

Genotyping of Pandemic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* O3:K6 Still Open to Question

Vibrio parahaemolyticus is one of the major seafood-borne gastroenteritis-causing bacteria, frequently associated with consumption of raw or inappropriately cooked seafood. Thermostable direct hemolysin (TDH) and TDH-related hemolysin (TRH) are considered major virulence factors for the organism (7). *V. parahaemolyticus* can be classified into 13 O serotypes and 71 K serotypes (3). Although various serovars of the bacterium can cause infections, O3:K6 has been recognized as the predominant serovar responsible for most outbreaks worldwide since 1996 (5). The pandemic strains and other recently emerged serovars such as O4:K68 and O1:K untypeable showed almost identical pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns (1), suggesting that these strains are clonally related. Matsumoto et al. (5) reported that the pandemic strains exhibit a unique sequence within the *toxRS* operon, which encodes transmembrane proteins in the regulation of virulence-associated genes conserved in the genus *Vibrio*. On the other hand, Nasu et al. (6) isolated filamentous phage possessing a unique open reading frame, ORF8, from one of the pandemic strains, and Iida et al. (4) claimed that ORF8 was a useful genetic marker for identifying strains. It was thus necessary to eval-

uate the use of the *toxRS* sequence or ORF8 as a reliable genetic marker for the identification.

A total of 24 strains of *V. parahaemolyticus* that had been isolated from various sources with known serological identities were used in the present study and are listed in Table 1. These include 21 strains of O3:K6, consisting of 12 strains isolated before 1996 and 9 strains isolated since 1996, and 3 strains of O4:K68 isolated since 1999. PFGE typing was performed on genomic DNAs of the O3:K6 and O4:K68 strains digested with the restriction enzyme *Sfi*I, following a method described previously (1). Of 21 strains of O3:K6 subjected to the typing, 9 strains isolated since 1996 were classified as type A, 2 strains isolated in 1981 were classified as type B, and 5 strains isolated between 1981 and 1996 were classified as type C (Table 1). The 5 strains isolated between 1982 and 1988 showed PFGE fragment patterns different from any of the above PFGE types (Table 1).

The presence of TDH gene (*tdh*) and TRH gene (*trh*) was determined by PCR with a set of primers, 5'-GGTACTAAATG GCTGACATC-3' and 5'-CCACTACCACTCTCATA-TGC-3', and another set of primers, 5'-GGCTCAAAATGGTTAAGC G-3' and 5'-CATTTCCG-CTCTCATATGC-3, respectively, fol-

TABLE 1. *V. parahaemolyticus* O3:K6 and O4:K68 strains used and their genotypic characteristics

Strain	O:K serovar	Yr of isolation	Country of isolation	Source	PFGE genotype ^a	PCR result for ^b :				
						<i>tdh</i>	<i>trh</i>	<i>toxRS/new</i>	<i>toxRS/old</i>	<i>ORF8</i>
KE10495	O3:K6	1996	Japan	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE10457	O3:K6	1998	Japan	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE10481	O3:K6	1998	Japan	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE10484	O3:K6	1998	Japan	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE10524	O3:K6	1998	Japan	Sea water	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE10527	O3:K6	1998	Japan	Food	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE10531	O3:K6	1998	Japan	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
NIID965-98	O3:K6	1998	United States	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
NIID 59-99	O3:K6	1999	Thailand	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE10545	O4:K68	1999	Indonesia	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
NIID181-99	O4:K68	1999	Thailand	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
NIID 242-2000	O4:K68	2000	Korea	Human	A	+	-	+	-	+
KE9967	O3:K6	1981	Japan	Human	B	+	-	-	+	-
KE9971	O3:K6	1981	Japan	Food	B	+	-	-	+	-
KE9984	O3:K6	1981	Japan	Human	C	-	+	-	+	-
KE10492	O3:K6	1984	Japan	Human	C	-	+	-	+	-
KE10443	O3:K6	1995	Japan	Human	C	-	+	-	+	-
KE10463	O3:K6	1987	Japan	Food	C	-	-	-	+	-
KE10466	O3:K6	1996	Japan	Human	C	-	+	-	+	-
KE10461	O3:K6	1982	Japan	Environmental	UT ^c	-	-	-	+	-
KE10491	O3:K6	1983	Japan	Human	UT	-	-	+	-	-
KE10465	O3:K6	1985	Japan	Human	UT	-	-	+	-	-
KE10462	O3:K6	1986	Japan	Food	UT	-	-	+	-	-
KE10464	O3:K6	1988	Japan	Food	UT	-	-	+	-	-

^a According to the PFGE typing of *Sfi*I digests described by Arakawa et al. (1).

^b +, presence of gene; -, absence of gene.

^c UT, untypeable.

lowing the protocols established by Tada et al. (7). All strains of PFGE types A and B were positive for *tdh* but negative for *trh*, whereas all strains of type C were negative for *tdh*, with four of them positive for *trh* (Table 1). The O3:K6 strains with the untypeable PFGE patterns were negative for both genes (Table 1).

PCRs using a method (5) designed to specifically detect the *toxRS* sequence of the new O3:K6 clone (*toxRS/new*) and that of the old O3:K6 clone (*toxRS/old*) were performed on the strains with primer set 5'-TAATGAGGTAGAAACA-3' and 5'-ACGTAACGGGCTACA-3' and primer set 5'-TAATGAGGTAGAAACG-3' and 5'-ACGTAACGGGCC-TACG-3', respectively. All strains belonging to PFGE type A are found to possess *toxRS/new*, whereas all strains of type B and C strains possessed *toxRS/old* (Table 1). Interestingly, four strains of the PFGE untypeable O3:K6 strains were positive for the *toxRS/new* sequence (Table 1), suggesting that the sequence was not specific to the pandemic PFGE type (type A).

We also performed a PCR amplification (4) which was designed to amplify a partial DNA sequence of ORF8 in the genomic DNA, using primer set 5'-GTTTCGCATACAGTTGAGG-3' and 5'-AAGTACAGCAGGAGTGAG-3'. ORF8 was detected in all strains belonging to the PFGE type A but not in the rest of the strains tested (Table 1). The results suggest that ORF8 rather than *toxRS/new* is a more reliable genetic marker for identification of the pandemic strains. However, Iida et al. (4) pointed out that one of the O3:K6 strains belonging to the pandemic PFGE type was negative for ORF8. More recently, Bhuiyan et al. (2) reported that eight of the O3:K6 clinical strains isolated between 1998 and 2000 were negative for ORF8, claiming that ORF8 was a poor genetic marker for the pandemic genotype. Nevertheless, the claim was made without any reference to the PFGE types of the strains used. Genotyping of the pandemic O3:K6 strains is thus still an open question. Further comprehensive investigation with a larger collection of the strains from various sources is necessary to draw any conclusion on this matter.

REFERENCES

1. Arakawa, E., T. Murase, T. Shimada, T. Okitsu, S. Yamai, and H. Watanabe. 1999. Emergence and prevalence of novel *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* O3:K6 clone in Japan. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.* **52**:246-247.
2. Bhuiyan, N. A., M. Ansaruzzaman, M. Kamruzzaman, K. Alam, N. R. Chowdhury, M. Nishibuchi, S. M. Faruque, D. A. Sack, Y. Takeda, and G. B. Nair. 2002. Prevalence of the pandemic genotype of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in Dhaka, Bangladesh, and significance of its distribution across different serotypes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **40**:284-286.
3. Iguchi, T., S. Kondo, and K. Hisatune. 1995. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* O serotypes from O1 to O13 all produce R-type lipopolysaccharide: SDS-PAGE and compositional sugar analysis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **130**:287-292.
4. Iida, T., A. Hattori, K. Tagomori, H. Nasu, R. Naim, and T. Honda. 2001. Filamentous phage associated with recent pandemic strains of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **7**:477-478.
5. Matsumoto, C., J. Okuda, M. Ishibashi, M. Iwanaga, P. Garg, T. Rammamurthy, H.-C. Wong, A. DePaola, Y. B. Kim, M. J. Albert, and M. Nishibuchi. 2000. Pandemic spread of an O3:K6 clone of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and emergence of related strains evidenced by arbitrarily primed PCR and *toxRS* sequence analyses. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **38**:578-585.
6. Nasu, H., T. Iida, T. Sugahara, Y. Yamaichi, K.-S. Park, K. Yokoyama, K. Makino, H. Shinagawa, and T. Honda. 2000. A filamentous phage associated with recent pandemic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* O3:K6 strains. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **38**:2156-2161.
7. Tada, J., T. Ohashi, N. Nishimura, Y. Shirasaki, H. Ozaki, S. Fukushima, J. Takano, M. Nishibuchi, and Y. Takeda. 1992. Detection of the thermostable direct hemolysin gene (*tdh*) and the thermostable direct hemolysin-related hemolysin gene (*trh*) of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* by polymerase chain reaction. *Mol. Cell. Probes* **6**:477-487.

Ro Osawa*

Atsushi Iguchi

Department of Bioscience
Graduate School of Science and Technology
Kobe University
Rokko-dai 1-1, Nada-ku
Kobe, 657-8501, Japan

Eiji Arakawa

Haruo Watanabe

Department of Bacteriology
National Institute of Infectious Diseases
Toyama, Shinjuku-ku
Tokyo, 162-8640, Japan

*E-mail: osawa@ans.kobe-u.ac.jp