Stable Phenotypic Resistance of Candida Species to Amphotericin B Conferred by Preexposure to Subinhibitory Levels of Azoles
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The fungicidal activity of amphotericin B (AmB) was quantitated for several Candida species. Candida albicans and C. tropicalis were consistently susceptible to AmB, with less than 1% survivors after 6 h of exposure to AmB. C. parapsilosis and variants of C. lusitaniae and C. guilliermondii were the most resistant, demonstrating 50 to 90% survivors in this time period and as high as 1% survival after a 24-h exposure time. All Candida species were killed (<1% survivors) after 24 h of exposure to AmB. In contrast, overnight exposure to either fluconazole or itraconazole resulted in pronounced increases in resistance to subsequent exposures to AmB. Most dramatically, C. albicans was able to grow in AmB cultures after azole preexposure. Several other Candida species did not grow in AmB but showed little or no reduction in viability after up to 24 h in AmB. Depending on the growth conditions, Candida cells preexposed to azoles may retain AmB resistance for days after the azoles have been removed. If this in vitro antagonism applies to the clinical setting, treatment of patients with certain antifungal combinations may not be beneficial. The ability of some Candida isolates to survive transient exposures to AmB was not reflected in the in vitro susceptibility changes as measured by standard MIC assays. This finding should be considered in studies attempting to correlate patient outcome with in vitro susceptibilities of clinical fungal isolates. Patients who fail to respond to AmB may be infected with isolates that are classified as susceptible by standard in vitro assays but that may be resistant to transient antifungal exposures which may be more relevant in the clinical setting.

Consideration of the interactions between azoles and amphotericin B (AmB) has become clinically significant in recent years. Fluconazole and, to a lesser extent, itraconazole are widely used and largely effective but are not fungicidal. An additional limitation is that they are not effective against several Candida species, notably Candida krusei and C. glabrata (2, 4, 11, 17). AmB is a potent, fungicidal agent that is effective against most isolates of Candida but that has toxic side effects (1, 39). In addition, several Candida species, including C. lusitaniae, demonstrate intrinsic resistance to AmB (2, 6, 18, 19, 34, 35). Recent reports suggest that antifungal therapy may select for AmB-resistant variants of C. albicans and other susceptible species (5, 10, 14–16, 20, 21, 23, 35). However, mutants verified by in vitro testing to be resistant remain elusive (10). Inadvertent clinical selection for resistance to AmB may be more likely due to prolonged azole use than to AmB therapy. Some mutations in C. albicans that confer resistance to fluconazole act by altering the synthesis of ergosterol, the putative target of AmB action, and thereby confer cross-resistance (19).

We previously demonstrated that preexposing C. albicans in vitro to fluconazole or itraconazole conferred resistance to otherwise fungicidal concentrations of AmB (37). Depending on the conditions, up to 100% of the preexposed cells tolerated AmB at 2 μg/ml for up to 24 h. However, simultaneous exposure of C. albicans to azoles and AmB had much less effect, with only a small increase in the Candida population surviving relative to controls exposed to AmB alone. Moreover, several investigators have found synergistic interactions by simultaneous exposure of C. albicans to azoles and AmB (13, 30). One group, on the other hand, described antagonisms with preexposures of Candida to the more lipophilic azoles, such as itraconazole, but not to fluconazole (31, 32).

In this paper, we offer new observations describing the complex azole-AmB interactions. First, we compare the fungicidal effects of AmB on representative isolates of six species of Candida. We are able to show differences in AmB killing rates among some of these Candida isolates. Second, and most importantly, preexposure to azoles decreased the susceptibilities of all Candida species that were otherwise found to be susceptible to AmB by standardized in vitro susceptibility studies. C. albicans and, to a lesser extent, C. tropicalis demonstrated the greatest degree of antagonism. C. albicans was unique in that preexposure to azoles routinely allowed growth, not just survival, during subsequent incubations in AmB. Third, we show that fluconazole-mediated AmB tolerance is established by just a few hours of exposure to fluconazole. The protection endures for several days after azoles are removed, but only if the cells are maintained in a nongrowing state or if the exposure to AmB is continuous following azole incubation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Candida isolates. The organisms tested included 123 clinical specimens recovered from individual patients at Harper Hospital, Detroit, Mich. The distribution of species included 93 C. albicans specimens, 25 C. tropicalis specimens, and 5 C. parapsilosis specimens. Representative isolates for each of six Candida species were chosen from the American Type Culture Collection (Table 1). Candida species were identified by germ tube and chlamydospore formation, morphology, and the results of randomly amplified polymorphic DNA fingerprinting (33).

Drugs and reagents. Antifungal agents approved for clinical use were used in the study, so that the results of in vitro studies would more closely approximate...
the potential results of in vivo studies. The following antifungal agents were used: AmB (as a hyphosphilized cake of AmB and sodium deoxycholate [Gensia Laboratories, Irvine, Calif.]); the AmB was suspended in sterile water at 1 mg/ml and stored frozen in light-protected vials), itraconazole (Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Titusville, N.J.), and fluconazole (Pfizer-Roerig, Inc., New York, N.Y.). Ergosterol was purchased from Sigma Chemicals, Inc. (St. Louis, Mo.), and dissolved at 5 μg/ml in chloroform. This was diluted into sterile yeast nitrogen base (YNB) medium supplemented with Tween 80, and the mixture was boiled with vigorous stirring to facilitate dispersion (12). For experiments that involved ergosterol, the control medium had the identical concentration of Tween 80.

**Fungicidal activity assays.** The fungicidal effects of AmB were determined as previously described (37). Briefly, overnight cultures of each isolate were inoculated in YNB media with or without fluconazole (50 μg/ml). After an additional 14 h of incubation, cultures were diluted 50-fold into 1-ml cultures of YNB plus 2 μg of AmB per ml. The viabilities of these cultures were determined as indicated below. In some experiments, overnight cultures in fluconazole were incubated continuously in drug-free media, with or without daily subculturing.

**Susceptibility studies.** The MICs for all of the representative ATCC isolates were determined in accordance with the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards M27-A standards by a broth microdilution method (38).

### RESULTS

**Individual Candida isolates are killed by AmB at distinctive rates.** To measure the susceptibility of Candida cells to short-term exposures of AmB, freshly grown, overnight cultures were diluted 50-fold to 0.5 × 10⁶ to 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml and supplemented with AmB, typically at 2 μg/ml. At present time intervals, as well as at initial time points, yeast cultures were sampled, diluted, and plated on Sabouraud (SAB) agar to determine the number and percentage of surviving cells. Control cultures without AmB exposure always grew during the incubation interval.

Figure 1 shows that individual isolates of four Candida species vary in susceptibility to AmB killing in 24-h exposures. The disparity among the different Candida species is most pronounced at 2 μg/ml. C. albicans is the most susceptible, with only about 1 in 10⁶ cells surviving, while C. parapsilosis and C. krusei fare better, showing losses in viability of only 1 or 2 orders of magnitude. C. glabrata typically shows an intermediate level of survival. These relative survival levels among species were reproducible in three experiments.

Figure 2 shows killing rates for four Candida species, each from an average of three to seven experiments. C. albicans, C. lusitaniae, and C. tropicalis are highly susceptible, with less than 0.1% survival after 8 h of exposure to AmB. The least susceptible of these four species was C. parapsilosis, with about a 1% survival rate even after 24 h in AmB. C. guilliermondii and C. glabrata were about as susceptible as C. tropicalis (data not shown). In most cases, viability reached its minimum after 8 h of AmB exposure.

**Preexposure to azoles confers a decreased AmB fungicidal effect.** Growth of all tested Candida species in 50 μg of fluconazole per ml before exposure to AmB resulted in dramatic decreases in AmB fungicidal activity. In the acidic YNB broth,
this concentration of fluconazole is insufficient to inhibit growth to confluency, even though it is effective for most species in the same medium buffered with 100 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0 (37). Figure 2 shows that preexposed *C. lusitaniae* maintained at least 5% viability, that about half of preexposed *C. parapsilosis* cells remained viable, and that *C. tropicalis* and especially *C. albicans* grew in 2 \( \mu \text{g} \) of AmB per ml over a 24-h period. Cultures of *C. albicans*, uniquely among all tested species, became visibly turbid during this incubation. *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* isolates were protected to the same extent, 0.1 to 0.01% of initially viable cells remaining viable, as *C. tropicalis* (data not shown).

Presumably cells adapt to fluconazole and in so doing become resistant to subsequent AmB exposure. To determine the time required for this adaptation, overnight cultures of cells were diluted into replicate 1-ml cultures of YNB plus fluconazole as described above and at hourly intervals AmB was added to 2 \( \mu \text{g} \) per ml. Viable cells were assayed 24 h later (Fig. 3). In two independent experiments, cells were not protected after incubation for 1 to 2 h in fluconazole but were protected after 3 to 4 h of incubation (Fig. 3).

Is a 3- to 4-h fluconazole preincubation protective, or does it just allow sufficient time for growth of the yeast cells to a density at which AmB is less effective? To test this, yeast cells were incubated in the same concentration of AmB as previously used, but at initial densities of \( 2 \times, 5 \times, 10 \times, \) and \( 20 \times \) relative to the early cultures that were used in Fig. 3. No survivors were detected in the same time frame (data not shown), indicating that AmB killing is density independent. The protection is as effective at AmB concentrations of \( > 6 \mu \text{g/ml} \).

**Azole-mediated resistance to AmB is maintained in non-growing *C. albicans* for days after azole exposure.** How stable is the protective effect of azole exposure? This was addressed in two different experiments. In the first experiment, *C. albicans* cells were exposed to azoles as described for Fig. 2 and then incubated for 0, 1, 2, or 3 days in drug-free YNB broth at 30°C with shaking and without subculturing. After each day of drug-free incubation, cells were transferred to new cultures supplemented with AmB as before. Cell viability was assayed after 24 h of incubation. Figure 4 demonstrates that cells under these conditions remained resistant to AmB throughout the 3-day incubation. In contrast, controls that had no preexposure to fluconazole but that were otherwise treated identically were not protected, i.e., were highly susceptible to AmB.

In the second experiment, azole-exposed cells were subcultured daily in drug-free YNB media and grown overnight, thus allowing nearly continuous growth of cells. After each subculture and day of drug-free growth, they were diluted into YNB plus 2 \( \mu \text{g} \) of AmB per ml. After 24 h of incubation, cultures were assayed for viability. Such cells retained AmB resistance, i.e., retained a high level of viability, after 1 day of growth in drug-free media after azole exposure. However, after two or more days of this drug-free growth, these cells become as susceptible as cells that were never exposed to azoles (Fig. 4).

*C. albicans* was also exposed to fluconazole overnight, followed by daily serial passage in YNB plus AmB without fluconazole for 10 days. This culture continued to grow to stationary phase at approximately the same rate and to the same final turbidity as a parallel control culture with no AmB.

**Intraspecies variability in AmB susceptibility.** Are isolates within a species of *Candida* equally susceptible to AmB killing? Among 93 random isolates of *C. albicans*, 91 were completely killed by a 24-h AmB incubation (Table 2). The five *C. parapsilosis* isolates demonstrated the highest percentage of survivors of any *Candida* isolates tested. Results for these species are consistent with those for their representative isolates (Fig. 1). In contrast, 12 of 25 *C. tropicalis* isolates showed a low but detectable percentage of survivors after a 24-h AmB incubation. This seems to indicate heterogeneity among isolates of this species.

![Figure 3](image3.png) **FIG. 3.** Time course of fluconazole protection. In independent tests (triangles and circles), *C. albicans* cells were diluted from overnight cultures and the mixture was supplemented with 50 \( \mu \text{g} \) of fluconazole per ml at time 0, while AmB at 2 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) was added at the indicated intervals. Viability was determined as described for Fig. 1.

![Figure 4](image4.png) **FIG. 4.** Duration of azole-mediated protection from AmB killing. Cultures preexposed to azole were exposed to 2 \( \mu \text{g} \) of AmB per ml after 0, 1, 2, or 3 days of incubation in drug-free YNB broth. In one series, cultures were subcultured daily into fresh YNB broth (dashed lines). In a second series (solid lines), cultures were continuously incubated without subculturing until they were exposed to AmB. FLZ, fluconazole; ITZ, itraconazole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Candida</em> species</th>
<th>Total no. of isolates</th>
<th>No. of AmB survivors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. albicans</em> (random set)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. parapsilosis</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. tropicalis</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survivors of 24-h exposures to AmB are not AmB-resistant variants. Exposure of C. albicans and C. tropicalis to 2 μg of AmB per ml reduced viability by a factor of about 10^6, often below the level of detection of any survivors in 1-ml cultures. To determine whether rare survivors were stable, resistant variants, we exposed large populations of resistant variants from each species in 500-ml cultures at the same density (about 10^5/ml) to AmB. Approximately 1,000 cells survived and grew into colonies. These cells were pooled and reevaluated in the same way. There was no difference in survival noted. If even a single colony (conservatively, 10^6 cells) generated from the 1,000 survivors was persistently resistant, the second-generation selection would have produced at least 10^7 colonies, more if a putative resistant colony grew during the 24-h period of AmB exposure, instead of the observed 1,000 colonies. Therefore, none of the surviving colonies were stable AmB-resistant mutants.

Effects of ergosterol supplementation on AmB susceptibility. Does fluconazole act by depleting membranes of ergosterol, causing subsequent tolerance to AmB by removing its target? If so, adding ergosterol to the media during the fluconazole incubation may allow replacement in the membrane of ergosterol over less-favored lanosterol derivatives and thus restore the target for AmB and susceptibility to AmB.

Ergosterol is highly insoluble in YNB and must be solubilized with Tween 80. Under these conditions, the effect of exogenous ergosterol on AmB susceptibility is apparent (Fig. 5). As the concentration of ergosterol in the medium increases, the fungicidal effect of AmB decreases, when a fixed concentration of AmB is used on identical aliquots of cells. This result shows that the ergosterol is solubilized freely into the medium where it antagonizes AmB activity, presumably by competing for AmB binding with the membrane-bound ergosterol.

The next experiment shows that cells exposed to fluconazole in medium containing the highest level of ergosterol, 35 μg/ml, were as resistant to subsequent AmB exposure as control cells in ergosterol-free medium (Fig. 5B). In both cases, fluconazole allowed growth of azole-pretreated cells during the 24-h exposure to AmB, whereas control cultures not pretreated with azole were effectively killed by AmB. Thus, a simple incorporation of ergosterol into the membrane either did not occur or was not effective in reversing fluconazole-mediated protection.

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented here demonstrate different kill rates of the individual Candida species by AmB. These differences may be important in choosing antifungal therapy, since AmB is rapidly absorbed or sequestered from the blood (7–9, 36). Hence, infecting cells may be transiently exposed to the highest fungicidal concentrations of AmB in serum.

Much more dramatic than species-to-species variation in AmB killing rates, however, were the levels of protection afforded by preincubation with fluconazole. C. albicans, the Candida species most susceptible to AmB, is able to grow in high concentrations of AmB after overnight exposure to azoles. In addition, the preincubation concentration does not need to inhibit growth. A concentration of 30 μg of fluconazole per ml is an effective protectant in YNB medium at pH 5.6 or 7.0, even though fluconazole is only inhibitory at the higher pH (37). Furthermore, fluconazole affords AmB protection even to those species such as C. krusei that are not susceptible to fluconazole.

A brief 3-h exposure to fluconazole suffices to establish protection from AmB killing. On the other hand, simultaneous exposure to both antifungals in vitro is lethal. This suggests that a process of adaptation to fluconazole may occur; this process then protects the cells during exposure to AmB. Given the short adaptation period, it seems unlikely that this effect is due to replacement of membrane ergosterol with a methylated sterol derivative that is not interactive with AmB, but this has not yet been investigated.

Incubation of C. albicans, C. krusei, C. parapsilosis, and C. tropicalis in fluconazole results in the depletion of ergosterol and the accumulation of lanosterol derivatives (28), the expected consequence of inhibiting lanosterol 14α-demethylase. Ergosterol is normally synthesized de novo by yeasts even if it is available in the culture medium (22). However, under conditions which preclude ergosterol synthesis, notably anaerobiosis, it is imported efficiently (27). Under these conditions, other sterols such as cholesterol can substitute for ergosterol (24). If similar inhibitions promote ergosterol uptake in C. albicans, then one would expect ergosterol to reverse fluconazole-mediated protection from AmB. In our studies, however, it did not reverse the azole protection, suggesting that either exogenous ergosterol uptake did not occur or that ergosterol depletion is not the only mechanism involved in this interaction. Fluconazole does not block the ergosterol biosynthetic pathway but allows the formation of downstream C-14α-methyl sterol derivatives (3, 19). A reasonable interpretation of the inability of ergosterol supplementation to reverse flucon-
azole-mediated protection from AmB, then, is that ergosterol uptake is not permitted in the presence of the C-14-α-methyl derivatives of lanosterol. More work is required to establish this and to determine if fluconazole mediates protection by another route. The latter is also suggested by the rapid rate at which protection is acquired.

A recent study shows that the MLC, the minimum lethal concentration, assayed at 2 days, was an effective predictor of microbiological failure in the patient. Furthermore, MICs were not effective predictors and were not correlated with MLCs (25). This is consistent with our observed lack of correlation among individual isolates between their MICs and their susceptibilities in our fungicidal activity assay. We did not assay this collection by using the E-test (38) or by doing MIC tests in antibiotic medium no. 3 (29). Since these are reported to be more sensitive in detecting AmB resistance, they may have shown more correlation with the fungicidal activity assay in this study. However, both of these tests depend on the growth of putative resistant isolates in the continuous presence of AmB.

It is becoming clear that the most clinically useful assay for AmB susceptibility will ultimately be one that measures the extent to which an isolate survives exposure, not whether it grows in the presence of a given concentration.

Clinical implications of this study are apparent. If patients fail to respond to fluconazole, they are frequently switched to AmB. Our in vitro data suggests that these sequential treatments may be counterproductive. The protective effect of the azole lasts long after it is removed if the exposed cells are not actively growing or if they are maintained in AmB continuously, even simultaneous exposure may have the same effect as azole lasts long after it is removed if the exposed cells are not actively growing or if they are maintained in AmB continuously. This may be counterproductive. The protective effect of the azole lasts long after it is removed if the exposed cells are not actively growing or if they are maintained in AmB continuously. This may be counterproductive. The protective effect of the azole lasts long after it is removed if the exposed cells are not actively growing or if they are maintained in AmB. It is becoming clear that the most clinically useful assay for AmB susceptibility will ultimately be one that measures the extent to which an isolate survives exposure, not whether it grows in the presence of a given concentration.
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