



A Selective Culture Medium for Screening Ceftazidime-Avibactam Resistance in *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Mustafa Sadek,^a Laurent Poirel,^{a,b,c} Camille Tinguely,^a  Patrice Nordmann^{a,b,c,d}

^aMedical and Molecular Microbiology, Faculty of Science and Medicine, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

^bINSERM European Unit (IAME), University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

^cSwiss National Reference Center for Emerging Antibiotic Resistance (NARA), University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

^dInstitute for Microbiology, University of Lausanne and University Hospital Centre, Lausanne, Switzerland

ABSTRACT The SuperCAZ/AVI medium was developed for screening ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA) resistance among Gram-negative bacteria (*Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*). It was evaluated using 50 CZA-susceptible and 42 CZA-resistant Gram-negative isolates. Its sensitivity and specificity of detection were 100%. Excellent performance of the medium was also observed by testing spiked stools, with the lower limit of detection ranging from 10¹ to 10² CFU/ml. This screening medium provides the opportunity to detect CZA-resistant isolates regardless of their resistance mechanisms.

KEYWORDS ceftazidime, avibactam, screening, *Enterobacterales*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

The emergence and spread of β -lactam resistance, especially resistance to carbapenems, are currently of great concern worldwide, particularly in *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (1). Among the recently developed agents active against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens, a novel drug combination has been launched, namely, ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA) (2). Avibactam (AVI) is a non- β -lactam- β -lactamase inhibitor that inhibits the activities of Ambler class A, class C, and some class D β -lactamases, including carbapenemases (e.g., KPC, OXA-48) (3, 4). However, acquired resistance to CZA is increasingly reported and is mostly related to amino acid substitutions in the active sites of the respective β -lactamases. Many studies have identified KPC variants in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, such as KPC-31, KPC-35, KPC-41, and KPC-50, all conferring resistance to CZA (5–8). Those KPC variants confer acquired resistance to CZA on the corresponding producers mainly as a consequence of decreased inhibitory activity of AVI against those enzymes, but also due to higher hydrolytic efficiency toward ceftazidime (CAZ). In addition, resistance to CZA in Gram-negative bacteria may be related to the production of Ambler class B enzymes (metallo- β -lactamases [MBL]), such as NDM, VIM, and IMP, or of several non-OXA-48-like class D β -lactamases, such as OXA-28 or OXA-32, whose hydrolytic activity includes CAZ but which are not inhibited by AVI (1). Furthermore, CZA resistance may be related to overproduction of efflux pumps and/or porin defects (9). Taking into account the increasing use of the CZA combination and consequently the increasing isolation of CZA-resistant Gram-negative bacteria, we have developed a selective culture medium for screening CZA-resistant isolates among Gram-negative species (*Enterobacterales*, *P. aeruginosa*).

Citation Sadek M, Poirel L, Tinguely C, Nordmann P. 2020. A selective culture medium for screening ceftazidime-avibactam resistance in *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Clin Microbiol* 58:e00965-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00965-20>.

Editor Nathan A. Ledebuer, Medical College of Wisconsin

Copyright © 2020 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to Patrice Nordmann, patrice.nordmann@unifr.ch.

Received 1 May 2020

Returned for modification 28 May 2020

Accepted 16 June 2020

Accepted manuscript posted online 24 June 2020

Published 24 August 2020

[This article was published on 24 August 2020 with a CC BY 4.0 copyright line ("Copyright © 2020 Sadek et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license."). The authors elected to remove open access for the article after publication, necessitating replacement of the original copyright line, and this change was made on 29 September 2020.]

TABLE 1 Preparation of the SuperCAZ/AVI medium

Compound	Stock solution ^a	Quantity or vol to add ^b	Final concn
CHROMagar Orientation medium		13.2 g	3.3%
Distilled water		400 ml	
Ceftazidime pentahydrate	6 mg/ml in PBS (pH 7.2)	400 μ l	6 μ g/ml
Avibactam sodium hydrate	4 mg/ml in water	400 μ l	4 μ g/ml
ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	70 mg/ml in water	400 μ l	70 μ g/ml
Daptomycin	10 mg/ml in water	400 μ l	10 μ g/ml
Amphotericin B	5 mg/ml in 10% D-(+)-glucose	400 μ l	5 μ g/ml

^aPBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

^bThe volume of 400 ml of SuperCAZ/AVI medium was for 20 plates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The SuperCAZ/AVI medium. In the design of our medium (named the SuperCAZ/AVI medium), the necessity of preventing contamination by Gram-positive bacteria and fungi was considered. Based on our experience in the development of screening media (10), the optimal screening medium was based on the CHROMagar Orientation medium (reference RT412; CHROMagar, Paris, France), which is commonly used as a differential medium for the isolation and differentiation of common urinary tract pathogens. The CZA resistance breakpoint is defined as $>8 \mu\text{g/ml}$ for *Enterobacterales* and *P. aeruginosa* with a fixed concentration of AVI (4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) (11). The optimal final concentration of CAZ was 6 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ with a fixed concentration of AVI at 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Since Gram-positive bacteria, such as *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Staphylococcus* strains, may grow on CHROMagar Orientation medium, daptomycin (code 461375000; Acros Organics) (which can be replaced by vancomycin) was added as an anti-Gram-positive molecule at a final concentration of 10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Amphotericin B (code 45590050; Acros Organics) was also added as an antifungal at a final concentration of 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. In addition, ZnSO₄ (70 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) was added to enhance the activity of MBL producers (10). The stock solutions of CAZ, AVI, daptomycin, and amphotericin B were prepared as shown in Table 1 and may be kept at -20°C for 1 year. For the preparation of the SuperCAZ/AVI medium, the diluted powder of CHROMagar Orientation medium was autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min. After the medium was cooled for 1 h at 56°C , the antibiotic stock solutions were added (Table 1). The SuperCAZ/AVI plates were stored at 4°C and were protected from direct light exposure before use, for as long as 1 week.

Susceptibility testing. The MICs of CZA were determined using Etest strips (bioMérieux, La Balme-les-Grottes, France) on Mueller-Hinton agar plates at 37°C , and the results were interpreted according to the latest EUCAST breakpoints for *Enterobacterales* and *P. aeruginosa* (i.e., susceptibility [S], $\leq 8 \mu\text{g/ml}$; resistance [R], $>8 \mu\text{g/ml}$) (Table 2) (10).

RESULTS

A total of 92 isolates of worldwide origin were included in this study to evaluate the performance of the SuperCAZ/AVI medium. The β -lactamase contents of all strains were characterized at the molecular level by PCR and sequencing or, for some isolates, by whole-genome sequencing (Table 2). A total of 50 strains were susceptible to CZA (40 *Enterobacterales*, including *Enterobacter cloacae*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *Escherichia coli*, and 10 *P. aeruginosa* strains), and 42 were resistant to CZA (20 *Enterobacterales*, including *E. cloacae*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *E. coli*, and 22 *P. aeruginosa* strains) (Table 2).

Starting with an optical density of a 0.5 McFarland standard (an inoculum of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^8$ CFU/ml), serial 10-fold dilutions were made in 0.85% saline solution, and 100- μ l aliquots of each dilution were plated onto the SuperCAZ/AVI medium. To quantify the viable bacteria in each dilution step, tryptic soy agar plates were inoculated concomitantly with 100 μ l of each suspension and were incubated overnight at 37°C . Viable colonies were counted the following day. When no growth was observed after 18 h, incubation was extended up to 48 h in order to definitely assess the negativity of the culture. The lower limit of detection for the strains tested was determined using the SuperCAZ/AVI medium.

The sensitivity and specificity cutoff values for the detection of CZA-resistant *Enterobacterales* and *P. aeruginosa* were set at 1×10^3 CFU/ml, i.e., the CZA-resistant isolates recovered on SuperCAZ/AVI medium plates at $<1 \times 10^3$ CFU/ml were considered positive, while the CZA-susceptible isolates grown using an inoculum of $\geq 1 \times 10^3$ CFU/ml were considered negative (10). All the CZA-resistant isolates could be recovered within 24 h on SuperCAZ/AVI medium plates by using an inoculum below the cutoff value of 1×10^3 CFU/ml (1×10^1 to 1×10^2 CFU/ml) (Table 2). In contrast, growth of

TABLE 2 MICs of CZA for the strains tested and detection limits of the SuperCAZ/AVI screening medium

Category and strain	Species	Origin	MIC of CZA ^a (mg/liter)	CZA susceptibility or resistance ^b	Resistance determinant(s)	Lower limit of detection (CFU/ ml) ^c in:	
						Culture	Stools ^c
<i>Enterobacteriales</i>							
R1433	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	France	0.19	S	Wild type	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R254	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	0.064	S	Porin deficiency, SHV, AmpC	10 ⁶	10 ⁶
R1233	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.5	S	ACC-1	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R1241	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	USA	1.5	S	ACT-1	10 ⁶	10 ⁶
R2077	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Switzerland	0.5	S	ACC-1	10 ⁷	10 ⁷
R1291	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	USA	0.032	S	OXA-1	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R1335	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.064	S	TEM-1	10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R941	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	Switzerland	1.5	S	TEM-1	10 ⁷	10 ⁷
R1906	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.75	S	SHV-12	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R2180	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	France	2	S	GES-5	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
N23	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Switzerland	0.032	S	CTX-M-15	10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
N41	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Switzerland	0.064	S	CTX-M-9	10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
N44	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.125	S	CTX-M-15	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
N71	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Switzerland	0.032	S	CTX-M-15	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R1039	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Vietnam	0.25	S	VEB-1, OXA-10, TEM-1	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R1104	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Thailand	0.75	S	VEB-1	>10 ⁸	>10 ⁷
R1103	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Thailand	0.5	S	VEB-1	>10 ⁷	>10 ⁷
R144	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.75	S	VEB-1	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R1105	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Thailand	0.25	S	VEB-1	>10 ⁸	>10 ⁸
R2658	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.125	S	VEB-1, TEM-1, OXA-10	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R3659	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	USA	0.5	S	KPC-2 (<i>E. coli</i> DH10B/ pBR322 <i>bla</i> _{KPC-2})	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁸
R99	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	0.5	S	KPC-2	10 ⁷	10 ⁵
R3521	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Switzerland	1.5	S	KPC-2	10 ⁵	10 ⁶
R3668	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	USA	0.064	S	KPC-2 [<i>E. coli</i> DH10B/ pBC SK(+) <i>bla</i> _{KPC-2}]	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁸
R82	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.047	S	KPC-2	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R91	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	0.75	S	KPC-2	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R94	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	2	S	KPC-2	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R3485	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Switzerland	1	S	KPC-2	10 ⁶	10 ⁶
R3486	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Switzerland	1	S	KPC-2	10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R3488	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Switzerland	1	S	KPC-2	10 ⁷	≥10 ⁷
R3522	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Switzerland	1.5	S	KPC-2	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R132	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	1	S	KPC-2	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R297	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	0.25	S	KPC-2, OXA-1	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R100	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	1.5	S	KPC-11	10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R22	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	0.094	S	OXA-48	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R740	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	The Netherlands	1	S	OXA-48	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R19	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	0.5	S	OXA-48	10 ⁷	10 ⁶
R23	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	0.5	S	OXA-48	10 ⁷	10 ⁷
N59	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Switzerland	0.023	S	OXA-181	≥10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R131	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	1.5	S	OXA-181	10 ⁸	≥10 ⁷
R3338	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	USA	24	R	CMY-4, VIM-1	10 ²	10 ¹
R169	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	USA	24	R	VIM-19	10 ¹	10 ¹
N284	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	Switzerland	48	R	VIM-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
R48	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	>256	R	VIM-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
R61	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	24	R	VIM-1, SHV-12	10 ²	10 ¹
R63	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	24	R	VIM-19	10 ¹	10 ¹
N6	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Switzerland	>256	R	NDM-5	10 ²	10 ²
R464	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	>256	R	NDM-4, OXA-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
R466	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	>256	R	NDM-4, OXA-1, CTX-M-15	10 ²	10 ¹
R3778	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Spain	48	R	KPC-3 D179Y	10 ¹	10 ¹
R3780	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Spain	>256	R	KPC-3 G168N E169H	10 ¹	10 ¹
R3781	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Spain	64	R	KPC-3 E169P L172T	10 ¹	10 ¹
R3776	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Spain	96	R	KPC-3 D179Y	10 ¹	10 ¹
R3777	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Spain	>256	R	KPC-3 D179Y A172T	10 ¹	10 ¹
N435	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Switzerland	>256	R	KPC-41	10 ¹	10 ¹
N859	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Switzerland	>256	R	KPC-50	10 ¹	10 ¹

(Continued on next page)

Downloaded from <http://jcm.asm.org/> on March 1, 2021 by guest

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Category and strain	Species	Origin	MIC of CZA ^a (mg/liter)	CZA susceptibility or resistance ^b	Resistance determinant(s)	Lower limit of detection (CFU/ ml) ^c in:	
						Culture	Stools ^c
R3671	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	USA	>128	R	KPC-2 (<i>E. coli</i> DH10B/ pBR322 KPC-2 D179M)	10 ²	10 ²
R3779	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	Spain	128	R	KPC-3 D179Y	10 ²	10 ²
R72	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	France	128	R	IMP-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
R73	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	France	>256	R	IMP-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>							
R1553	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	1.5	S	None (wild type)	<u>>10⁸</u>	<u>10⁷</u>
R2267	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	0.75	S	None (wild type)	<u>>10⁸</u>	<u>10⁷</u>
N382	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	0.38	S	None (wild type)	<u>10⁶</u>	<u>10⁷</u>
N339	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	0.5	S	None (wild type)	<u>>10⁸</u>	<u>10⁷</u>
N146	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	4	S	GES-5	<u>10⁴</u>	<u>10⁶</u>
N254	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	1	S	None (wild type)	<u>>10⁸</u>	<u>10⁸</u>
N214	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	0.5	S	None (wild type)	<u>>10⁸</u>	<u>10⁷</u>
R1187	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Belgium	4	S	BEL-2	<u>10⁶</u>	<u>10⁵</u>
R1188	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Brazil	2	S	CTX-M-2	<u>>10⁸</u>	<u>>10⁷</u>
R3451	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	1	S	GES-6	<u>10⁶</u>	<u>10⁷</u>
R3680	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	USA	24	R	Unknown mechanism	10 ¹	10 ²
R3681	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	USA	32	R	Unknown mechanism	10 ¹	10 ¹
R3682	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	USA	64	R	Unknown mechanism	10 ¹	10 ¹
R3683	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	USA	>256	R	Unknown mechanism	10 ¹	10 ¹
R1308	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	>256	R	OXA-28	10 ¹	10 ²
R1311	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	12	R	OXA-32	10 ¹	10 ¹
R609	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Turkey	64	R	VIM-2	10 ¹	10 ¹
R50	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	24	R	VIM-2	10 ²	10 ¹
R51	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	>256	R	VIM-2	10 ¹	10 ¹
R52	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	16	R	VIM-2	10 ¹	10 ¹
R54	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	>256	R	VIM-2	10 ²	10 ¹
R598	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	24	R	VIM-2	10 ¹	10 ¹
R599	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	16	R	VIM-2	10 ¹	10 ¹
R600	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Japan	16	R	VIM-2	10 ²	10 ¹
R604	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	The Netherlands	12	R	VIM-2	10 ¹	10 ¹
R608	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	16	R	VIM-2	10 ²	10 ²
R610	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	32	R	VIM-2	10 ²	10 ²
N885	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	>256	R	NDM-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
N520	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	>256	R	NDM-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
N521	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Switzerland	>256	R	NDM-1	10 ¹	10 ¹
R186	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	16	R	NDM-6	10 ²	10 ²
R2760	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	France	>256	R	NDM-1	10 ²	10 ¹

^aCZA, ceftazidime-avibactam. MICs of CZA were determined using Etest.

^bR, resistant; S, susceptible.

^cUnderlined CFU counts are considered negative results (cutoff values were set at >10³ CFU/ml).

the CZA-susceptible isolates was possible only when an inoculum of >10³ CFU/ml was used (the lower limit of detection was above the cutoff value of 10³ CFU/ml), giving rise to 100% sensitivity and specificity.

Spiked stools were also tested with the same representative collection of CZA-resistant and -susceptible Gram-negative bacteria ($n = 92$) using this selective culture medium. Spiked fecal samples were made by adding 100 μ l of serial 10-fold bacterial dilutions to 900 μ l of a stool suspension. Stool suspensions were obtained by suspending 6 g of freshly pooled feces from healthy volunteers in 60 ml of distilled water as described previously (10). Aliquots (100 μ l) of the spiked stool suspension were inoculated onto the SuperCAZ/AVI medium. Aliquots (100 μ l) of stool suspensions with no bacteria added were plated onto the SuperCAZ/AVI medium as negative controls. The lower limit of detection was below the cutoff value for all CZA-resistant strains with which stools were spiked, ranging from 10¹ to 10² CFU/ml, whereas the lower limit of detection for the CZA-susceptible strains was above the cutoff value, at $\geq 10^6$ CFU/ml (Table 2). Sensitivity and specificity were determined using the same cutoff value, set

at 10^3 CFU/ml (10). Again, the sensitivity and specificity of the SuperCAZ/AVI medium for isolating CZA-resistant isolates were both 100%.

To assess the storage stability of the SuperCAZ/AVI medium, *Candida albicans* and *Staphylococcus aureus* strains, as well as the CZA-susceptible *E. coli* ATCC 25955 reference strain, were subcultured daily onto the SuperCAZ/AVI medium from a single batch of medium stored at 4°C. No growth was observed consistently for at least a 7-day period.

DISCUSSION

The SuperCAZ/AVI medium constitutes an adequate screening medium for the detection of CZA-resistant bacteria regardless of their resistance mechanisms. This SuperCAZ/AVI medium may be used for the screening of patients potentially colonized with CZA-resistant strains in order to rapidly implement infection control measures aimed at limiting their spread. This medium is also adequate for epidemiological surveys aiming to evaluate the prevalence of CZA-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in a given population. Further clinical evaluation of the proposed medium in daily clinical practice is needed. It may be useful for rapid identification of outbreaks of CZA-resistant strains, such as those reported in the United States (12) and Italy (13).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Luis Martinez-Martinez (Spain) and Robert Bonomo (United States) for the gifts of several CZA-resistant strains.

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (projects FNS-407240_177381 and FNS-407240_177382).

REFERENCES

- Nordmann P, Poirel L. 2019. Epidemiology and diagnostics of carbapenem resistance in Gram negative bacteria. *Clin Infect Dis* 69(Suppl 7):S521–S528. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz824>.
- Wright H, Bonomo RA, Paterson DL. 2017. New agents for the treatment of infections with Gram-negative bacteria: restoring the miracle or false dawn? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 23:704–712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2017.09.001>.
- Zhanel GG, Lawson CD, Adam H, Schweizer F, Zelenitsky S, Lagacé-Wiens PRS, Denisuik A, Rubinstein E, Gin AS, Hoban DJ, Lynch JP, Karlowsky JA. 2013. Ceftazidime-avibactam: a novel cephalosporin/ β -lactamase inhibitor combination. *Drugs* 73:159–177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-013-0013-7>.
- Sharma R, Park TE, Moy S. 2016. Ceftazidime-avibactam: a novel cephalosporin/ β -lactamase inhibitor combination for the treatment of resistant gram-negative organisms. *Clin Ther* 38:431–444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2016.01.018>.
- Barnes MD, Winkler ML, Taracila MA, Page MG, Desarbre E, Kreiswirth BN, Shields RK, Nguyen M-H, Clancy C, Spellberg B, Papp-Wallace KM, Bonomo RA. 2017. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-2 (KPC-2), substitutions at Ambler position Asp179, and resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam: unique antibiotic-resistant phenotypes emerge from β -lactamase protein engineering. *mBio* 8:e00528-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00528-17>.
- Mueller L, Masseron A, Prod'Hom G, Galperine T, Greub G, Poirel L, Nordmann P. 2019. Phenotypic, biochemical, and genetic analysis of KPC-41, a KPC-3 variant conferring resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam and exhibiting reduced carbapenemase activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 63:e01111-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01111-19>.
- Poirel L, Vuillemin X, Juhas M, Masseron A, Bechtel-Grosch U, Tiziani S, Mancini S, Nordmann P. 26 May 2020. KPC-50 confers resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam associated with reduced carbapenemase activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00321-20>.
- Hemarajata P, Humphries RM. 2019. Ceftazidime/avibactam resistance associated with L169P mutation in the omega loop of KPC-2. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 74:1241–1243. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz026>.
- Wang Y, Wang J, Wang R, Cai Y. 19 December 2019. Resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam and underlying mechanisms. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 22:18–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.12.009>.
- Nordmann P, Girlich D, Poirel L. 2012. Detection of carbapenemase producers in *Enterobacteriaceae* by use of a novel screening medium. *J Clin Microbiol* 50:2761–2766. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06477-11>.
- EUCAST. 2020. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters, version 10.0. https://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_10.0_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf.
- Aitken SL, Tarrand JJ, Deshpande LM, Tverdek FP, Jones AL, Shelburne SA, Prince RA, Bhatti MM, Rolston KVI, Jones RN, Castanheira M, Chemaly RF. 2016. High rates of non-susceptibility to ceftazidime-avibactam and identification of New Delhi metallo- β -lactamase production in *Enterobacteriaceae* bloodstream infections at a major cancer center. *Clin Infect Dis* 63:954–958. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw398>.
- Giani T, Antonelli A, Sennati S, Di Pilato V, Chiarelli A, Cannatelli A, Gatsch C, Luzzaro F, Spanu T, Stefani S, Rossolini GM. 2020. Results of the Italian infection-Carbapenem Resistance Evaluation Surveillance Trial (iCREST-IT): activity of ceftazidime/avibactam against *Enterobacterales* isolated from urine. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 75:979–983. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz547>.



Correction for Sadek et al., “A Selective Culture Medium for Screening Ceftazidime-Avibactam Resistance in *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*”

Mustafa Sadek,^a  Laurent Poirel,^{a,b,c} Camille Tinguely,^a  Patrice Nordmann^{a,b,c,d}

^aMedical and Molecular Microbiology, Faculty of Science and Medicine, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

^bINSERM European Unit (IAME), University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

^cSwiss National Reference Center for Emerging Antibiotic Resistance (NARA), University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

^dInstitute for Microbiology, University of Lausanne and University Hospital Centre, Lausanne, Switzerland

Volume 58, no. 9, e00965-20, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00965-20>. This article was published on 24 August 2020 with a CC BY 4.0 copyright line (“Copyright © 2020 Sadek et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.”). The authors elected to remove open access for the article after publication, necessitating replacement of the original copyright line, and this change was made on 29 September 2020.

Citation Sadek M, Poirel L, Tinguely C, Nordmann P. 2020. Correction for Sadek et al., “A selective culture medium for screening ceftazidime-avibactam resistance in *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.” *J Clin Microbiol* 58:e02539-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02539-20>.

Copyright © 2020 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to Patrice Nordmann, patrice.nordmann@unifr.ch.

Published 18 November 2020