Evaluation of Antigen-Specific Immunoglobulin G Responses in Pulmonary Tuberculosis Patients and Contacts
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This study aimed to evaluate the serodiagnostic potential of immunoglobulin G (IgG) responses to Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigens in pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) patients, recent TB contacts with latent TB infection (LTBI), and healthy subjects. Infections were assessed using tuberculin skin tests, QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube tests, drug susceptibility testing, and molecular genotyping of clinical isolates. Serum IgG responses to selective M. tuberculosis antigens, including the 38-kDa and 16-kDa antigens, lipoarabinomannan (LAM), and recombinant early secreted antigen target 6 kDa (ESAT-6) and culture filtrate protein 10 kDa (CFP-10), were determined. We found that the serum IgG responses to all antigens might differentiate between active TB and LTBI, with LAM having the highest diagnostic value (area under the curve [AUC] of 0.7756, P < 0.001). Recurrent TB cases showed significantly higher IgG responses to 38 kDa, CFP-10 (P < 0.01), and LAM (P < 0.05) than new cases, and male patients had higher levels of antigen-specific IgG than females (P < 0.05). Conversely, drug resistance and patient body mass index did not affect IgG responses (P > 0.05). LAM-specific IgG responses differentiated between acid-fast bacillus (AFB) smear-positive and -negative patients (P < 0.01), whereas antigen-specific IgG responses did not vary with the M. tuberculosis genotype (P > 0.05). Significantly higher IgG responses to 38 kDa and 16 kDa were observed in AFB smear-negative patients than in controls. These results suggest that assessment of serum IgG responses to selective purified M. tuberculosis antigens may help improve the diagnosis of active TB, particularly for sputum smear-negative patients or recurrent cases, and these may also help to differentiate between active TB and LTBI.
much smear-negative TB (19,20). These reports indicate that when TB infectioning patients (MNH) and from Severance Hospital (SH). Of these, the genotypes of the contacts, and 133 healthy subjects were recruited from Mokpo National Hospital, and from November 2010 to December 2013, 86 TB patients (mean age, 46 years) were enrolled at Mokpo National hospitals in South Korea. From February 2011 to December 2012, 73 recent TB contacts, and 133 healthy subjects were recruited at two hospitals in South Korea. From February 2011 to December 2012, 73 TB patients (mean age, 32 years), 51 asymptomatic TB contacts (mean age, 44 years), and 133 healthy subjects (mean age, 31 years) were enrolled at Severance Hospital, and from August 2011 to December 2012, 86 TB patients (mean age, 32 years), 51 asymptomatic TB contacts (mean age, 44 years), and 133 healthy subjects (mean age, 31 years) were enrolled at Severance Hospital (Fig. 1). Informed written consent was obtained for all participants for interviews and for diagnostic and immunological tests. Active pulmonary TB was diagnosed by confirmation of M. tuberculosis strains from sputum smear/culture and chest X-ray (7), and the genotypes of infecting M. tuberculosis strains were confirmed by molecular genotyping (23) in 94 patients. The LTBI and control groups were defined based on results of TSTs and QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-IT) tests (7,8). Among the 51 TB contacts, 26 showed positive IFN-γ responses in QFT-IT tests; double-positive responses for TSTs (≥10 mm) and QFT-IT tests were observed in 19 of the 26 TB contacts, while 7 of the 26 TB contacts had negative TST results (<10 mm). The control group consisted of 54 individuals with double-negative responses for TSTs (<10 mm) and QFT-IT tests among the 133 healthy individuals who had never had contact with TB patients. Subjects taking immunosuppressants or those with cancer, diabetes, or renal disease were excluded. Our final study population consisted of 94 TB patients, 26 contacts with LTBI, and 54 healthy controls (Fig. 1).

Ethical approval was granted by the Mokpo National Hospital Ethics Review Committee (approval number 2010-001) and the Severance Hospital Ethics Review Committee (approval number 4-2010-0213).

TSTs. An intradermal injection of tuberculin purified protein derivative (0.1 ml of RT-23; Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark) was given to all participants. Induration sizes were determined at 48 and 72 h, and measurements ≥10 mm were classified as positive.

Preparation of blood samples. Serum samples were separated by centrifugation from 4 ml of blood (Vacutainer serum tube; Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany). For QFT-IT tests, a total of 3 ml of blood was collected directly into each of three QFT-IT tubes: nil, M. tuberculosis antigen (Ag) tube (ESAT-6, CFP-10, and TB7.7 peptide antigens), and mitogen (phytohemagglutinin [PHA] [Cellestis, CA, USA]). Plasma was harvested after incubation at 37°C for 24 h, and IFN-γ enzymelinked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (QuantiFERON-TB Gold, Cellestis).

Molecular genotyping of M. tuberculosis. M. tuberculosis genotypes were determined by M. tuberculosis Beijing genotyping (Optipham-M&D, Wonju, South Korea) based on large-sequence polymorphism analysis (23). Briefly, genomic DNA was extracted by boiling a mycobacterial suspension scraped from Lowenstein-Jensen media slants (Becton Dickinson and Company, MD, USA) in 400 μl buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA). DNA served as a template for gene amplification using a Veriti 96-well fast thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, CA, USA), and products were analyzed using agarose gel electrophoresis.

Purification of recombinant protein antigens. The 38-kDa and 16-kDa antigens were provided by Standard Diagnostics, Inc. (Suwon, South Korea), and LAM was obtained from the Mycobacteria Research Laboratory, Colorado State University (Fort Collins, CO, USA). Vector construction for expression of recombinant ESAT-6 and CFP-10 was performed using the NdeI and BamHI (New England BioLabs, MA, USA) sites of PET11a_KB, and genes were amplified from M. tuberculosis H37Rv genomic DNA. Plasmids encoding ESAT-6 and CFP-10 were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) for protein overexpression, and recombinant proteins were purified using nickel-nitritriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) resin (Qiagen, CA, USA) and fast protein liquid chromatography (AKTA FPLC; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, PA, USA) with a Mono Q anion-exchange column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

Measurement of IgG responses to M. tuberculosis antigens. M. tuberculosis antigens were coated in 96-well microplates (Corning Inc., NY, USA) at 2 μg/ml (38 kDa, 16 kDa, ESAT-6, and CFP-10) or 0.1 μg/ml (LAM) in 0.5 M carbonate-bicarbonate buffer overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST) and blocked with PBST containing 5% normal goat serum (PBST-NGS) at 37°C for 1 h. Blocked plates were incubated with human serum samples (1:300 dilution in PBST-NGS) at 37°C for 2 h, washed again with PBST, and incubated with peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG antibody (1:10,000 dilution in PBST-NGS; Calbiochem, CA, USA) at 37°C for 1 h. Plates were washed again with PBST, and tetramethylbenzidine (KPL, MD, USA) was added. The reaction was stopped with 2.5 N H₂SO₄, and the optical density (OD) was measured at 450 nm using the ELISA microplate reader ( Molecular Devices, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis. Differential M. tuberculosis antigen-specific IgG responses in TB patients, contacts with LTBI, and healthy controls were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn’s multiple comparison tests. The IgG responses between groups based on TB recurrence, drug resistance, AFB staining, M. tuberculosis genotype, and patient BMI and gender were analyzed by Mann-Whitney tests. Diagnostic values of IgG responses to M. tuberculosis antigens were examined by analysis of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves.
RESULTS

*M. tuberculosis* antigen-specific IgG responses in active TB, LTBI, and controls. In order to determine *M. tuberculosis* antigen-specific IgG responses according to the infection status, IgG responses were evaluated in active TB, LTBI, and control groups. We found that active TB patients showed significantly higher IgG responses than controls to all antigens tested (*P* < 0.001 or *P* < 0.01) (Fig. 2a). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) for 38 kDa-specific IgG responses was the highest among the five antigens (AUC of 0.7695, *P* < 0.001), followed by those for LAM and 16 kDa (Table 1). The sensitivity (73.4%) and specificity (70.4%) were also highest for 38 kDa-specific IgG responses (Table 1). Although ESAT-6- and CFP-10-specific IgG responses differed between the active TB and control groups (Fig. 2a), the low AUCs (<0.7) and sensitivities (55.3% and 51.1%, respectively) of those responses did not provide diagnostic value (Table 1). Active TB and LTBI were differentiated by IgG responses to all antigens tested (*P* < 0.01) (Fig. 2a), with the highest AUC (0.7756, *P* < 0.001), sensitivity (67.0%), and specificity (80.8%) observed for LAM-specific responses (Table 1).

*M. tuberculosis* antigen-specific IgG responses based on history of TB patients. Of 94 active TB patients, 58 were newly diagnosed, and 36 previously had TB. These 36 patients, defined as recurrent cases, included individuals with relapsed TB after successful treatment and those whose initial treatment failed, either due to self-stop or drug resistance. The IgG responses to all antigens tested were significantly higher in recurrent cases than in controls (Fig. 2b), and AUC, sensitivity, and specificity values were higher for recurrent cases than for new cases versus controls (Table 1). In particular, almost a 2-fold difference was found in the sensitivity of the CFP-10-specific IgG responses between recurrent (72.2%) and new cases (36.2%) (Table 1). The IgG responses to 38 kDa, 16 kDa, and LAM also differentiated between new cases and controls (Fig. 2b).

Effects of drug susceptibility and BMI on IgG responses. A total of 23 patients were classified with drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis*, including two extensively drug-resistant (XDR) and three MDR patients out of 88 patients tested for drug susceptibility. The IgG responses to all antigens were not significantly different in these patients than in those with drug-sensitive *M. tuberculosis* (*P* > 0.05, data not shown). The *M. tuberculosis* antigen-specific IgG responses also did not vary in the 24 patients with BMIs of <18.5 kg/m² and the 64 patients with BMIs in the normal range (18.5 kg/m² < BMI < 25 kg/m²) (data not shown).

Association between antigen-specific IgG responses and *M. tuberculosis* isolates. *M. tuberculosis* antigen-specific IgG responses were analyzed based on the results of AFB smear staining and *M. tuberculosis* genotyping. In response to 38 kDa, 16 kDa, ESAT-6, and CFP-10, we observed no significant differences depending on AFB smear-staining results in 91 TB patients tested (Fig. 3a). However, AFB smear-positive patients showed significantly higher IgG responses to LAM than those with negative results (*P* < 0.01) (Fig. 3a); analysis of the ROC curve in AFB smear-positive (AUC of 0.8909, *P* < 0.001) and -negative patients (AUC of 0.6857, *P* < 0.001) confirmed these results. Conversely, 38 kDa- and 16 kDa-specific IgG responses showed good diagnostic values (AUC of >0.7, *P* < 0.001) in sputum smear-negative patients. Genotyping revealed that Beijing strains accounted for about 81% (76/94) of the isolates in our study; however, the antigen-specific IgG responses did not differ according to strain genotype (*P* > 0.05) (Fig. 3b).

Influence of gender on IgG responses. We found that the antigen-specific IgG responses were significantly higher in male (*n* = 64) than in female (*n* = 30) patients in response to all antigens, with the exception of ESAT-6 (Fig. 4). The differences were particularly significant for 38 kDa- and LAM-specific IgG responses (*P* < 0.001). It should be noted that the percentages of recurrent cases, drug resistance, and positive AFB smear test results were all more than 2-fold...

![FIG 2](http://jcm.asm.org/)
higher in male patients than in female patients, while mean age, BMI, and proportions of *M. tuberculosis* genotypes were similar between the two groups (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that *M. tuberculosis* antigen-specific IgG responses are affected by infection state (active TB versus LTBI), TB recurrence, bacterial burden, and gender, whereas age, BMI, and *M. tuberculosis* genotype had no effect. While considerable effort has been focused on identifying biomarkers for the differential diagnosis of LTBI and active TB (9, 24), we observed that LAM-specific IgG responses reliably differentiated these, suggesting that this might be an informative marker for clinical diagnosis. LAM is a major cell wall component that contributes to *M. tuber-

### TABLE 1 Analysis of ROC curves for antigen-specific IgG responses in different patient groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups and antigens</th>
<th>AUC (P value)</th>
<th>% sensitivity</th>
<th>% specificity</th>
<th>Cutoff (OD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active TB vs control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 kDa</td>
<td>0.7695 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 kDa</td>
<td>0.7535 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAT-6</td>
<td>0.6544 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFP-10</td>
<td>0.6643 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>0.7655 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active TB vs LTBI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 kDa</td>
<td>0.7064 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 kDa</td>
<td>0.7535 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAT-6</td>
<td>0.6966 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFP-10</td>
<td>0.7398 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>&gt;0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>0.7756 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>&gt;0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent case vs control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 kDa</td>
<td>0.8593 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>&gt;0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 kDa</td>
<td>0.8027 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>&gt;0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAT-6</td>
<td>0.6965 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFP-10</td>
<td>0.7744 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>&gt;0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>0.8498 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>&gt;0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New case vs control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 kDa</td>
<td>0.7138 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 kDa</td>
<td>0.7230 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAT-6</td>
<td>0.6282 (&lt;0.05)</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFP-10</td>
<td>0.5959 (&gt;0.05)</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>0.7131 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AUC, sensitivity, specificity, and cutoff values were calculated by analysis of the ROC curves between the different groups tested. The serum IgG responses to 38 kDa, 16 kDa, and LAM showed higher sensitivities and specificities to diagnose active TB or LTBI than ESAT-6- and CFP-10-specific IgG responses. The AUC, sensitivity, and specificity were higher in recurrent cases than in new cases.

FIG 3 Antigen-specific IgG responses depending on identification of *M. tuberculosis* strains by AFB smear tests and *M. tuberculosis* genotypes. (a) The median IgG response to LAM was significantly higher in patients who showed positive AFB smear test results (AFB+ve, n = 36) than those with negative results (AFB-ve, n = 55). However, all AFB smear-negative patients showed significantly higher IgG responses than controls in response to all antigens tested. (b) The majority of patients (81%) were infected with the *M. tuberculosis* Beijing strain, but genotype did not affect antigen-specific IgG responses (n = 76 for Beijing strain, n = 18 for non-Beijing strain). The median responses are indicated with horizontal bars in red (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001).
closus pathogenicity by arresting host phagosomal maturation and blocking T cell proliferation (25). We found that only LAM-specific IgG responses differed between AFB smear-positive and -negative TB patients ($P < 0.01$), suggesting that these are more associated with bacillary load than the other antigens tested.

Many studies have focused on identifying novel antigens to improve the sensitivities and specificities of current TB diagnostics, particularly for sputum smear-negative patients or those incapable of producing sputum. In our study, approximately 60.4% of 94 patients with culture-confirmed TB failed to identify M. tuberculosis by the AFB smear test. We observed that IgG responses to the 38-kDa antigen did not vary based on sputum smear results; however, both smear-positive and -negative patients showed significantly higher levels of IgG than controls. The 38-kDa antigen is a surface-exposed lipoprotein, which has previously been exploited for TB serodiagnosis (26). The sensitivities and specificities of serodiagnostic tests based on this antigen have been reported to range from 40 to 89% and from 44 to 100%, respectively, depending on the study population and test laboratory (26). We observed approximately 70% sensitivity and specificity, with a good diagnostic value (AUC of $>0.7$, $P < 0.001$), for the 38-kDa antigen in both AFB smear-positive and -negative patients. These data indicate that measuring the IgG response to the 38-kDa antigen may facilitate early diagnosis of TB, particularly in sputum smear-negative patients or those unable to produce sputum. Recurrent disease with a poor prognosis has also been associated with high levels of anti-38-kDa antibody (19), which corroborates our findings showing a high diagnostic value (AUC of 0.8593, $P < 0.001$) and enhanced sensitivity and specificity (81% and 78%, respectively) of the 38-kDa antigen in recurrent cases. The proportion of patients infected with the Beijing strain was about 4 times higher than that of those infected with non-Beijing strains (81% versus 19.1%); however, this did not correlate with the antigen-specific IgG responses, perhaps due to the limited number of XDR/MDR patients in our drug-resistant cohort.

Gender appeared to affect the antigen-specific IgG responses, although this result is likely due, at least somewhat, to the differential frequency and severity of TB in male and female patients. TB rates are approximately twice as high in men as in women, suggesting that men are more susceptible to TB (1), although the biological basis for this discrepancy remains unclear. A higher frequency of exposure to TB in men and other gender-specific factors might also influence antigen-specific antibody responses. Sex steroid hormones have been suggested to play a protective role against mycobacterial infection (27, 28), but their role remains to be elucidated.

In conclusion, we found that a serodiagnostic test for TB using 38-kDa and LAM antigens may be developed as a rapid and economic point-of-care test in resource-limited settings, particularly for early diagnosis in sputum smear-negative cases and recurrent cases and for the differential diagnosis between active TB and LTBI. However, the sensitivities and specificities of the responses should be enhanced for clinical use in diagnosis between active TB and LTBI. Further studies should focus on improving the sensitivities and specificities of serodiagnostic tests by selecting epitopes to enhance antibody responses and by assessing combinations of antigens for possible synergistic effects.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank all of the study participants and staff at Mokpo National Hospital and Severance Hospital in South Korea for their assistance.

This study was funded by the Ministry for Health, Welfare, and Family Affairs, Republic of Korea (Korean Health Technology R&D Project HI 10C1708). The funding sources had no role in the study process, including study design, sample collection, analysis, and interpretation of the results. We declare no conflicts of interest.

**REFERENCES**


