 21/26 (80.1%) patients, and quantitative testing was positive in 25/33 (75.8%) patients (\(P = 0.383\)). For patients with quantitative testing performed, initial antigen concentration varied significantly between patients with BAL fluid, serum, or urine antigen testing completed. The mean BAL fluid concentration was 1.28 ± 1.54 ng/ml, it was 6.56 ± 5.31 ng/ml for serum, and it was 3.21 ± 1.68 ng/ml for urine (\(P = 0.03\)).

There were 14 patients with negative cytology at the time of diagnosis. Antigen testing was positive in 7 of those patients, which expedited the diagnosis.

Serial urine antigen concentrations were measured in 19 patients (Fig. 1). Eighteen had isolated pulmonary disease, and one had disseminated infection. Of those with serial measurements, 8 were treated with oral itraconazole, 5 were treated with amphotericin B followed by itraconazole, and 6 were treated with amphotericin B and fluconazole, fluconazole alone, or voriconazole. Treatment variations were secondary to severity of illness, intolerance of medication, or insurance requirements. Itraconazole dosing was titrated to ensure therapeutic levels. Treatment method did not change the rate of clearance, though there were numerous confounders, including severity of illness that could not be addressed given the retrospective nature of the study. Thirteen patients had a rise in antigen concentration after antifungal treatment was started (median, 11 days; range, 0 to 70 days). Peak concentrations varied widely (0.01 ng/ml to above the limit of quantification). This may reflect the increased excretion of antigen after the death of fungal cells, the transient increase in fungal load from macrophage uptake after initiation of antifungal medication, or differences in location or severity of infection (30). Two patients converted to positive within 1 month of starting treatment, and 4 patients reverted to negative within 30 days of starting antifungal medications. The median number of days to the first negative result was 200 days (range, 0 to 672 days). One patient had detectable antigenuria 493 days after the start of treatment.

There is a moderate but significant negative correlation between length of treatment and clearance of antigenuria that is useful for monitoring blastomycosis patients in a noninvasive way during treatment. Spearman’s correlation was −0.408 (\(P < 0.0001\)). There were three cases of treatment failure. In the one mortality, antigenuria increased from 9.27 ng/ml to above the limit of quantification prior to death. In one patient, antigenuria decreased from above the limit of quantification at initial discharge on itraconazole to 11.22 ng/ml at second admission. The patient had a prolonged 22-month symptomatic course with increases in urine antigen concentration correlating and improving with clinical findings. In the third case, antigenuria decreased from above the limit of quantification to 1.32 ng/ml after starting fluconazole but increased to 9.41 ng/ml during worsening clinical symptoms. It steadily declined to nondetectable after changing to...
amphotericin B. This validates previous case reports that have described the use of urine antigen testing in this way (18, 21).

Given the retrospective nature of this study, we are unable to determine the specificity of blastomycosis antigen EIA though previous studies have shown a high specificity when eliminating patients with histoplasmosis (12–14). The cross-reactivity of histoplasmosis and blastomycosis antigens can present a diagnostic dilemma for clinicians in areas where the two infections are endemic, but EIA is useful in making a preliminary diagnosis for initiation of treatment, particularly in regions where blastomycosis is most likely (12–14, 31).

In summary, the sensitivity of the blastomycosis antigen EIA was lower than what has been previously reported. In patients where there is a high degree of suspicion for blastomycosis, anti-blastomycosis antigen testing alone should not be used to exclude the diagnosis. While antigen testing can be used to expedite the diagnosis of blastomycosis in some patients, culture and cytopathology remain the gold standard. Serial urine antigen concentrations are a useful means to determine resolution or relapse from infection.
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